of the Kollan Anda, and a critical examination of the obranalogical data of the Rajatarangini. The second part, which in all probability will be issued before the middle of next year, will first abow how the Kaliyuga which

really began in 1177 B. C. came subsequently. to be supposed to have commenced in 3102 B.C., and will discuss the date of the Vishnu Purana. the astronomical explanation of the Yugas and the nature of the epochs and cycles prevalent in India. The next chapter, perbaps the most important in the whole book, will attempt to place the date of the Riggeds beyond all reasonable doubt by a discussion of certain passages in that Veda. hitherto misunderstood, which yield us, not vague generalisations or bare possibilities, but specific unimpeachable testimony. The concluding chapter will examine further fresh materials, fix the date of the Aryan immigration into India and close with a short resume of the leading facts in the History of Aryun India from the earliest times to the sixth century after Christ, such of the few footprints as can still be

CHITTOOR, November 28, 1901. V. GOPALA ATIER.

traced in the shifting sands of Time.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

THE BEGINNING OF THE KALIYUGA.

HE need for a critical method of enulty, 1-2. Was the Mahabharata War an historical event, 3-8. The Puranas, 9-10. The different dates hitherto assigned for the War, 10-14. Argument based on the Vedanga Jyotisha, 14-20. Twenty-seven or Twenty-eight Nakshatras, 21-22. Bharani 10° same as the beginning of the Krittikas, 23-26. The Yavana invasion referred to by Patanjali and Garga occurred before 165 B. C. and is not to be identified with the invasion of Menander, 57-31. The statements of classical historians regarding the Chronology of Ancient India examined, 34-45. The Saptarshi Cycles, 30-43. The origin and nature of the Kollam Anda, 45-50.

CHAPTER IL

THE DATE OF THE MAHABHARATA WAR.

Summary of Chapter I, 51. The War took place about 16 years before the commencement of the Kaliyuga, 52-56. The chronology of the Rajatarangini discussed, 56-61. Aryabhata and the Saptarshi Cycles, 61-62. Argument based on the lists of kings contained in the Puranas, 62-63. The periods given in the Puranas for the pre-Chandragueta kings discussed, 66-68. The sloka of Garga regarding the epoch of Yudhishtira critically interpreted, 68-76. The epoch of Buddha's Nievana, 70-77. The Brihaspati Cycle of 60 years, its nature and origin, 77-79. The winter solstice immediately following the War was the seventeenth before the commencement of the Kaliyuga, 80-84. Summary of the preceding arguments, 85-86. Consequently the War took place at the end of 1194 B. C., 87. The Date of the Epic Mahabharata, 85-89. The statements of the epic discussed, and the result arrived at that the war might have taken place between the 14th and the 31st of October, 89-104.

CHAPTER III.

THE FOUR YUGAS

The Puranic Divisions of Time, 105-107. The Dates of the Manusmriti and the Mahabharata. 108-109. The Durations of the Yugas according to the Smriti and the Epic, 109-112. The year of the Devas,-Where is Mount Meru, 113-117. The Durations of the Yugas at the time of the compilation of the Atharva Veda, 117-122. History of the Kollam Andu, 122-125. The date of the Aryan immigration into South India, 124. The end of the Kaliyuga and the state of society in 177 B. C., 125-127. The creation of the Sandhya Periods, 128-133. When Hinduism was at its lowest ebb in India, 134-135. Hindu Revival and the Rengissance Period in India, 135-136. When the Tugas first came to have their present extraordinary durations, 137. The origin of the term Yuga and its occurrence in the Rig Veda, 137-138. The Date of the compilation of the three older Vedic Samhitas, 139. The Dates of Atharva and Vajasaneya Samhitas and Taittiriya and Aitareya Brahmanas, 140-143. The names of the four Yugas mentioned for the first time in Sanskrit Laterature, 143-150, Rational explanation of the names of the Yagas, 151-155. Gambling in Ancient India, 153. How the Yagas first came to have different periods, 154-155. Mr.

Rangacharya's views examined, 156-157.

The Chronology of Ancient India.

CHAPTER I.

THE BEGINNING OF THE KALIYUGA.

HE heroes of the Mahahharata War and the chief incidents connected therewith are matters almost of daily conversation even in the remotest corners of India. In most of the Indian villages the wars are fought over again and again in the rude, but powerful, nightlong dramatic performances. The most illiterate villager of the poorest hamlet knows, or thinks he knows, something of the great Pandava heroes, The annual Dharmaraja festivals serve to freshen his untrained memory and to stir up his dormant feelings. The cultured Brahman, on the other hand, who piously recites, day after day, portions of the Bhagavad Gita, that marvellous episode' in the great Indian epie, is daily reminded of that great conflict which ushered in the modern or the Kali Age. Yet, educated India cares seldom to enquire into the question of the date of the War with even a little of that historical spirit which above everything else distinguishes the European from the Hindu.

Not that materials are wanting to guide us in our attempts to arrive at the truth. Nor

is it even that Hindus of requisite ability and knowledge are loath to tackle such problems, a solution of which would be most heartily wel-

comed by every lover of India. Rather it is that false pride which refuses to question long-cherished opinions and which feels greater

pleasure in treating events as of the remotest antiquity. Sometimes it is that new-fangled mysticism which aims at giving impossible explanations of every pre-existing opinion or prejudice. When the atmosphere is so ill-suited, the light

to dispassionately discuss such materials as may be . forthcoming, in order to draw therefrom certain

thian passages of the Ancient Chronology of India, What India is now in need of is that faculty of scientific intuition which should divest itself of any tendency that might stand in the way of historical verscity. It is the humble purpose of the writer

of history cannot illuminate the dark labyrin-

chronology of Ancient India. The date of the war is, as is well known, mixed up with the system of Yugas about which many learned scholars have hitherto written. The present Yuga hegan soon after the great war was

conclusions respecting the date of the War and the

fought in the holy plains of Kurukshetra; and

if it be possible to arrive even approximately at the date of the War, the riddle of the Yugas, which has hitherto baffled the ingenuity of learned scholars, will have been in a manoer solved.

Was the War an historical event or is it a mere allegory? Almost all scholars * are agreed that a great War took place between the Karus and the Panchalas very long before Gautama Buddha was born. They have no doubt that the epic narrates truly, though rather extravagantly, a war that waged with disastrous results io the northern parts of India. "There can be little doubt," says Professor Macdonell. " that the . original kernel of the epic has, as an historical background, an ancient conflict between the two neighbouring tribes of the Kurus and the Panchalas who finally coalesced into a single people." † Lassen # thought that the epic narrated an actual conflict hetween the Kurus and the Panchalas, the latter under the leadership of the Pandavas, ending in their mutual annihilation. Wehers says that "ooe thing is clearly discernible in

^{*}R. C. Dutt's Civilisation in Aucient India, Vol. I page 10. Weber's Indian Literature, page 187.

[†] Sanskrit Literature, 285.

[‡] Weber's Indian Literature, 135.

[§] Weber's Indian Literature, page 187.

and Brahmanisation of the native inhabitants, had

the Mahahharata, that it has as its basis a War waged on the soil of Hindustan between Aryan tribes and therefore belonging probably to a time when their settlement in Indus and the subjurnation

already been accomplished." It may be idle at this late hour to establish by arguments what has been already conceded by achidars that the main plot of our epic is based on history. It is an easy pastime to deny the truth of the events of the past; but etrangley enough it is often difficult to prove their actual occurrence. Did not the late Archibishop Whately, the famous logician, prove conclusively that the great Napoleon had never existed? Yet, that here of many fights it was that more than anybody else shaped the fortunes, and altered the map, of many a country in the dawn of the century that has now

was that more than anybody else shaped the fortunes, and altered the map, of many a country in the dawn of the century that has now expired. The lesson that the fearned divine so humorously teaches must not, by us, be lost sight of in dealing with the new order of sceptical critics whose erratic genius takes the greatest pleasure in denying the Jundamental basis of the Sanskrit epic, in whose truth nearly a seventh of the human race sincerely believes.

Nor are the chief characters and the principal incidents of the War merely poetic factions. It is ridiculous to suppose that an epic with such strong

characterisation could be a fabrication of an ingenious mind, at any rate, in India. It needs not much knowledge of Sanskrit literature to discover that the heroes and the heroines of standard Indian works are almost all of the same type. The tameness of similarity is a standing reproach against Sanskrit dramatic literature. But as for the Mahabharata, what wealth of characterisation, what artistic delicacy of touch, what depth of human interest, all depicted in the most natural manner and without the slightest appearance of effort! "In the Mahahharata human interest everywhere preponderates, and a number of well-defined personages are introduced, to whom the, possibility of historical existence cannot be denied." The highsouled Karna, for instance, loyal and generous, but vain and hoastful : Yudhishtira, the good and the wise, but fond of dice whose dangers he had the intelligence to understand, but whose temptations he had not the strength of will to resist; the lion-hearted Bhims, righteous but vindictive; the chivalrons Arjuna and the cultured Sahadeva; the politic Sri Krishna and the downright Balarama; the Royal sage Bhishma and the Brahman warrior Drona; the impetuous Asyathaman; the envious Duryodhana and the unprincipled Sakuni; the

^{*} Weber's Indian Literature, page 192.

imperious Droupadi and the faithful Gandbari; every one of these so unlike every other. There is no attempt in all Sanskrit literature at such matchless character painting. But the epic is

based on a substratum of historical facts and therefore successfully accomplishes what no other work in all India has ever attempted. Visakhadatta's Mudcarakshasa is indeed a feeble exception, but here again the plot is borrowed from real history.

Eurthermore, let a traveller pass through any trace in India from the Himshawa to the Cape-

history.

Futhermore, let a travelice pass through any tract in India from the Himalayas to the Cape-from Kathiawar to Burma; he is confronted energywhere with striking evidence that the Pandava princes are still held in the deepest veneration by the Brahman and the Jain, by the cultured races of the plains and the principle residence of the hills. The influence of a got-up poem may affect the literate classes, but not the simple rustice inhabiting hilly isolated regions. Unless the main events of the war had actually taken place, the influence of an imaginary epic, however grand and honever thrilling, cannot take the leading place in

nowever turning, cannot take the leading place in the hearts of the antatored millions of India. It is well known that the fire Pandara heroes married a single maiden, the Panchala Droupadi.

"The description of the transaction represents it as one which was opposed to public opinion and which was justified more by very remote tradition than by existing practice." The epic represents Drupada, the father of the lady, as having been shocked at the proposal of all the princes marrying his daughter. Undonbtedly, as Professor Max Muller+ remarked, the epic tradition must have been very strong to compel the anthor to record a proceeding so violently opposed to Brahmanical law. If the characters and the incidents of the epic were purely mythical, how comea it that the compilers thereof thought it proper to give special prominence to such an un-Arvan practice?

In the oldest of the Vedas the names of the two royal brothers Devapi and Santanu are mentioned, the latter of whom was the great grandfather of the Pandavas and the Kauravas. In the Aitareya Brahmana and in the Satapatha Brahmana, Janamejaya Parikshita, the great grandson of Pandaya Arjuna, is mentioned, and from the latter work we may also gather that Janamejaya and his three brothers, Bhimasens, Ugrasena and Srutasena, had died shortly hefore its compilation. Professor Webert says that in the Kathaka Samhita, a Sakha of the Black Yajurveda, mention is made of Dhritarashtra Vaichitravirya and the contests of the Pan-

[.] J. D. Mayne's Hindu Law and Usage p. 65.

[†] Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 46.

^{\$} Indische Studien, III. p. 469-472.

chalas and the Kounteyas. The Taittiriya Aranyaka mentions Vyasa Parasarya and his pupil Vaisampa-

vana, the former of whom was the actual father of Pandu and Dhritarashtra; and the family of the

Parasaras is mentioned with especial frequency in the Vamsas of the White Yajus. Parasara and pro-

bably also his son Krishna (Vyasa) are the authors of a few hymns of the Rigyeds. The Asualayana and the Sankhayana Sutras and Panini mention the

words 'Rherats' and 'Mahabharata'. Panini 'who cannot be assigned to a date later than the fourth

century B. C.,' and whom Goldstucker places in the ninth or tenth century B. C., speaks of Kunti, Yudhishtira, Vasadeva, Arjuna, and Drona, all of them leading characters in our epic. Dion

Chrysostom of the first century A. D. actually speaks of the existence of an Indian Homer : and Patanjali, who lived in the second century B. C., quotes a few verses which are still to be found in the Mahabharata. As all these ancient works and

authors bear testimony to the reality of the prin-

cipal personages that figured in the War, we may consequently conclude that it was an undoubted historical event. Not only the Mahabharata, but most of the

Puranas also, refer to this great War between the Kauravas and the Pandavas. These Puranas are collections of bardic traditions preserved in a systematic manner. Though mainly prophetic in tone, (for it has to be remembered that their reputed author Vyasa Parasarya lived at the time of the war, but the events related therein reach down to a comparatively modern period), they are the repositories of traditional learning and old time legends. Much of what is contained therein has not any historical value; but enough is still to be found in the more authenticones to attract the attention of the student of history. In the words of the late Mr. II. II. Wilson, 'a very large portion of the contents of many, some portion of the contents of all, is genuine and old; and it is therefore as idle as it is irrational to dispute the antiquity or authenticity of the greater portion of the contents of these Paranas.' They contain political and chronological particulars to which on the score of probability there is nothing to object. In fact their general accuracy has been incontrovertibly established. The Chandogya Upanishad refers to Itihasa Puranas as a branch of literature; and the Satapatba Brahmana mentions Itihasas and Puranas. May we not infer therefrom that some kind of annals of kings and dynasties existed even in that ancient period? 'Proba-

^{*} Wilson's Vishnu Purana, Introduction.

THE CHRONOLOGY OF ANCIENT INDIA. Macha Nakshatra at the birth of Parikshit, had moved on to Purvashada Nakshatra at the accession of Nanda to the throne. As there are ten lunar asterisms between Magha and Purvashada, the period denoted by the movement is one thousand years; and the Nandas reigned for a hundred years. Therefore the war is placed 1100 years before Chandragupta, or at about 1415 B. C.

Moreover, the vernal equipox was in the hegipning . of the Krittikas (Pleisdes) Muring the time of the war. As it had receded by reason of the precession of the equinoxes to the Aswini Nakshatra in the year 499 A. D., the war is said to have taken place shout 1426 B C. It is also considered that the names Robineva, Machabha, Ashadhahhava, and Purvaphalgunibhava accorded respectively to Mercury, Venus, Mars and Jupiter point to B. C. 1424, when the moon and the planets were in conjunction in the constellations denoted by the names. There is not much force in this last argument, because the positions given in the

Vavu and Lings Puranas for some of the planets are totally different. Professor Max Muller is of opinion that "these names of the planets have

never been met with either in the Vedas or in any of the early productions." Besides, there is * Rig Veda Vol IV. Preface, p. xxxiii.

nothing that connects these positions with the

Such are the arguments which are advanced to fix the date of the war in the middle of the fifteenth centory B. C. But Mr. R. C. Dutt and Mr. Fergusson place the war in the middleand in the beginning of the thirteenth century B.C.+ respectively. The late Mr. H. H. Wilson thus sums up the opinions of his time on the subject: "According to Col, Wilford's computations (Asiatic Researches Vol. IX, chronological table, p. 116) the conclusion of the great war took place B.C. 1370. Buchanan conjectures it to have occurred in the thirteenth century B.C. Vyasa was the putative father of Panduand Dhritarashtra and consequently was contemporary with the heroes of the great war. Mr. Colebrooke infers from astronomical data that the arrangement of the Vedas, attributed to Vyssa, took place in the fourteenth century B. C. Mr. Bentley brings the date of Yudhishtira, the chief of the Pandavas, to 575 B. C.; but the weight of authority is in favour of the thirteenth or fourteenth century B. C. for the war of the Mahabharata and the reputed commencement of

^{*} Mr. M. Rangacharya's Yugas, pp. 31-35.

[†] Dutt's Ancient India, p. 10. Fergusson's History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p. 36.

the Kali age." Professor Macdonell traces "the historical germ of the great epic to a very early period which cannot well be later than the tenth century B. C."† While these conflicting opinions are of great value as being indicative of the period when the war might bare taken place, they unfortunately lack that precision and certainty which are so much to be desired. It will appear from the sequel that the reasons on which these

somewhat different date.

To begin with, we have the evidence of the Vedanga Jyotisha, the earliest of the treatives on astronomy to be found in India. A Jyotisha Vedanga is referred to by Apastamba whom Dr. Bublert places on linguistic grounds in the third century B. O. and on other grounds about two centuries still earlier. The Mundakopanishad, S

one of the few ancient Upanishads turned to account in so early a work as the Vedanta Sutras of Radarayana, mentions the six Vedancas by name, of

opinions are based are far from conclusive and that the weight of probability rather indicates a

which the Jyotisha is one. We have no means of

[·] Vlehnu Perana Vol. IV. p. 232.

⁺ Sanskrit Literature, p. 235. + Sacred Books of the East, Vol II, Introduction.

[§] Mundaka Upanishad I. i. 5

ascertaining whether the Jyotisha known to os as Vedanca is, or is not, the one referred to in those ancient works; but the archaic language in which our Vedanga is written and the unintelligible nature of some of the verses prove beyond doubt that it is a very ancient treatise, though perhaps not as old as the astronomical positions it indicates. The ancient Arvans in India had a knowledge of elementary astronomical phenomena as is evident from the numerous references to them in the lik and the other Vedes. To better enable them to fix the seasons for their innomerable sacrifices, they devised a few elementary treatises on astronomy, one of which is still preserved to us in the Vedanga Jyotisha. Says Dr. M. Haug in his introduction to his Aitareya Brahmana, "A regulation of the calendar by such observations was an absolute necessity for the Brahmans; for the proper time of commencing and ending their sacrifices, principally the socalled sattras or sacrificial sessions could not be known without an accurate knowledge of the timeof the sun's northern and southern progress. The knowledge of the caleodar forms such an essential part of the ritual that many important conditions of the latter cannot be carried out without the former." Professor Max Muller admits that there-

^{*} Vol I. p. 46.

"When the sun, O Sage, is in the first quarter of Krittika and the moon is in the fourth quarter of Visakha, or when the sun is in the third quarter of Visakha and the moon is in the head of Krittika, that is the time of the equinox and it is holy." Mr. Wilson seems to have misunderstood the meaning of MM and MM in the above verses, for he takes them to mean 'degree'. It will readily appear that 'quarter' is the more appropriate meaning, for it is only then that the sun and the moon are in "opposition" to each other.

The positions given by the Vedanga Jyotisha are also referred to by Garga* and Varahamihing*; hut they differ from those obtaining at present and even from the positions mentioned by Varahamihira as having heen observed about the year 3600 \$\cdot\) of the modern astronomical Kali era, or in 499 A.D. This great astronomer, who was horn in 505 A.D., says in his Brihat Samhita:—"In the old treatises it is said that the summer and winter solstices took place with the sun in the middle of Aslesha and in the heginning of Dhanishta respectively; that at present they occur in the beginning of Cancer (heginning of the last quarter of Penarvasn) and

^{*} See Mr. Tilak's Orion, p. 36.

[†] Brihat Sambita, III. 1 & 2.

¹ Warren's Kala Sankalita, p. 389.

of Capricorn (beginning of the second quarter of Uttarashada) respectively." Therefore the winter colstice, which happened with the sun in the beginning of Dhanishta at the time denoted by the Vedanga, had receded in 499 A.D. to the end of the first quarter of Uttarashada, that is, by an arc of 23.° 20'. As the rate of precession of the equinoxes is, according to the illustrious French eavant M. La Verrier, about 50°24" pro"anum, the point

whom. had gone over the calculation, were of opinion that the observations indicated 1181 B. C.
The next question is to ascertain what it was that took place about 1173 B.C.of such consequence as to induce the ancient astronomers to record the control of the co

of time denoted by our astronomical treatise is about 1672 years before 499 A.D., or about 1173 B.C. Archdeacon Pratt and Bentley, both of

Vedas were compiled the position of the solstitial points was observed and recorded to mark the date. Professor Weber considers that both the Najur VedaSamhitas may be shown from internal evidence to have assumed their present shape about the time of the war of the Mahabharata, and the Puranas relate that Vyasa, the compiler of the Vedas, lived about the time of the war. It is therefore proba-

[&]quot;Max Mulier's Rig Veda, Vol IV, Preface, p XXVII.

ble that the astronomical positions refer to the period of the yar which preceded the beginning of the Kaliyuga by a few years. The Jyotisha itself states that the first year of the 'Yuga' commenced at the winter solstice with the sun and the moon at the heginning of Dhanishta. In those early times there were 'two kinds of 'Yugas', the five year cycle and the Kaliyuga.* It is hard to believe that the positions referred to in the Yedanga denote only the beginning of such a 'short-lived Yuga as the five year cycle. It is reasonable to suppose that they also mark the time of the commencement of the Kali with which probably began the first of a new series of five year cycles.

But it may be asked what authority there is, besides the Vedanga, to suppose that the Kali era began when the vernal equinox occurred with the sun in Bharani 10°. On the contrary, there might seem to exist sufficient evidence to suppose that at the time of the great war, which occurred a few years before the Kaliyaga began, the vernal equinox took place with the sun in the Krittikas. For example, there are many passages in the Taittiriya Samhita, the Taittiriya-Brahmana and other Vedic

^{, *} Vedanga Jyotisha (Yajdr Recension), verses 5-7. Aitareya Brahmana VII-15.

works where the Krittikas occupy the first place in the list of the Nakshatras, The Krittikas are the mouth of Nakshatras, says the Taitiriya Brahmana (1.1.2.1). In the Atharva Yeds (1.19.7) and in the Yagayarakiyasmriti, they occupy their early position, while the Vishnu Purana, awe have seen, actually places the vernal equinox in the beginning of the Krittikas. The Mahabharata says that the winter solstice took place sometime after the conclusion of the waron the fifth day after

astronomers hold from such references that the vernal equinox was then in the Krittikas.[‡] If therefore at the time of the war the equinox was in the Krittikas, it might appear that at the beginning of the Kali ear, which very shortly followed the war, the vernal equinox could not have receded to Bharni 10°, that is, a precession of 3°.20° which would take about 240 years to be accomplished. But this difficulty is easily explained.

the new moonin the month of Magha, + and Hindu

It must be remembered that though the astronomical treatise gives only twenty-seven Nakshatrasthe admittedly older works, the Atharva Samhitas

See Max Muller's Rig Veda Vol. IV. Preface, p. xxxiv. Mr. Tilak's Orion, p 39. etteq.
 † Anusassna Patru, cb. 167, 26 and 27.

¹ Mr. Tilak's Orion, p. 37. footnote.

^{\$} XIX. 7. 1. 81.

and the Taittiriya Brahmana* enumerate twentyeight Nakshatras. What is more important is the fact that the list of the Atharva Veda connects the twenty-eight Nakshatras with as many days and that the lists of the Taittiriya Brahmana show the connection of these twenty-eight asterisms with a lunar synodical month. We may therefore infer that at the time of the compilation of these two Vedic works, the number of lunar asterisms was twenty-eight. But curiously enough we meet with only twenty-seven Nakshatras in the Taittiriva Sambita, where Abbijit is left out. So also the mention of 'trinava', twenty-seven, in the Taittiriva Samhita (VII. 1. 3. 2) refers probably to the tweoty-seven Nakshatras. While on the one hand the Taittiriya Sambita is the oldest of these three nearly contemporaneous compilations, the list of the Taittiriya Brahomana is, on the other hand, mentioned in connection with an old kind of sacritice called Nakshatreshti, a ceremony based on the supposition that there were twenty-eight Nakshatras. If we may judge by the generally received opinion that whatever is used for sacrificial purposes has the flavour of antiquity in it, possibly the original number was twenty-eight; and the mention of only twenty-seven Nakshatras in the Taittiriya Samhita+

^{*} III. 1.2.5., III. 1, 5.6. † IV. 4. 10.

may be due to the fact that it was compiled under the immediate direction of the learned son (Vyasa) of a great astronomer (Parassra), the reputed author of an ancient astronomical treatise, who might have been the first to omit Abhiiit from the list of the Nakshatras in order to suit his astronomical calculations. Professor Whitney and M. Biot hold that the original number was twenty-eight, while Professor Max Muller thinks that the number of Nakshatras was originally twenty-seven.* For our present purpose, it is enough to note that at the beginning of the Kaliyaga, when the compilation of the Taittiriya Samhita, at least in its original form, was completed and that of the Brahmana was almost begun, people were acquainted with both the lists. But from that time forward astronomers rontinued consistently to use twenty-seven Nakshatras only. From the earliest astronomical treatise knowntous, namely, the Jyotisha Vedanga, to the latest work on Hindu astronomy, we find that all the Hindu astronomers, Garga, Aryabhata, Varahamihira, Brahmagupta, Bhascarachave and many others regulate their calculations by the twenty-seven Nakshatra system. It is this number that is referred to m the Mahabharata,

^{*} Rig Veda Vol. IV, Preface, p. xlvi.

Manu Smriti and the Vishnu Purana. We may therefore he sure that the number of twenty-eight Nakshatras which prevailed about the time of the compilation of the Atharva Veda Samhita and of the Taittiriya Brabmana was not adopted by later astronomers who preferred to use the more astronomically suitable number of twenty-seven Nakshatras,

The astronomers effected another improvement on the old method. The Nakshatras were made to begin with Dhanishta instead of with the Krittikas as of old, Somakara quotes an old saying of Garga in his commentary on the Vedanga Jyotisha (verse 5), तेषां चं सर्वेषां नचताणां कमंस कृतिकाः प्रयममाचक्षते श्रविद्यात संख्यायाः । which means that "of these Nakshatras, the Krittikas are the first for sacrificial purposes and the Sravishta (Dhanishta) are the first for purposes of calculation." It is clear therefore that, in those early times referred to hy Garga, the twenty-seven Nakshatras were counted from Dhanishta in works on astronomy. If the winter solstice was in the heginning of Dhanishta according to the Vedanga Jyotisha, the vernal equinox would be placed by astronomers in the end of the third quarter of Bharani, On the other hand, according to the twenty-eight Nakshatra system, if the winter solstice be at the same

to denote the position, could have been supposed to mark the vernal equinox. To answer this question this part of the heavens must be clearly Jaid before the mind's eye. Mrigasiras or the head stars of Orion are the earliest recorded heginners of the year, for with the sun near them the vernal equinox began the year in the very earliest period of Aryan history.* According to the Surya Siddhantat the distance between the asterisms Mrigasiras and Rohim (Aldebaran) is stated to be 13°. 30'. The distances between Rohini and the Krittikas and between the latter and Bharani are stated to be 12° and 17°, 30' respectively. As in those early times the heavens were divided into Nakshatras and Nakshatrapadas, and not into degrees and minutes, it must have heen then crudely supposed that the distances between Mrigasiras and Robini and between Robini and the Krittikas represented nearly the arc covered by a divisional Nakshatra (i. e. 13°. 20'), that the distance between the Krittikas and Bharani denoted a divisional Nakshatra and that the distance between the Krittikas and Bharani denoted a divisional Nakshatra and a quarter. The divisional Mrigasiras would therefore hegin with the asterism Rohini (Aldeharan) and the divi-

^{*} Mr. Tilak's Orion, Chapters IV, V, VI and VII.

26 THE 6

sional Rohini with the asterism Krittikas (Pleiades). The end of the divisional Krittikas would consequently concide with the asterism Pleiades and its beginning would be placed about a pada after the asterism Bharani. Thus the position given by the Vedance Justick for the vernal coultury, namely.

nsternsm Bharani. Thus the position given by the Vedanga Jyotisha for the vernal equinox, namely, the end of the third yand of Bharani, was sufficiently identified as being distant from the stars Krittikas by one divisional Nakshatra and from the Stars Bharani by one Nakshatrapada.

Stars Bharani by one Nakshatrapada.
We may therefore conclude that, at the beginning of the Kaliyuga, the vernal equinox took place with the sun at the end of the third pada of Bharani. As it is recorded that, in 499 A. D. the vernal equinox occurred with the sun in the first point of Aswina, there was a precession of

23°, 20' from the beginning of the Kali to 499 A.D.; that is, in other words, the Kali era began about 1173 B.C. It consequently follows that it is unreasonable to suppose, as some scholars have done, that the war happened about 1426 B.C. on the ground that the vernal entire took place ground that the vernal entire took place

with the sun in the Krittikas.

Secondly: The great Indian astronomer, Gargacharya, says in his Siddbanta when speaking of

charya, says in his Siddbanta when speaking of Salisuka, the fourth in succession from Asoka-"Then the viciously valiant Greeks, after reducing Saketa, Panchala country to Mathura, will

Kusumadhwaja (Patna) : Pushpapura being taken, all provinces will undoubtedly be in disorder. The unconquerable Yavanas will not. remain in the middle country. There will be cruel and dreadful war among themselves. Then after the destruction of the Greeks at the end of the Yuga. seven powerful kings will reign in Oudh." Mr. R. C. Dutt, from whose excellent work on Civilization in Ancient India the above translation is taken. remarks "we are then told that after the Greeksthe rapacious Sakas were the most powerful and we have little difficulty in recognising in them the Yueti conquerors." The annals of Garga here come to an end. The very same foreign invasion is mentioned by Patanjali in his famous Mahabhashya on Parini. In commenting on the rule of Panini that the imperfect tense has to be used when the speaker refers to a past action, or (as Vararuchi adds in his Vartika) when the event related is out of sight but actually taking place at the same time, Patanjali illustrates the rule with the examples, "Arunadyavanah saketam," "Arunadyavano madhyamikan," "the Yayana besieged Saketa," " the Yavana besieged the Madhyamikas." The commentators on the Mahabbashya explain that Patanjali lived at the time, although not on the spot, when the Yavana besieged Oudh and the Madhyamikas. He refers also to " Mouryas," "Hall of

THE CHRONOLOGI OF ANCIENT INDIA.

28

Chandragunta," and " Hall of Pushyamitra," From these references Dr. Goldstucker infers that Petaniali lived about 144 B. C. But he was led to give that date because he thought that the Yayana invasion of Saketa and of the Madhyamikas refer-

red to the Bactrian king Menander's invasion of Hindustan and encounter with the Buddhist Madhyamikas, the followers of Nagariuna, Dr. Bhandarkart infers that Pataniali wrote this particular portion about 144-142 B.C. on the ground that Menander is therein referred to and also for the teason that Pataniali speaks at the same place of

acrifices as still being performed for Pushpamitra.

It will be readily conceded that the word

"Madbyamikas" denotes, as its etymology shows, the residents of the middle country, the

same people that are referred to by Garga as the residents of the Madbyadesa or middle country, Patanjali himself explains the term to mean 'people

or towns belonging to Madhyadesa 1 and the Manusmriti § defines Madhyadesa to be the tract of country lying between the Himalayas in the north and the Vindhyas in the south and between Alla-

^{*} Papini, p. 234.

[†] Indian Antiquary, I 299; 11. 59. t Mahabhashya V.32. & Manusmriti II. 21.

habad in the east and Vinasana in the west. Consequently king Milinda's encounter with Nagarjuna and the Madhyamika sect recorded in Buddhistic legends need not be identified with the Yavana invasion referred to by Patanjali and Garga. Moreover Dr. Rajendralala Mitra* has shown that Menander never came as far as Oudh but only proceeded up to the Jumna, and in order that he might reach Oudh, he should have gone three hundred miles more to the eastward. That Garga does not refer to the invasion of Menander, which took place about 144 B. C., but to an earlier invasion of the Bactrian Greeks is proved by his referring it to the time of Salisuka who, according to the Vavu Purana, reigned for seven years after having ascended the throne III years from the date of Chandragupta or in the year 204 B. C.

In Madame Duff's recently published Chronology + of India, we find the following recorded :-

- (1) 206 B. C. Antiokhos III of Syria,
- after making war on Euthydemos of Bactria, came to India and made
 - a treaty with Sopbagasenas (Subbagasena).
- (2) 195 B. C. Demetrias of Bactris invades and reduces the Punjab.

^{*} Indo - Aryans, Vol. II. p. 193.

and Kandahar

- (3) 181 B. C. Fakratides ruled in Indua as well as over Bactria. Mithri-
- dates I, seized some of his provinces.
 (4) 180 B. C. The reigns of Euthydemos II., Antimakhos I, and of Pantaleon and Aguthocles. The
 - (5) 165 B. C. The great Yueh-ti established themselves in Sogdiana by the expulsion of the Saka tribe, which

coms of the last two are still found in the habul valley, western Punish

- thus dispossessed invaded Bactria.
 (6) 144 B. C. Lassen places Menander
- about this date.

 (7) 126 B. C. Bactria lost to the Saka tribe and wrested from it by the Yuch-ti.

firms the statements of Garga in a marked manner, that between 206 B. C. and 165 B. C. many Bactrian kings reigned over the northwestern borders of India, and some of them extended their conquests into the heart of northern Hindustan. These Bactrian chiefs seem to have often quarrelled among themselves until at last the Saka tribe, divien from their original

homes by the Yuch-ti conquerors, in their turn

It is clear from this list of dates, which con-

attacked and defeated the Bactrians about 165 B. C. and established themselves in Bactria. The Bactrians were the Yavanas of Patanjali and Garga, as they were Greeks who were often styled as Yavanas by the Hindus. The statement of Garga that "there would be dreadful war among themselves and that in the end the Sakas would displace them" is justified by the many little wars among these Bactrians themselves who at last were sent away from Bactria by the Sakas, Mr. R. C. Dutt identifies these Sakas with the Yueh-ti conquerors; but this supposition is unnecessary, if not incorrect, because before the Yuch-ti conquerors came to Bactria, the Sakas were there in power. Garga, it has to be remembered, refers to the Sakas and not to the Yuch-ti. It would appear that when the Bactrians were confronted by the Sakas about 165 B. C. in Bactria, they retired from their Indian provinces in order to meet their new foes who threatened their very hearths and homes. As soon as the Yavanas retired, seven powerful kings are said to have reigned in Saketa or Kosala which, as we know from the Vishnu Purana and the Bhagavatha, was divided into seven parts or 'sapta kosalas.' ·

The Yavana invasion referred to by Patanjali and Garga occurred therefore a few years before 165 B. C., about a bich year, however, they retired from their Indian provinces. According to the Puranas, Pushyamitra subverted the Mauryan dynasty and hegan to reign in northern India 137 years after the accession of Chandragupta to the throne, i.e., in 178 B.C. He is the father of the hero of Kalidasa's Malavikagnimitra, who according to the drama" defeated the Yavanas on the southern hanks of the Indus." Probably this defeatalso contributed to the retirement of the Greeks from the Madhvadesa, Kalidasa represents Pushyamitra as having been engaged in a great eacrifice when the victory over the Yavanas was cained and Pataniali states that sacrifices were being celebrated by Pushyamitra in his time. Moreover Pataniali refers to the Gargas so often as to induce Professor Weber to state that " the Gargas must have played a very important part at the time of the Mahabhashya, in the eyes of the author at all events." It may also be noted that Garga's annals, which refer the beginning of the Lavana invasion to the time of Salistika (204-197 B.C.), close rather abruptly with the narration of the destruction of these Vayanas. This fact shows that he could not have lived much later. For all the reasons above set forth, we may

Indian Literature, p. 252

conclude that Pushyamitra, Patanjali and Garga were almost contemporaries and lived about 165 B. C., the date of the retirement of the Bactrian Greeks from India. We may also suppose that Patanjali and Garga lived sometime hefore the invasion of Menander in 144 B.C., inasmuch as neither of them refers to the great Bactrian conqueror who overran Northern India and was of such consequence and renown as not only to find an honoured place in Buddhistic legends but also, according to Plutarch, to give rise, when he was no more, to a contention among several towns for the custody of his imperial ashes. That Garga lived about 165 B. C. is confirmed by a Sloka of his,* quoted by Bhattotpala in his commen-, tary on Brihat Samhits, which means that if the sun were to turn to the north without reaching Dhanishta, it foretold great calamity. Garga is most probably referring in this prophetic strain to the conquest of the Yavanas which was such a calamitous occurrence. As the sun commenced to turn to the north without reaching Dhanishta about the year 216 B. C., when the winter solstice began to occur with the sun in Sravana, he must have lived only a little later when the change began to he felt. For if he had lived long after

[&]quot; Mr. Tilak's Orion, p. 19

this astronomical variation, he would not have noted it and regarded with any anxiety.

This retirement of the Yavanas is said by Garga to have occurred " at the end of the Yuga." What is the Yuga that he speaks of ? I am sure that it refers not to the shortlived five year cycle but to the other Yuga then in existence, the Kali Yuga. Garga bimself speaks of the four Yugas, Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali, the third ending, and the fourth beginning, with the Mahabbarata war. It will be shown, when the subject of the Yugas comes to be dealt with, that the Kali period was originally believed to consist of athousand years. As the retirement of the Yavanas from India took place about 165 B. C., the Kali Ynga which ended about this time must have begun about 1165 B. C. Megasthenes, the ambassador of Seleucus at the court of Chandragunta, has recorded in his writings the impressions he received while in India. His writings are known to us only in fragments from the works of Arrian, Pliny, Solinus, and others. Arrian (146 A.D.) quotes the following from Megasthenes: "From the time of Dionysus " to Sandracottus, the Indians counted 153 kings and a period of 6012 years; but among these a republic

Mc Crindle's Ancient Indra pr. 203 and 204.

was thrice established. . and another to 300 years and another to 120 years." Pliny (41 A. D.), in quoting Megasthenes on the ancient history of the Indians, says: "For the Indians stand almost alone among the nations in never having migrated from their own country. From the days of Father Bacchus to Alexander the Great. their kings are reckoned at 154, whose reigns extend over 6451 years and 3 months," Solinus (238 A. D.) is reported as stating:-" Father Bacchus was the first who invaded India and was the first of all who triumphed over the vanquished Indians. From him to Alexander the Great, 6451 years are reckoned with 3 months additional, the calculation being made by counting the kings who reigned in the intermediate period to the number of 153." + Thus there are three independent sources from which we can obtain an insight into the ancient chronology of India as known to the Greeks. 'It has to be noted that the legend of Dionysus or Bacchus and his connection with India is one of the most famous in Hellenic antiquity. Persecuted by the jealous Hera. Dionysus was exposed on Mount Nysa in Thrace from which he took his name (Dionysus=Nysa

^{*}Hist. Nat. VI. XXI. 4, 5. Macrindle's Ancient India, p. 115.

† Mc Crindle's Ancient India, p. 115.

Sprung). In his long travels he is said to have reached India once upon a time, where he spent a tew years in subduing its fierce tribes and teaching them, for so the story goes, the elements of cultivation, the pleasures of the grape, and the arts of civilization. In his Anabasis, the historian Arrian introduces the name of Dionysus in connection with another Nysa a city near the modern Cabul. which in about 327 B.C. surrendered to Alexander the Great. The troops of the Macedonian conqueror recognising in that city the limit of the conquests of Dionysus praised their leader as having surpassed even Dionysus in the extent of his conquests. Having found in Nysa near Cabul a verbal resemblance to the town of Nysa in Thrace, they naturally supposed that Dionysus must have gone so far east as India, and in this supposition they were confirmed by their tradition. Thus we can understand how the Greeks, always bent on identifying their legendary heroes with those of other countries. came to identify Bacchus (Dionysus) with Ikshvaku, the son of Vaivaswata Manu, who, according to Indian tradition, was the first to establish government and the arts of peace in Aryavarta. The Puranas give almost the same number of reigns from the time of Vaivasvata to the time of Chandragupta or Alexander. The number of kings given by these foreign historians "is eminently satisfactory as it seems clear that we possess in the Paranas the same lists as were submitted to the Greeks in the fourth century B. C. In the solar lists we have in the Treta Yuga 62 reigns from Ikshvaku to Rama. For the Dwapara age we have three solar lists: one from Kusha to Bribadhala, 35 reigns; another from Dishta to Janamejaya, 33 reigns; a third from the son of Siradhwaja, the father of Sita, to Mahabasi, 34 reigns. In the Kali Yuga we have no complete solar list, but the lunar list gives fifty descents from Jarasandha to the last Nanda. This gives 145 or 146 reigns.' * But with regard to the periods given in the three classical accounts, they have bitherto yielded nothing of historical value. Fergasson was of opinion that this part of the statement must be rejected as it gave an average duration of nearly forty years for each reign. "But," says he, "it is satisfactory to find that at that early age, the falsification of the chronology had only gone to the extent of duplication and that the monstrous system of Yugas, with their attendant absurdities had not then been invented." But this is an unsatisfactory method of explaining the figures mentioned by the generally accurate ... classical historians. When the number of the kings

Fergusson's History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p. 712.

given by them talkes with that given by the Puranas, it may be asked how the number of years alone comes to be exaggerated. I believe that, far from being totally inaccurate, the years serve but to con-

being totally inaccurate, the years serve but to confirm the date fixed for the beginning of the Kali Yuga.

It has to be noted that the number of years given by so accurate a historian as Arrison differs from that given by Pliny who lived about a century earlier, and by Solinus who wrote about a century later, than Arrian. It has also to be remembered that every one of these scholars seems to quote from

than Arrian. It has also to be remembered that overy one of these scholars seems to quote from a common source, the writings of 'Megathere. But for the unfortunate destruction of the great Alexandrian library and the misguided vanity of the monks of early Christianity, who erawd many valuable writings on the ancient papyrus rollssoasto give room for their own productions, we might have been overwhelmed with a wealth of ancient fore and tradition to illuminate

wealth of ancient lore and tradition to illuminate many a dark problem relating to antiquity. Thus has been lost to the world the 'Indika' of Megasthenes and we are therefore to be content with the fragments quoted above from the other authors. Arrian states that 6042 years elapsed from the time of Ishraku to that of Chandragupta and that "among these" there were republics 'established for a period of about 420 years. We may suppose that

the total for the reigns of the kings and for the republics comes to about 6042 + 420 or 6462 years,* a figure which very nearly approaches that given by the other two historians, 6451 years and 3 months. But inasmuch as we have for the latter figure the authority of two authors, one of whom was earlier hy a century than Arrian, and inasmuch as both these writers are so exact as to give the number of months also in addition to the years elapsed, whereas Arrian deals vaguely in round figures in speaking of the republics, we ought to be satisfied that Megasthenes, the authority for all the three historians, must have stated that from the time of Iksbwaku to that of Alexander . there had elapsed a period of 6451 years and 3 months. It is a matter of common knowledge that Alexander fought with Porus in the year 326 B. C., and left India for good in the year 325 B. C.+

To explain the number of years given by these historians, we shall have to advert to the Saptarshi

As Pliny and Solinus give 6451 years for the interval between Ikshwaku and Alexander, and as Arrian gives 6462 years for the interval between Ikshwaku and Sandracottas, the two statements may perhaps be reconciled by our supposing that Chandragupta (315 B. C.) began to reign about 11 years later than Alexander's conquest of India (626 B. C.)

[†] M. Duff's Chronology of India, pp. 8 and 9.

cycle. We cannot at this distance of time explain satisfactorily what exactly our ancients had in mind when they laid down the mysterious proposition that the seven Rishis or the seven stars of Ursa Major moved on from Nakshatra to Nakshatra at the rate of one Nakshatra for every one hundred years. Bentley* supposed it to be a crude way of expressing what to the ancients was the inexplicable precession of the equinoxes. This imaginary motion is thus explained in the Vishnu Purana :-- "When the two first stars (Pulaha and Kratu) of the seven Rishis (the Great Bear) rise in the heavens and some lunar asterism is seen at night at an equal distance between them, then the seven Rishis continue stationary in that conjunction for a hundred years of men. At the hirth of Parikshit they were in Magha and the Kaliyngs. which consists of 1200 years, then commenced." T The commentator on the Bhacavata Purana says: "The two stars Pulaba and Kratu must rise or be visible hefore the rest: and whichever asterism is in a line south from the middle of these stars is that with which the seven stars are united and so they continue for one hundred years." Very much the same explanation is given

Historical View of Hindu Astronomy, p. 65.

[†] Vishnu Purana, IV. 24

by the Vayu and the Matsya Puranas.* Despite the authority of the venerable compilers of these Puranas, I am led to think that this explanation appears to he fantastic and opposed to astronomical science. It is true that the shifting of the equinoxes consequent on their precession may change the aspect of the starry sky with reference to the celestial poles. But as a matter of fact, the constellation of Ursa Major, which is stationed between the Leonis and the north pole of the heavens, cannot move on from Nakshatra to Nakshatra in the manner supposed by the Pauranikas. Indeed we are informed by the Puranas and the astronomers, Garga and Varahamihira, † that the Ursa Major was in [i.e., was between the north pole and] the Magha Nakshatra (the Sickle) in the days of Yudhishtira; and as we find it even now to be located nearly in the same position, we may infer that the movement, far from heing actual, was merely a convenient method to denote the march of time from the famous epoch of the Pandava Yudhishtira. Thus, if a certain event is stated to have occurred with the Santarshis, say, in the Visakha Nakshatra, the statement does not signify that the Rishis have actually moved on to Visakha, but only

Wilson's Vishnu Purana, Vol. IV. 233.

[†] Brihat Samhita XIII. 2 and 3.

means that the event took place in the sixth century after the epoch of Yudbishtira, Visakha being the sixth Nakshatra from Magba.

We have already seen that the number of Nakchatras popularly in use about the time of the compilation of the Atharva Veda Samhita and Taittiriya Brahmana was twenty-cirht, including Abhijit, which Nakshatra was bowever left out by the Vedanga Jyotisha for enabling it to deal with astronomical phenomena in a scientific manner. But the change from twenty-eight to twenty-seven Nakshatras was confined for a long time to astronomers only. As the moon takes about 27] * days to make onecomplete circuit of the earth, the astronomers seem to have preferred the number of 27 Nakshatras, or "mile-tones of the heavens," as they have been appropriately termed, in order to make the moon's progress through each Nakshatra correspond to a civil day as nearly as possible. But for ordinary purposes the number of 28 Nakshatras seems to have been retained, as for instance, in the performance of Nakshatreshti sacrifices. As about the time of Yudhishtira the two Vedic works which refer to the twenty-eight Nakshatras were compiled, the cycle of the Saptarshis, which began with the epoch of Yudhishtira, appears to have

^{* 27} days, 7 bours, 46 minutes, and 12 seconds.

been originally a cycle of tweoty-eight centuries. It is true that in Kashmir, where the Saptarshi cycle is even now prevalent, it is considered to he a cycle of tweoty-seven centuries. This belief, however, is due to the fact that the twenty-seven Nakshatra system has become firmly established in India since the time of Aryabhata and Varshamira. But at the time we are speaking of, namely, the reign of Chandragapta, the old popular way of counting twenty-eight Nakshatras was much in vogue and the Saptarshikala was therefore a cycle of 2800 years.

It is apparent from the Puranas that the different dynastics date from the beginning of the Treta Yuga and that Ikshwaku and Budha flourished at the beginning of the same Yuga. * The Puranas relate nothing of bistorical interest as lawing taken place in the first or Krita age which is mainly occupied with the first four non-historic + Avatars of Vishnu. The Bhagavata ‡ says that Pururavas, the first king of the lunar line and the nephew of the first king of the solar line lived at the beginning of the Treta Yuga.

^{*}Warren's Kala Saukalita, pp. 353 and 366. Fergusson's History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p. 712. Bhagasatz IX. 14.

[†] W. J. Wilkins' Hindu Mythology, p 130.

^{1 1}X. 14.49.

In the Uttarakanda of Valmiki Ramayana it is related that the Kshatriyas were born in the Treta Yuga.* It is therefore clear that both the solar and the lunar dynasties were supposed to have come into being in the beginning of the Treta Yuga, that is, two Yugas before the commencement of the Kali Yuga. In a subsequent chapter it will be shown, chiefly from references in the Rig Veda, that in Vedic times there existed a cycle of a thousand years, probably called 'Saptarshi Chakra', connected with the constellation of the Saptarshis, that there had intervened two such cycles between the time of Ikshwaka and that of Yudhishtira, that these two cycles were afterwards converted into the Trets and Dwapara Yugus of later chronology, and lastly that this Sapiarshichakra was the direct parent of our Saptarshi cycle of twentyeight centuries. The informants of Megasthenes, who were aware that two Saptarshichakras or cycles had preceded the war, naturally, though erroneously, supposed that two periods of 2,800 years each, or 5,600 years in all, had expired by that epoch. That the Saptarshi cycle was the guiding system of chronology about the time of Chandragupta is proved by a verset of Garga * Ch. 74.

Ton. 19.

† Brilint Sambita, Nift. 2 and 3.

See also Vishnu Parasa IV. 24., where the age of Nanda is fixed in a similar manner.

which uses the Saptarshi periods to fix the date of the Saka (sic) kala. As Megasthene's gives 6451 years for the period between 'Ikshwaku and Alexander the Great and as 5,600 years were supposed to have expired at the beginning of the Kali Yuga, 6451-5600 or a duration of 851 years must have been the period represented to Megasthenes as having expired since the commencement of the new era. Since Alexander left India in 325 B.C., the Kaliyuga must have commenced, according to the informants of Megasthenes, in the year \$\$51+325 or 1176 B.C.

There are five important eras at present in use among Hindus in India of which the Vikrama and the Salivahana are the latest. The Saptarshi era is even now prevalent in Kashmir and the Kali is used generally in the rest of India. But the Malahar country is also guided by another era, called after Parasurama, which is also known as the Quilon or Kollam era, According to approved tradition it is a cycle of a thousand years and the present cycle, believed to be the fourth, began in the year 1825 A. D. But curiously enough, Mr. Logan thinks it to be an era beginning in 825 A. D., because no doubt that supposition suited his theory regarding the date of Cheruman Perumal, the supposed royal convert to Islam, Many scholars, like Drs. Caldwell, Gun-

dert and Burnell, have tried to explain the true origin of the Kollam Andu, but their explanations do not seem to be satisfactory. The late Mr. P. Sundram Pillar discussed these opinions in a paper contributed to the Madras Christian College Magazine (Feb. 1:97), and finding them unsatisfactory, suggested that the Kollam Anda was " a modification of snother older era current in Upper India, under the name of Saptarshaya or Sastra Samsatsara. The peculiarity of this northern era is that though it is to-day 1972, it is spoken of as 72, so that omitting the hundreds it would be found to be identical with our Malabar year except for four months beginning with Mesha." The Saptarshi begins with the month of Mesha; but the Kollam begins with Kanya in the north, and with Simba in the south, of Malabar, Mr. Sandram Pillai explains this divergence by supposing that in all probability the astronomers " found it necessary so to smend the northern luni-solar year in order to convert it into a purely solar one as the Kollam year professes to be," Mr. Sundram Pillai, however, ignores the fact of the Kollam Andu being acycle and believes that it was adopted about \$25 A.D. from the countries using the Saptarshi era. This is certainly a mis--conception and is due to the fact that the Kollam And at present counts also the thousand years of the last cycle in addition to the expired years

of the present cycle. . Thus the Kollam Andu for July 1901 is the 76th year of the fourth cycle; but it is now written as 1076 of the Kollam era: Onthe contrary, Lieutenant Col. Warren, who wrote his work, the learned Kalasankalita, just about the beginning of the present cycle in 1824 A. D., says of this Andu, "that there had expired on the 14th September, 1800, two cycles of a thousand years each and 976° years of the third cycle". Mr. R Sewell states in his Indian Calendar + that the years of the Kollam Andu "run in cycles of thousand years. The present cycle is said to be the fourth. If there were really two cycles, ending with the year 1000, which expired 824-5 A. D., then it would follow that the Kollam era hegan in Kali 1927 current or the year 3528 of the Julian period." Warren relied on a still earlier authority. Dr. Buchanan, who stated "that the inhabitants of Malayala reckoned time in cycles of thousand years from 1176 B.C. and that in September 1800 A.D., there were two cycles and 976 years expired of that era."1 We have therefore the authority of three eminent writers, two of whom lived before the commencement of the present cycle, to the

^{*} Must be 975 years. Kalasankalita, p. 374. Sec Sewell's Indiau Calendar, p. 45, footnote, † P 45.

Must be 975 years. Kalasankalita, p. 298.

effect that the Kollam era is really a thousand year cycle heginning in August or September 1176 B. C.

Not only is the Kollam Andu acycle of a thousand years but it is also identical with the old Saptarshi cycle which is referred to by Albirani (1030 A.D.), Kalbana (1148 A. D.) and the Puranas. As many manuscripts in the Deccan College are said to be dated in the Saptarshi era, this era seems to have once been much more widely used than at present. Though the Kashmirians now state that this era began in 3076 * B.C., it will be shown in a later chapter that about the time when the chronological portion of the Vishnu Purana was reduced to its present form, the Saptarshi era was supposed to begin a bundred years earlier, or in 3176 B. C., that the Vedic 'Saptarshichakra' cycle of a thousand years, the first cycle of which began in 3176 B. C. and the second cycle of which ended in 1176 B. C., was the direct parent of, and was immediately followed by, the modern Saptarshi kala. The Kollam Andu too is a cycle of a thousand years and began in 1176 B. C. Is it not then most likely that both the Saptarshi and Kollam cycles are almost identical? The earliest starting point for the modern Saptarshi kala is the

R. Sewell's Indian Calendar, p. 41.

birth of Parikshit when the Rishis were in Magha and the Kali Yuga then commenced." Thus the Puranas identify the Saptarshi Kala with the Kali Yuga. As the Kollam era has been identified with the Saptarshi era, we may safely conclude that the Kollam Andu, the Saptarshi Kala, and the Kali Yuga, all commenced in 1176 B.C.

The Kollam era seems to have been brought into Malabar by the Aryan Namburi .immigrants at some remote period in the onnals of southern India," "Everything about the Namburi society is hoary . with age," says Mr. V. Nagam Aiya in his report on the Census of Travaocore. What has been altogether forgottee by the Hindus in the rest of India Kashmir alooe excepted, is still retained by these extremely conservative people of Malabar. But the very same reason which accounts for the disappearance of this era in the rest of India also contributed to the origio of the era being forgotten in Malahar. In the heginning of the sixth century A.D., the astronomers made the Kali Yuga hegin in 3102 B.C., and the authority of Aryahhata and Varahamihira was supreme enough to cause the spread of the new doctrine throughout the length and breadth of India. The people of Malabar, who were led therefore to believe that the

^{*} Vishnu Purana, IV. 24.

Kali began in 3102 B.C., connected their era, which really began with the commencement of the Kali era in 1176 B.C., with the venerable name of Parasurama, the supposed leader of the Aryan namigration into Malabar.

It is strange that, to this day, it is a Namburi Brahman that is the munistering priest at the shrine of Kedarnath in the Himalayas and at Jagannath in Oriesa. It is also curious that not only in chronology, but also in architecture, laws and usages, the northernmost parts of India have much in common with the Malabar country. Dr. Fergusson and Mr. J. D. Mayne are both struck by this remarkable coincidence. Whatever be the explanation for this resemblance, we may be perfectly sure that the extremely conservative tendency of Malabar bas very largely helped to preserve it. Of all the peoples in India, the Malayalis, Brahmans and non-Brahmans alike, still preserve their respective , old institutions with a pious heroic conservatism worthy of a better cause. If Western education has undermined this pathetic attachment to the old order of things, we can only hope that those to whom has been entrusted the shaping of their new destiny will consider the claims of the old in paving the way for the new.

CHAPTER II.

THE DATE OF THE MAHABHARATA WAR.

N the last chapter it was attempted to fix the date of the heginning of the Kaliyuga from testimony derived from four different sources.

From the data furnished by the astronomical work called Vedanga Jyotisha, it was inferred that the era must have begun about 1173 B. C. From the statement made by the astronomer (largacharya, we drew the conclusion that it must have commenced a few years before 1165 B. C. On examining the figures given by the classical historians, we found that it began S51 years before the date of Alexander's stay in India, or in 1177-76 B. C., a date which, we saw, was confirmed by the evidence of the Malabar Kollam Andu which commenced in 'August or September,' 1176 B. C. As the Vedanga Jyotisha, which refers to the period of the commencement of the Kalivuga, begins the year with the winter solstice.* we may well suppose that the Kaliyuga began with the winter solstice immediately prece-

^{*} Yajur Vedanga Jyotisha; Verses 6 and 7.

ding the commencement of the Kollam Andu, or at the end of 1177 B.C. It is proposed in this chapter to examine fresh materials and to fix the date of the great Mahabbarata war which was fought a few years before the beginning of the Kaliynga.

It is unanimously declared in the Mahabharata" and in all the Puranas + that as long as Sri Krishna remained on earth, the Danparayuga continued and with his death commenced the Kali age. "As long as the earth was touched by His holy feet, the Kalı age could not affect it. . . But the day that Krishna shall have departed from the earth will be the first of the Kaliyuga." On hearing of his death, his devoted admirers, the Pandavas, did not care any longer to hold the reins of government, which indeed they would have already resigned, but that their grandson and heir, Parikshit, had until then been too young to be trusted with the cares of an empire. The aweetof the purple had, in fact, never § been acceptable to them, inasmuch as their victory was

^{*} Mahaprasthanika parra, I, Zand 7.

[†] Wilson's translation of Vishnu Parana, Vol. IV. p. 234. ‡ Ibid.

[§] Santi Parva, VII, XXV, and LXXV.

The same of the sa bought at too dear a price and only after a terrific carnage of all their dearest friends and relatives in that tremendous war. The death of Sri Krishna proved to be the proverbial last straw and the Pandavas once for all determined to quit a world fraught with so many painful recollections. There is a pathetic fable current in Southern India that when Yudhishtira was ruling his empire with even-handedjustice, he suddenly found on a certain day an unjust claim set up before him hy a litigant who, only the day before, had been pleading the cause of righteousness. The virtuous monarch was astounded by this unprecedented and audden decline in virtue and attributed it to the influence of the Kaliyuga, the dawn of which was then being expected. He forthwith resolved never more to witness the vices of the sinful age and, having established Parikshit in the *overeignty of his realm, departed with his brother* on his grand Mahaprasthana. Whatever may be the reason that ultimately induced the Pandayas to retire from their worldly duties, it is evident that they were enabled to carry out their resolve the more easily by the fact that Parikshit had just then arrived at age. It has to be remembered that in precocious India Hindu lawyers fix the age of majority in the sixteenth year. As Parilshit was born soon after the close of the war, the beginning of the Kaliage, which is coeral with the coronation. of Parikshit, must be placed about sixteen years after the war; and if the Kali commenced in 1177 B. C., Parikshit must probably have been born in 1193 B. C. and the war should have taken place towards the end of the year 1194 B. C.

was destroyed 36t years after the war and that the Pandaras left their kingdom soon after at the beginning of the Kaliyuga. The compiler of the epic, who wants us bere to believe that the Kali commenced 36 years after the war, on another occasion? places the beginning of the Kali at the tima of the war itself. It is not safe to rely on every statement contained in the epic, because it is neither the work of one author nor of one age.

But the epic relates that the race of Sri Krishna

^{*} Wilson's Vishau Parana, Vol. IV. p 232.

[†] Mansala Parva, I. 1 and 3 † Bhagaratyana Parva, Sec. CXLII.

[§] Telang's Introductions to Bingavat Utia, Sanatsayatiya, and Amgita in the Sacred Books of the East Seriev, V. VIII, Wilson-Stratedu-Lion to Vichnu Puraca; Prof. Macdonell's Sanskrit Literature, p 285 Weber's Indian Literature, pp 187 and 188; Monier Williams's Indian Wisdom, XIII. n. 371.

We can find other statements in the epic nearly contradicting the statements above set forth. The Mahahharata states that, after the expiry of fifteen years after the war, old Dhritarashtra left with his wife and Kunti for the forest glades. to enable him to lead the holy life of a recluse. In the sixteenth year after the war, the Pandavas are said to have set out on a visit to these old people. taking with themall their male and female relatives. We are told that Uttara, the wife of Abhimanyu, "who had recently become a mother." war also among the number, "with her child (Parikshit) in her lap." † It must be borne in mind that Parikshit was conceived sometime before the war, as he was the son of Ahhimanya who had lost his life in the war. The Mahahharata expressly states that Parikshit was in gremio matrix during the progress of the war, Consequently he could not have been a baby at the breasts in the sixteenth year after the war. As this statement is therefore incorrect, I am disposed to believe that in the sixteenth year after the war the Pandayas started, not on a visit to these old people, but on their last journey, the Mahaprasthana, Moreover, one may be

^{*} Asramavasika Parva, XV. 10. † *Ibid.* XXV. 15. † Sauptika Parva, XVI. 7 and 8.

struck by the fact that while much irrelevant and extraneous matter is crowded into the epic, the marriage of Parikshit alone is not mentioned. The reason evidently is that Parikshit was young and unmarried when the Pandavas rather suddenly handed over the kingdom to him on hearing of the death of that great herophilosopher, that alter ego of Pandava Arjuna, Sri Krishna Vasudeva. The Mahabharata concludes with the narration of their last journey and 'ascent to heaven, and most probably the marriage of Parikshit took place sometime later. Besides, if Parikshit were really 36 years of age at this time, how is it that Yudhishtim placed him at the time of their departure under the tutelage of Kripscharya ?* It would be more consistent with the general drift of the epic if we hold that Parikshit was about sixteen years of age when he was placed in charge of the Kaurava Empire and that the Mahahharata war occurred about sixteen years before the beginning of the Kaliyuga. We shall presently find that there are other grounds which go to support such a conclusion,

The only indigenous work in all India that can pass for history is the Rajatarangini, the well-known history of Kashmir, written in 1148 A. D.

[&]quot; Mausala Parva, VII. 14.

by Kalhana Pandit. It states* that the author put together the details deduced from his examination. not only of the sasanas of the previous kings recording the consecration of temples and grants to them, but also of the laudatory inscriptions and of sastras current in his time. Dr. Hultzsch and M. Trover translate thus the verses 48 and 49 of the first Taranga:--'Misled by the tradition that the Bharata wartook place at the end of the Dwapara (yuga), some have considered as wrong the sum of years (contained in the statement that,) in the Kaliyuga, the kings beginning with Gonanda I. (and ending with Andha Yudhishtira)ruled over the Kasmiras for 2268 years.'t This Gonanda I, was, according to the Rajatarangini, the contemporary of the great Pandavas of the Mahabharata fame. The fifty-second in descent from Gonanda I. was Abhimanyu, the son of Kanishka, whose successor, Gonanda III., was the first of a new dynasty which came to power 2330 years before Kalhana's time.'§ Butas we find in the Rajatarangini that the actuals for the reigns from the end of the reign of Andha, Yudhishtira, the last of the dynasty of Gonanda III., to Kalhana's own time amount to 1329 years, 3 months and 28 days, or roughly

^{*} First Taranga, Verse 15.

[†] Indian Antiquary, April 1889.

^{11. 44. § 1. 52} and 53.

58

1330 years, Kalbana must have supposed that the interval between the end of Abhimanyu's reign and that of Andha Yudhishtira's represented a period of (2330-1330, or) 1000 years. From the extract given above, it is clear that in Kalbana's time the belief was current that there had elapsed 2268 years from the time of Pandava Yudhiahtira to that of Andha Yudhishtira. Consequently Kalhana gives(2268-1000.or)1268 years for the reigns of the first fifty-two kings from Gonanda I, to Ahhimanyu. We are now in a position to understand what the 'tradition', " referred to hy Kalhana actually was. It must have been supposed that 1268 years had elapsed from the time of the coronation of Pandava Yudhishtira, the contemporary of Gonanda I., to the time of Ahhimanyu, and that the twenty-one kings of the dynasty of Gonanda III, reigned in all for one thousand years. We may so even further and infer that the original tradition was to the effect that 1268 years had elapsed from the time of the great war to the time of Kanishka's successor, and that the period of 1000 years, allotted to the twenty-one kings of the second dynasty, is a later addition, regard being had to the large average for each reign and the roundness of the figure. As

^{*} I. 48 and 49.

a matter of fact, Kalhana bimself actually states that it is "thought" that the fifty-two kings down to Abhimanyu reigned in all " for 1266" years."

But we may well be surprised with the actual dates given by the historian. As we may infer from the verses 48 and 49 of the first Taranga, he accepts the old tradition in so far as it stated that 2268 years bad elapsed from the time of Pandava Yudhishtira to that of Andha Vudhishtira; but with regard to the other part of the tradition, namely, that Pandava Yudhishtira lived at the end of the Dwapara Yuga, Kalbana does not accept it. The reason for Kulbana's standpoint was that, in his day as now, the Dwapara was supposed to haveended, and the Kaliyuga to have begun, in 3102 B. C., whereas he placed greater reliance on a verset of Gargo, quoted in Varahamihira's Bribat-Sambita, which he interpreted, erroneously as will sbortly appear, as meaning that Yudhishtira commenced to reign 2526 years before the era of Salivahana, or in 2448 B, C. As Abhimanyn lived 1268 years after Pandava Yudhishtira, Kalhana therefore placed him in (2448-1268, or) 1180 Since Kanishka and his successor Abhimanyu lived in the first century

^{*} Evidently a mistake for 1268 years. I. 54.

[†] Bribat Samhita, XIII, 3 and 4.

Christ, it will be readily seen that the false figures given by Kalhana for Abbimanyu and all the subsequent kings down to the sixth century A. D. can be traced to his mistaken interpretation of the tradition and of the verse of Garga referred to by him.

The verse of Garga will be shortly discussed in another connection. But at present it is enough to concern ourselves with Kalhana's premises, and not with his dates. As we have seen, he proceeded on an ancient tradition that from the time of Yudhisbtira to the time of Abhimanyu, the successor of Kanishka, there was an interval of 1268 years. Though the late General Cunningham thought that the Vikrama era dating from 57 B.C. began with Kanishka, yet almost all Sanskrit* scholars are now agreed that he lived in the first century after Christ and that probably the Saka era, which began on the 3rd of March 78 A. D., dates from this influential monarch. Without, however, entering into this vexed question, we may broadly state that Kanishka is proved by coinst to bave reigned down to 40 A. D. Whether or not the era

^{*} See M. Duff's Chronology of India, p 21, where all the authorities are collected.

[†] Lassen in Indische Alterthumskunde, II, 413, quoted in Weber's Indian Literature, pp. 218 and 219,

of Salivahana dates from Kanishka, it is clear that Abhimanyu must have been reigning about the commencement of that en in 78 A. D. If so, Yudhishtira, who lived '1268 years earlier, must have begun to reign about (1268—78, or) 1190 B.C. As the coronation of Yudhishtira took place soon after the close of the war, we may suppose that the Mahahharata war also was fought about the year 1190 B.C.

In the year 476 A. D. was born the greatest of Hindu astronomers, Aryabhata by hame, whose fame spread not only in India and Arabia but also in the vast dominions of the Greek Empire of Constantinople. He was known to the Arabs under the name of Arjabath and to the Romans as Andubarius or Ardubarius.† He was the first in India to promulgate the bold theory that it was the earth 4that revolved round the sun. His calculation of the circumference of the earth and his explanation of the phenomena of the eclipsest prove beyond doubt that he richly deserved all the encomiums showered upon him by Hindus and foreigners

^{*} Santi Parva, XLL, 23.

^{† &#}x27;Chronicon Paschale,' quoted in Weber's Indian Literature, p. 255.

[†] Dutt's Ancient India, Vol. II. p. 243.

alike. He is reported to have stated "that the line of the Saptarelus intersected the middle of Magha Nakshatra in the year, of Kaliyuga 1910," s.e., 1102 B.C. It has already been shown that the Puranas † relate that the Rishis were in the very same position at the birth of Parikshit. Combining the testimony of the Paranas with that of Aryabhata, we may readily infer that Parilabit was horn about 1192 B.C. As the war took place at the most a few months earlier than the birth of Parikshit, it might have occurred about 1193 B.C.

We arrive at the very same result if we take into consideration the number of kings who, according to the Poranas, occupied the throne of Magadha from the time of the war to the accession of Chandragupts. The Vishnu Purana states what the other Puranas mainly agree in recording, namely, that the nine Nandas reigned for a hundred years, that the ten Saisunagas of the next previous dynasty reigned for 362 years, that the five kings, of the still previous Pradyota dynasty occupied the throne for 138 years, and that this last dynasty succeeded the famous Barbadratha dynasty, twentytwo kings of which occupied the throne of Magadha since the date of the War. Thus we get 100

^{*} Warren's Kala Sankalita, p. 380.

[†]See Wilson's Translation of Vishnu Purana, Vol IV, p. 33.

years for the Nandas and 500 years for the two previous dynasties. We have to hear in mind that it is unsafe to implicitly believe in everything that the Puranas relate. It is rather our duty to "prove all things and hold fast that which is good.". The number of kings given by them is probably correct inasmuch as it is very probable that the. same number was reported to the Greek Ambassador Megasthenes. But we have to pause before accepting the periods. At first sight one would remark the round figures for the periods allotted to these dynasties, namely, 100 years for the Nandas and 500 years for the Pradyotas and Saisunagus. What, however, strikes one most is the large average number of years for each reigh. The very same Vishnu Purana gives 137 years for the ten kings of the later Maurya dynasty, 112 years for the ten kings of the Sunga dynasty, and 45 years for the four kings of the Kanwa line. The average number of years for each king of these post-Chandragupta dynasties is about 12 years. But the average for the Pradyota dynasty is about 28 years and that for the Saisunaga about 36 years! As for the Nandas, it is scarcely probable that a father and his sons could have reigned for one hundred years, especially when we remember that the last surviving sons did not die a natural

death, but were extirpated by Chandragupta with the aid of the scheming Chanskya. As the years, given by the Purana for these pre-Chandragupta dynasties are therefore not trustworthy, it would be unsafe to deduce therefrom the probable date of the war. It is possible that the Puranas may have left out insignificant reigns, or that these ancient kings were more robust and long-lived than the kings of the post-Chandragupta period; but even on that supposition, the averages are still too large.

In hardy old England, from the Norman invasion to the beginning of the twentieth century, thirty-five monarchs had reigned, the commonwealth counting as one king, for a period of 835 years, and the average for each reign is about twenty-three years. I'rom the accession of Hugh Capet of the House of Valois to the execution of Louis XVI, France † had been ruled over by thirty-three kings for a period of (1793-987, or) 806 years, yielding an' average of about twenty-four years. Eight kings had ruled over Prussia t from the accession of Frederick I. to the death of Frederick II. in 1888 A. D., or for a period of 187 years with an average of about twenty-three years. In Russia,† from the time of Ivan III., * See Visakhadatta's Mudra-Rakshasa and Dhuntiraja's Introduction thereto. Mahawanso, Ch. IV.
† George's Geneological Tables of Modern History...

had reigned twenty-two monarchs up to the accession of the present Emperor Nicholas II. for a period of (1894-1462, or) 432 years, , which results in an average of about nineteen years. In old Japan, the present Emperor Mutsu Hito is supposed to be "the 123rd of the Imperial line, his ancestor Jimmu Tenno, the first Emperor, having established the dynasty which has lasted unbroken for 2500 years." This gives about twenty-one years for each king of this long-lived dynasty. Thus we have the averages for each of the five foremost Powers of our Hemisphere, 23 years for England, 24 for France, 23 for Germany, 19 for Russia, and 21 for Japan. We may not be far wrong if we take the average of these averages, i.e. about 22 years, as the probable duration of each reign of the pre-Chandragupta dynasties. There were twenty-two Barhadrathus, five Pradyotas and ten Saisunagas, or thirty-seven kings in all, from the time of the War to that of the Nandas and they might therefore have reigned for about (37 × 22, or) 814 years. Moreover, according to the Buddhistic 'Mahawanso,' composed by Mahanama at about 460 A. D., Mahapadma Nanda, called Kalasoko in the chronicle, reigned for twenty years and

^{*} Rev. Herbert Moore's Half-hours in Japan, p. 250. 5

"had ten sons. These brothers conjointly ruled the empire righteoasly far 22 years. Sahsequently there were nine brothers: they elso according ta seniority righteously reigned for 22 years." That is to say, the Nandas reigned in all for a period of (20+22+22, or) 64 years, a figure more likely ta be correct than the Paranic 100 years. Thus, according to our method of reckoning, the War must have bappened about (814+64, or) 878 years before Chandragupta, or at about (378+315†, or) 1153 B. C.

We have stated above that there was en intervel af ehout 814 years between the Wer end the eccession to the throne af Mahapadma Nenda. But the Vishau Purana gives 1015; years for the period or about 200 years more than aur figure. The compiler at the Purana appears to have errived at 1015 years hy supposing that a roand period of 1000 years elapsed from the cammencement of the Kaliyugi to the time of Nanda's accessian and that the Kali began 15 years later than the war. If this surmise of ours he correct, we may well suspect the genuineness of the interval of a round period of a thousand years between the beginning of Kali and

^{*} Turnour's Mahawanso, Ch. IV. † Max Muller's Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 298.

[‡] Vishnu Purana, IV. 24

the coronation of Nanda. Moreover, the Purana period of 1015 years for the 37 kings hetween the War and the coronation of Nanda yields an improbable average of a little over 27 years. That the author deals vaguely in round figures is evident from his givirg 100 years for the Nandas, 500 years for the Pradyotas and Saisonagas, and 1000 * years for the Barbadratha dynasty; and this last figure directly conflicts with the other statement that 1015 years intervened hetween the war and the eod of the Saisunaga dynasty.

There is another statement in this Purana to the effect that the Saptarebis, which are supposed to more at the rate of ooe Nakahstra for every hoodred years,† had moved ten Nakshatras from Magha to Purvashada during this interval, which therefore comes to (10 × 100, or) 1000 years. It will he apparent that this supposed movement was arrived at hy the author, not hy its having heen previously actually chserved and noted, for such a movement is astronomically impossible, but by his dedociog it from his other statement, contained in the immediately preceding verse, that 1015 years had elapsed during this interval. In fact the author seem first to have had in mind that the Kali began 15 years

^{*} Vishnu Purana, IV. 23. † Vishnu Purana, IV. 24.

atter the war and that 1000 years elapsed from the beginning of the Kali era to the accession of Nanda to the throne of Magadha, and next to have deduced therefrom the proposition that the Saptarahis, which were in Magba at the time of the war, had moved on to Turvashadha at the coronation of Mahapadma Nanda.

In chapter XIII of the Brihat Samhita, Varahamilira, who was horn in 505 A. D., deals with the subject of the Saptarshi cycles. After premising that he quotes from Vriddha Garga, he states:—

त्रासम्मग्रासु मुनयः शासति पृथ्वी युधिष्टिरं मृपती । षड्द्रिकपश्रद्वियुतः सकताल स्तस्य राह्य ॥ ३ ॥

This sloka has been thus translated by 'Dr. Hultzsch' *:— "When king Yndhishtira ruled the earth, the (soven) seers (Uras Major) were in Magba; the Saks era (is) 2526 (years after the commencement) of his reign." He' comments on this svings. "Accordingly the coronation of Yndhishtira took place 2526 years before the commencement of the Saks era, or at the expiration of the Kaliyuga!—Samat 653 and in B. C. 2448." It may be observed that Dr. Hultzsch agrees with Kalhana in †

^{*}Indian Antiquary, VIII. p. 66.

[†] Rajatarangini, L. 56.

thinking that the Yudhishtira era is different from the Kali era. On the other hand, Jyotirvidabharana, an astronomical work ascribed to Kalidasa, but which scholars place in the sixteenth century * A. D., tells us that in the Kalivuga six different eras will flourish one after another; the Yudhishtira to last for 3041 years from the heginning of Kali, the Vikrama era to last for 135 years afterwards, the Salivahana for 18000 years after the Vikrama era, and the Vijava, Nagarjuna, and Bali eras to be current in the rest of the Kaliyuga. Of course these three last eras are fictitious and have nothing to do with our enquiry. But I quote the Jyotirvidabharana only for the purpose of showing that Hindus have all along 'thought that the Yudhishtira era commenced with the Kali. So also Arvabhata computes by the era of Yudhishtira,† which corresponds to the Kaliyuga. It is not therefore possible to concur with Kalhana and . Dr. Hultzsch who place the heginning of the Yudhishtira era "at the expiration of the Kaliyugasamvat 653 and in B. C. 2448."

We have to consider what the word 'Sakakala' really means. It has been already proved that

^{*} Weber's Indian Literature, p. 201.

[†]Colebrooke's Mis: Essays, Vol. II. p. 428, Weber's Indian Literature, p. 260.

Garca, the author of the sloka, lived about 165 B. C. Even granting, for the sake of argument, the contention of Dr. Kern that Garga lived in the first century B. C., it is not possible that Garga could have meant by 'Sakakala' either the Vikramasamrat, which began rather subsequently in 57 B. C., or the Salirahana Sakabda. which commenced still later in 78 A. D. It may also be noted here that it has not been as yet proved that the Vikramasamyat era had been in use ever since 57 B. C. On the contrary, scholars like Fergusson.* Max Mullert and Webert are of a different opinion. Besides the Kali or the Santarshi ers, there was in the days of Garga only one other prominent era in existence, namely, the era of Nirvana, " which," says Fergussons in connection with a different subject. " as far as I can see was the only one that had existed previously in India." The era of Mahavira, the founder of Jainism,

History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p.46;
 Fergusson's 'On the Saka, Samvat and Guyta Eras', pp. 11.—16.

[†] India, what can it teach us. Ist edition, note G; and Preface to Znd edition, p. XVII.

[‡] Indian Literature, p. 202,

[§] Fergusson's History of Ladian and Eastern Architecture, p. 742.

heginning in 527 B. C., might have been then in existence; hut the Jain religion was only confined comparatively to a few and its era was not much in evidence hefore the public. The era of Buddha's Nirvana was, on the other hand, very widely known hoth by reason of the intrinsic merits of His heautiful creed and of its constitution as the State Religion during the time, of Asoka the Great. In a Tibetan work a schism * is recorded as having occurred under a 'Thera Nagasena' 137 years after the Nirvana; Chandragupta is recorded to have ascended the throne 162 years† after the Nirvana; the inauguration of Asoka is, stated to have taken place 218 years † after the Nirvana; and the Dipawanso, a history of Ceylonwritten in Pali verse shout the fourth century A.D., makes use of the era of Nirvans in its computations. Therefore the era of Buddha's Nirvana which was in current use in the time of Garga might have been probably referred to by him.

It is well-known that Osutama Buddha was known by the name of Sakya Muni and that his paternal grandfather was also known by the name of Sakya. Every reader of that noble classic, Sir Edwin Arnold's Light of Asia, is

^{*} The Theosophist, Nov. 99, p. 109.

[†] Max Muller's Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 299.

aware that the race to which Gautama belonged was often called by the name of Sakyas, as for example, where king Suddhodana was asked by his minister to

" Command a festival

Where the realm's maids shall be competitors

In youth and grace and sports that Sabyas use."

Says Mr. R. C. Dutt, "A. little to the east of the Kosala kingdom, two kindred claims, the Sakyas and the Kollyans, lived on the opposite banks of the small stream Robinin." Kapilavastu was the capital of the Sakyas." The followers of Gautama Buddha were often spoken of as 'Sakyaputiya Sramanas', in contradistinction possibly to the Sramanas of other sects. We may therefore infer that the era of Gautama Buddha was probably known as 'Sakya Kala' in those times. The era could not have been called by the name of 'Nirvana Kala', for the evident reason that the term might equally apply to the Nirvana of Mahavin. the fains Saint.

The sloka quoted shove is written in the usual Arya metre, whose nature is thus defined in works on Sanskrit rythm:—

यसाः पारे प्रयमे द्वादशमात्रास्तया हतीयेषि । त्रष्टादश द्वितीये चतुर्यके षयदश सार्या ॥

Ancient India, Vol. I. p. 320.

which means: "The first and the third quarters must each contain twelve matras, or syllabic instants (one being allotted to a short vowel and two to a long one), the second eighteen and the fourth fifteen". The sloka next following the one in question is the fourth in the chapter and satisfies the conditions required. Similarly, the first two slokas of the chapter are in faultless rythm. 4 But with regard to the third sloka, which is the one under discussion, only the first three quarters ; satisfy our rythmic requirements. The last quarter, शक्कालस्त्रस गहाय, is however short by one matra. It is inexplicable how scholars, including Kalhana, could have hitherto overlooked such a glaring slip. From the fact that the Rajatarangini also makes this mistake, we may infer that the error might have been in existence from a very long time. It strikes me that the only way of correcting the error is by the insertion of the letter 'u,' which has been somehow omitted, between the letters 'k' and 'a' in the word 'Saka.' If the expression 'Sakakala' be corrected into 'Sakyakala,' or 'Sakyakala,' the sloka becomes perfect and we shall have then the best of reasons to suppose that Garga refers to the era of Nirvana. 'Sakyakala' or 'Sakyakala' would then denote the epoch of the Sakyas, or of

referred to

the Sakya prince Gautams, or of the Buddha called Sakya Muni. Most probably some early copyist, better acquainted with Sakakala than with Sakyakala, changed the latter into the former which he might have thought to be the correcter form. Even without the aid of such a correction, 'Sakakala' may be considered to be a corruption of the word 'Sakyakala.' Thus in any case the era

of Buddha's Nirvana is the one most undoubtedly

We have next to determine what is meant by the phrase \(\frac{2}{3} \) Are have seen, Dr. Hultzsch interprets it as meaning 2526. But if only we look into the expression a little closely, we may arrive at quite a different result. In the Sanskrit language it is usual, when numerical expressions are employed, to put the units first, the tens after the units, the hundreds after the tens and the thousands after the hundreds, and so on. Thus, for instance, the number one hundred and twenty-five is written thus: five two one. Dr. Hultzsch thinks that the expression now heing discussed is written thus: Six two five two, which, expressed in English figures, denotes 2526.

But we have to take note of the letter 'ক' in the middle of the expression पত্রিকার্মারি. বিক means 'twice', 'two times', 'a collection of two,' but not 'two' simply. If 'A' were a mere expletive used for the purpose of metre, it may be asked why AV, which means 'two' simply, has not been used in preference to the ambiguous AV. When affixed to numerals the termination is not an expletive and generally denotes 'so many times.' Such a precise mathematician like Garga cannot be expected to use the particle unnecessarily, especially when quite a different meaning is thereby rendered possible. In my opinion, the word VATA means 'twenty-five': in other words, tho whole expression signifies "twenty-six times twenty-five," or 650.

If it be asked why such a round-about method of expression has been adopted to denote the simple number of 650 years, it may be urged in reply that Garga professedly computed here by the Saptarshi cycle which denoted the lapse of every one hundred years by a new Nakshatra, and gave twenty-five years for each Nakshatra, and gave twenty-five years for each Nakshatrapada, intofour of which a Nakshatra was then, as we have already seen, usually divided. If the Rishishad mored 6½ Nakshatras from the time of the coronation of Yudhishitira to the Nivrana of Buddha, that would be more appropriately expressed as the movement of the Rishis through twenty-six

padas and the period denoted thereby would be put down as twenty-six times twenty-five years.

We have now come to understand by the sloks that 650 years had elapsed from the time of Yndhishtira to the beginning of Sakyakala or the era of Gautama's Nirvana. It may here be stated that though Max Muller offers very fair reasons for fixing the date of Nirvana in 477° B. C., yet "there is perhaps no single point in the whole early history of India on which the chronicles of Ceylon and Further India are so distinct and unanimous than that Buddha died,-or as they express it, attained Nirvana-at the age of eighty years in the year 543 B. C."t Dipawanso, the Pali chronicle of the fourth century A. D., computes by the era of Nirrana beginning in 544-3 B.C. But we are not concerned here with the question whether this date or Max Muller's date, 477 B.C., be the true dato for the Pari-Nirvana of Buddhs. It is enough to note that Burma, Siam and Ceylon are all unanimous in giving the former date and that such widespread unanimity of opinion cannot be expected | unless the era of 544-3 B. C. had existed from a very long time. In my opinion, the date given by the

^{*} Aucient Sauskrit Literature, p. 299.

[†] Bigandet's Life of Gautama, p. 323.

Buddhists of these three countries was the one current at the time of Garga. If the year 544-3 B. C. be incorrect, and the year 477 B. C. be the true date, we can only say that the error must have arisen long before the time of Garga who lived shortly after the lapse of three centuries from the Nievana of Gautama Buddha. We are now in a position to understand the full force of Garga's statements that the Saptarshis moved by one Nakshatra in every hundred years, that they were in Magha when Yudhishtira reigned, and that 650 years had elapsed from that time to that of Buddha's Nirvana. These statements indicate to us that the coronation of Yudhishtira, and therefore the Mahabharata war, took place in the year (544 or 543+650, or) 1194-3 B.C.

There prevails in almost all parts of India what is generally known as the Brihaspati sixty-year cycle. The utility of such a small cycle lasting for about the lifetime of man, each year of which is designated by a special name, is patent to every one who has lived in India. To a European, its efficacy would best be demonstrated if he be asked how his countrymen would feel in case the twelve months of the year had no names given them, but had to be denoted by numerical figures. This cycle seems to have been in use in India

from a very long time*. In commenting on Taittiriya Brahmana, I. 4. 10, Sayana says that this cycle comprised twelve of the ancient fireyear cycles, which are so often referred to in the Vedic works† and in the Vedanga Jyotisha. Colebrooke thinks that the period of Jupiter (Brihaspati) was introduced by the ancient Hindus in conjunction with those of the sun and the moon in 'the regulation of the calendar, sacred and civil, in the form of the celebrated cycle of sixty years." As the sun and the moon take about five years to return both to the same position at the heginning of a year, a fact which gave rise to the cycle of the five years, known as Samvatsara, Parinalsara, Idavatsara, Anuvatsara and Idvitsara respectively, and as Jupiter makes a complete circuit of the heavens in about twelve years, it is evident that all the three heavenly hodies were expected to return to the same celestial region on the expiry of every sixty years. But in consequence of a correcter knowledge of Jupiter's motions, Northern India has been, for some-

[&]quot;Warren's Kala Sankalita, p 212,

[†] Taittiriya Samhita, V. 5.7.; Taittiriya Brahmana, III. 4 11; I. 4.10; III 10 4. Taittiriya Aranyaka, IV. 19; Vajasaneya Samhita, XXVII. 45

[‡] Dutt's Ancient India, Vol. 11, 242.

ime past, expunging one year of the cycle in every . 85,45 years, so that, after one such period, the name of the next year is left out and the name of the one following the next year taken to be the next year's name. As no such, evidently a comparatively recent, practice prevails in Southern India, the current year (April 1901 to April 1902), which is the year 'Pramadicha' in the North, is the year 'Plava' in the Sooth.

At whatever time the cycle might have first originated, it appears to me that, when the names therefor were invented, the year of the Mahabharata War, the only famous epoch in the history of Ancient India, was named 'Prahhava,' the name of the first year of the cycle. But the date usually given by the orthodox for the war or for the beginning of the Kaliyuga does not correspond to the first year of the cycle. On the other hand, if we adopt the date given by Garga for the epoch of Yudhishtira, i.e. 1194-3 B. C., we find that the corresponding year of the Jupiter cycle for that date is 'Prabhava,' the name of its very first vear.

We have already suggested that the Kaliyuga began at the winter solstice of the year 1177 B. C. We have also seen that, harring the argument based on Rajatarangini which gives us about . 1190 B. C. for the war, our other lines of discussion point to 1194-18 B. C. as the probable date of the war. We shall now find that this date is further confirmed by the application of the principles of the Vedanga Jyotisha to certain statements contained in the Mababharata itself. We may here observe that these statements are not to be explained by the astronomical calculations of modern times, for these were unknown in the days of the war, but rather by the calculations of the Vedanga Jyotisha, which, though cruder, are better applicable to them, inasmuch as the Vedanga is the oldest Ilindu astronomical treatise known to us and its astronomical details, as we have seen, relate to the beginning of the Kaliyuga.

In the Swargarobanika Parva of the Malinbharata.

In the Swargarobanika Parva of the Malabharata, we are fold that Yudhisbtira having observed * that the sun ceasing to go southwards had begun to proceed in his northward course.* * set out to where Bhisban lay on his bed of arrows. After telling Yudhishtira, who had in the meantime arrived, that the winter solution * bad set in, Bhishma said \$\frac{1}{2}\$.—

^{*} Anusasanika Parva, CLXVII. 6

[†] Ibid v. 26.

¹ Ibid. v. 28.

माघोवं समनुप्राप्तः मासस्त्रीम्यो युधिष्ठिर । विभागशेषः पक्षोवं गुक्कोभित्रतुमर्देतिं ॥

Babu Pratap Chunder Roy translated it thus:--"O Yudhishtira, the lunar month of Magha has come. This is again the lighted fortnight and a fourth part of it ought by this be over." Whatever historical weight may be attached to these statements, they may be at least taken to mean that the winter solstice then occurred on the expiry of tho fourth part of the bright fortnight in the month of Magha, that is, on the fourth or the fifth day after new-moon, Nilakantha, the commentator of the Mahabharata, thinks that the expression त्रिमाग श्रे-प: पच: denotes ' Maglia Sukla Panchami'*, or the fifth lunar day in the month of Magha after newmoon. But Mr. Rangacharyat interprets the sloka to mean that the winter solstice occurred after the expiry of one-fourth part, not of the bright fortnight, but of the month of Magha, and thus he seems to think that the winter solstice took place on the eighth day after new-moon. lle makes तिभागरोपः qualify मासः, the fourth word in the first line, instead of Vo:, the next

1900

^{*} Com. on Bhishma Parva, XVII. 2. † See his article in the Indian Review for October

following word. In the first place, the Anushtup verse, in which metre this and the adjoining slokes are written, generally consists of four quarters consisting of eight syllables each. "The fifth syllable of each quarter should be short, the sixth long, and the seventh alternately long and short." But though the fifth syllable in every other quarter in this verse and in all the quarters of the other verses is short, the fifth syllable of त्रिमागत्रोप:पक्षीयं slone is long owing to the addition of the 'visarga.' If the 'visarga' be left out, the sythm of the sloka becomes good enough. In that case, त्रिमागरोपपदाः becomes one word and त्रिमा-नवारे cannot but apply to पन. But even without our resorting to this examination of the rythm of the verse, it strikes me that the novel interpretation of Mr. Rangacharya seems to be a forced one. The first line of the verse is complete by itself, as also the second line. Why should a word in the second line be taken to qualify a distant word in the first line instead of the next following word? The more natural interpretation appears to me therefore to be that given by the learned commentator of the epic and adopted by the translator thereof, namely, that the winter solstice happened on the fifth lunar day after Amavasya in the month of Magha

. It may be observed that the Vedanga states * that at the heginning of every five-year cycle the winter solstice took place on a new-moon day with the sun and the moon together in Dhanishta Nakshatra. In verse 10, "we find an enumeration of the Nakshatras, or rather of the divinities presiding over the Nakshatras, in which the moon stands at the heginning of the ten Ayanas of the Yuga,"t that is, at the ten solstices of a fiveyear cycle. The ten Nakshatras are Sravishta. Chitra. Ardra, Purvaproshtapada, Anuradha, Aslesha, Aswayui, Purvashada, Uttaraphalguni, and Robini, I But the Mahahharata states that the winter solstice occurred on the fifth lunar day after Amayasya. As according to the Vedangas the wioter solstice always occurred with the sun in Dhanishta, the Amavasya referred to by the Mahahharata must have occurred with the sun and the moon in Sravana Naksbatra; and as the winter solstice occurred on the fifth day after this. the moon must have been, on the solstitial day, in or near Revati Nakshatra. According to the Jvotishall this position could have occurred only at the

Yajar recension of Vedanga Jyotisha, v 5 and 8.
 †Dr. G. Thibaut's Vedanga Jyotisha, p. 22.
 † Verses 10, 32, 33 and 34.

[§] Verses 5, 8 and 7. Verses 10 & 32-34.

beginning of the fourth year of a five-year cycle, for it was then that the moon was in Aswayuja. next to Revati Nakshatra. The difference of this one Nakshatra is due to " the imperfections of the elements of the Jyotisha " Thus we may infer that the winter solstice following the Maliabliarata war: and just preceding? Bhishma's death, was the fourth of the fire winter solstices of a fire-year cycle: The particular five-year cycle in which the Mahabharata war took place appears to have been the fourth cycle previous to the beginning of the Kaliyaga in 1177 B.C., inasmuch as we have found that the Rajstarangini points to 1100 B. C., and that all other lines of discussion lead to 1104-21 B. C., as the probable date of the war. Conserquently, the winter sol-tice shortly following the war was the fourth of the fourth five-year cycle preceding the commencement of the Kaliyuga, which began, like the fire-year cycle, with a winter solstice and with the sun and the moon in Dhanishta Nakshatra. In other words, the Mahabharata war took place a little before the seventeenth winter solstice preceding the commencement of the Kaliyuga, or towards the end of the 3ear 1194 B. C.

^{*} Dr. G. Thibaut's Vedanga Jyotisha, p. 22. † Anusasanika Parta, CLXVII, 26-28

.. To summarize the arguments above set forth :-We were first enabled by the Vedanga Jyotisha to place the beginning of the Kali era approximately at about 1173 B. C.

. Secondly: After enquiring into the date of Garga and of the Yavana invasion he spoke of, we noted that he fixed ' the end of the Yuga' for the retirement of the Greeks from Hindustan. From this statement we inferred that the Yuga, which ended sometime before 165 B. C., must have begun a few vears before 1165 B. C.

Thirdly; In explaining the figures given by the classical historians, we came to the conclusion that the Kaliyuga must have begun in 1177-6 B. C.

Fourthly: The Malabar era furnished us with another authority for fixing the commencement of the Kali era in 1176 B. C.

Fifthly : We found that if the Kali commenced at the winter solstice immediately preceding the year 1176 B. C., the details of the Mahabharata would lead us to place the war at the end of the year 1194 B.C.

Sixthly; The tradition recorded in the Rajatarangini enabled us to fix the date of the war at about 1190 B. C.

Seventhly; From a statement made by Aryabbata that the Rishis were in Magha in the year 1192 B. C., we inferred that the war might have taken place at about 1193 B. C.

Eighthly; The average duration of the reigns of the monarchs of the five foremost Powers of our hemisphere served to assist us in fixing the date of the war at about the year 1193 B. C.

Ninthly; From a aloka of Garga quoted in the Brihat Samhita, we inferred that the war occurred in the year 1191-3 B.C.

Tenthly; We also found that the first year of the Brihaspati cycle of sixty years actually corresponds, as may naturally be expected, to the date of the war as given by Garga, i.e., 1104-3 B.C.

Eleventhly and lastly : We applied the elements of the Vedanga Jyotisha to a sloka contained in the Mahabharata, which fixes the day of the winter solstice occurring soon after the war, and concluded that the war should have taken place in the latter part of the year 1194 B. C.

Thus we find all this cumulative evidence derived from different sources converging to the result that the Kali era began at the winter solstice occurring at the end of 1177 B.C., and that the Mahabharata war took place at about the end of 1194 B.C. In arriving at these conclusions, we had the testimony of the only historian that India can hoast of who lived in the twelfth century

A. D., of the greatest of the astronomers of India who flourished at the end of the fifth century A. D., of another hrilliant astronomer who shone in the second century B. C. and of a versatile Greek historian who was also an amhassador at the court of the first greathistoric Emperor of India who reigned in the fourth century B. C. 'We had also the authority of the oldest astronomical work of India which! claims to be a supplement to the Vedas, of the Kollam era which forms such a "splendidi hridge from the old world to the new," and of the famous sixty-year cycle. We tested these conclusions hy what we may call the common-senso process based on the lists of kings contained in the Puranas. During this long and tedious discussion we have also met and disposed of the arguments of those that give an earlier date.

We are particularly fortunate in having been able to find out so many reasons, for in the province of ancient Hindu chronology one is not often. able to support one's opinions hy more than a few authorities. As we proceed to discuss the significance and the origin of the system of the Chaturyugas, we shall also find further corroborative testimony to the correctness of the views I have herein ventured to put forth.

So far we have been treading on more or less firm ground. But if we attempt to fix the actual days of the year 1194 B.C. when the war. may he supposed to have been fought, our." authority will have to be the epic alone, by itself an unsafe guide. As has already been remarked, the Mahahharata is unfortunately neither the work of one author, nor of one age. Sir Monier Wil-, hams thought that the compilation must have proceeded for centuries; and Weher says :- "Of the Mahabharata in its extant form, only shout one fourth (some 20,000 slokes or so) relates to this conflict and the myths that have been associated with it; and even of this, two-thirds will have to he sifted out as not original, since in the introduction to the work (I. 81.) the express intimation is still preserved that it previously consisted of 8800 slokas only." † "But as to the period," says Weber, "when the final redaction of the entire work in its present shape took place, no approach even to direct conjecture is in the meantime possible; but, at any rate, it must have been some centuries after the commencement of our era". Professor Macdonell, the author of the latest work on Sans-

^{*} Indian Wisdom, Lect XIII. 371. † Indus Literature, p. 187.

[‡] Ibid. p. 183

krit Literature, assumes "that the original form of epiccame into being about the fifth century B.C.". The late Professor Wilson the considered the probable date of the epic poem to be about the third century B.C.

It has been recently proposed to start an Indian Epic Society mainly for the purpose of sitting out the older portions of our incomparable epic. But the labours of such a Society, when brought to a successful termination, will not, in my opinion, militate against the authenticity of the texts we are presently to discuss. Most of these belong to the war portion of the Mahabharata, which, according to Weber, is recognisable as the original basis ‡ of the epic.

We have already referred to a sloka § of the epic which states that the winter solstice, which took place soon after the war, happened on the fifth day after new-moon in the month of Magha. In the very next preceding sloka, Bhishma tells Yudhishtira that he has been Jing on his 'spiky bed' for the previous fifty-eight nights. It may be

^{*} Sauskrit Literature, p. 285, † Wilson's Introduction to Translation of Rig Veda, Vol. I, p. XLVII.

[‡] Indian Literature, p. 187. § Anusasanika Parva, CLXVII, 28

observed that the bed referred to here consisted of the countless arrows that, shot from Aciuna's Gandira, stuck into Bhishma's body. At soon as Bhishma fell down mortally wounded, the roar of the tenth day battle ceased; and on the warriors of both the sides assembling to have a look at the wounded soldier, he asked for a pillow to match his heroic'bed." "The kings standing there then fetched many excellent pillous that were very soft and made of delicate fabrics," seeing which Bhishma " said with a laugh, 'These, ye kings, do not become a bero's Arians slone understood the intentions of his grandeire and immediately provided a pillow made of three well-placed arrows to the immense astisfaction of the veteran warrior. Among Hindus it has for long been considered good for one's future state, for death to occur in the period between the winter and summer solstices. The grand old Bhishma did not allow the arrows sticking into his body to be removed lest he might die before the commencement of the auspicious period, but rather preferred to suffer the excruciating pain, to which one with a less magnificent physique would have speedily succumbed. So firm was the indomitable will of this stern warrior, this noblest

^{*} Bhishma Parva CXXI.

flower of ancient chivalry, that he cared little for the terrible agony of these fifty-eight nights and more. How remarkable was the power of religious conviction in those early heroic times !

The war is expressly stated in the epic* to have lasted for eighteen consecutive days. Moreover, in the Dronahhisheka† Parva, Karna is said to have refrained 'from taking part in the war for the ten days' during which Bhishma was the generalissimo of the Kaurava army. In the last chapter of Drona Parva it is stated that 'Drong,' who was the next commander-in-chief, 'was alain after having fought dreadfully for five days.' Karna led the army # for the succeeding two days, and on the night of the nexts day after Karna's death, the war was brought to an end. When Yudhishtira was lamenting the death of Ghatotkacha on the fourteenth night of the war. Vyasa is said to have told Yudhishtira that infive days the earth would fall under his sway." From these references also it is clear that the war continued for eighteen consecutive days,

Asramayasika Parva, X, 30.

[†] Sections II and V.

[!] Karna Parts, I. 15. \$ Salva Parva, I. 10-13.

[|] Drona Parva, CLXXXIV, 65.

As Bhishma was mortally wounded on the tenth day of the war, as the war lasted for eight days more, and as Bhishma is reported" to have stated on the day of the winter solstice that he remained on his hed of arrows for fully fifty-eight nights, the interval between the end of the war and the solstitial day was fifty days. As a matter of fact, this very f number of days is stated as the period of the star of the Pandavas in the city of Hastinapura, which they entered on the next; thay after the war, until they set out on their last visit to Blijshnia on the day of the winter solution. The epic says: " The blessed monarch (Yudhishtira) having passod fifty nights in Hastinapura recollected the time indicated by his grandsire (Bhishma) as the hour of his departure from this world. Accompanied by a number of priests, he then set out of the city, having seen that the sun ceasing to go southwards had begun to proceed in his northward course," After Yudhishtira reached Bhishma,

^{*} Augsssans Parvs, CLXVII 26 and 27. † Ibid 5 and 6.

[†] Stri Parva, XXVII. Santi Parva, XLI and XLV. The Pandaras desired to pass the period of mourning which stended for a month outside Hastleapura (Santi Parva, I 2); but their intention seems not to have been carried out.

[§] Pratap Chunder Roy's Translation: Annsasanika Parva. CLXVII. 5 and 6

the latter addressed him in these words: "The thousand-rayed maker of the day has begun his northward course. I have been lying on my bed here for eight and fifty nights." We may therefore conclude that the winter solstice took place on the fifty-first, day from the close of the war.

On the next+ day after the close of war, Sri Krishna and the Pandayas paid a visit to the dying Bhishma, whom Sri Krishna addressed in the following words ;--" Fifty-six days more, O Kuru Warrior, art thou going to live." One need not be misled by the prophetic nature of this expression and declare it to be of no historic value. It might well have been a fact and put in the form of a prophecy by the compiler of the epic. But it may be asked how Bhishma could have lived fifty-six days after the close of the war, if only fifty days had elapsed from that time to the winter solstice when Bhishma hoped to give up his life-breath. But the explanation appears to me to be simple enough: though the winter solstice occurred fifty days after the close of the war. Bhishma does not seem to have

^{*} Ibid. 26 and 27.

[†] Stri Parva, XXVII. Santi Parva, XLI. XLV. and I.II. ‡ Rajadharmanusasana Parva, VI. 10.

died on the solstitial day, when the arrows were extracted from his body, but appears rather to have lingered on till the sixth day after the winter solstice. We have seen that the solstice took place thenon the fifth lunar day after newmoon in the month of Magha. It was on the sixth day from this, that is, on Magha Sukla Ekadasi, that Bhishma, "that pillar of Bharata's race," seems to have "united hunself with eternity," Tradition' asserts that Bhishma died on this very day, and our almanacs even now make note of the fact and call the day by the name of "Bhishma Ekadasi." To this day, death on the eleventh lunar day of the hright fortnight of the month of Magha is held in great esteem, and next to that, death on such a day of any other month. Possibly the supposed religious efficacy rests on the memory of the day of the royal sage's death. .

As the fifty-ninth day after Bhishma's fall corresponded to Magha Sukla Panchaml, Revati or Aswini Natshatra, the day of Bhishma's overthrow, which took place on the tenth day of the war, happened, in accordance with the principles of the Vedanga, † on Margasirsha Sukla Panchami, in Dhanishta Nakshatra; and the Amawaya preceding it happened on the fifth day of the war in Jyeshta

^{*} Ante, pp. 83-4. † Vedanga Jyotisha, Yajur Recension, V. 31.

Nakshatra. As a matter of fact, Dr. G. Thibant * gives this very Nakshatra for the last Amavasya but two of the third year of a five-year cycle, which particular new-moon our Amavasya actually is. We may therefore conclude that the war began on the fourth Nakshatra preceding Jyesbta or in Chitra of the month of Karthica and ended in Robini Nakshatra in Margasira month.

The Pandavas tried many milder means before they at last resorted to the arbitrament of war: they even proposed to sacrifice their interests to some extent, if war could thereby be averted. Sri Krishna was the last to be sent on a mission of mediation and he started for Hastinapura " in the month of Kaumuda, under the constellation Revati, at the end of the Sarad (autumn) season and at the approach of the Hemanta (dewy season)."+ According to the commentator and also to the translator, Kaumuda is the Kartica month. As the latter half of autumn corresponds to the month of Kartica, we may be certain that the statement means that Sri Krishna left for Hastinapura in the Revati Nakshatra of the month of Kartica. His efforts at recon-

^{*} Dr. G. Thibaut's booklet on Vedanga Jyotisha, p. 15—17, Amavasya No. 36.

[†] Udyoga Parva, LXXXIII, 7.

ciliation having been of no avail, he seems to have returned to the Pandava camp in Pushya Nakshatra, for, as soon as he left Hastinapura, Duryodhana asked his warriors immediately to march the army to Kurukshetra, "For to-day the moon is in the constellation of Pushya". A little before Sr: Krishna's departure from Hastinapura, he proposed • to Karna:

सप्तमाचापि दिवसात् श्रमावास्थाभविष्यति । संधामा युज्यता तस्यां तामाहृदराकदेवतां ॥

"In seven days will there be new-moon; let the war be begun on that day which, they say, is presided over by Indra." As the commentator says, "Sakradevatam" denotes the Jyeshta Nakshatra, which is presided t over by Indra. The verse therefore indicates that the approaching Amavasya was to happen in Jyeshta Nakshatra. This serves to confirm our inference drawn from other texts. that the Amavasya, which occurred on the fitth day of the war, took place in Jyeshta Nakshatra. But, to ay that the new-moon would occur on the seventh day seems to be certainly wrong, for Krishna was speaking to Karna in Pushya Nakshatra and the Amayasıa was said to occur in Jyeshta, the

^{*} Udyoga Parva, CXLII. 18

[†]Ta:ttıriya Sambita, IV. 4 10. Taittiriya Brahmana, I. 5 2

tenth Nakshatra from Pushya. Probably 'सलमात्'. is an error for 'दशमात.'

The war, however, did not begin in Amavasya as suggested by Sri Krishna, for, as we have seen, Duryodhana moved out his army to Kurukshetra on Pushya Naksbatra. The Pandavas * too seem to have marched out of Upaplavya on the very same Pushya. Both the contending parties were in such a hurry to march their armies to the battle-. field, because Pushva Nakshatra was considered auspicious for such purposes. Yet, it was not possible to begin the actual fighting on the very same day. Much remained to be done before the armies could meet each other in battle array. If Sri Krishna returned from Hastinapura with the answer of Duryodhaoa on Pushya Nakshatra, it is reasonable to allow some time for the marchine of troops, for the ground to be cleared, for the pitching of tents, for the divisions of the armies to be properly effected, and, most of all, for the allied princes to bring on their respective divisions to the field of hattle. It appears to me that, all these preliminary arrangements were gone through during the interval of the five days between Pushya and Chitra, in which Nakshatra the fighting actually began. But

^{*} Salya Parva, XXXV. 10 and 15.

our epic says that both the parties were prepared for battle on the day when the moon had gone to the region of Magha. * The natural interpretation of the expression is that on that day the moon was in Magha Nakahatra. In that case we have to suppose that though the armies were almost ready for war in Magha Nakshatra, the first shot, to use a modern expression, was not fired till after the lapse of three more days. The armies began their march to Kurukshetra in Pushya, were organized in effective divisions in Magha, and actually engaged in battle in Chitra, Or, it may be that 'Magha' is an error for Maghava. The expression then would mean that the moon had entered the region of Indra, that is, the star Chitra presided over # by Indra. If the emendation prove to be correct we have here another testimony to the correctness of our conclusion that the war began in Chitra Nakshatra

It must be borne in mind that the epic was cast into its present form more than a thousand years after the date of the war. How many altera-

^{*} Bhishma Parva, XVII.

[†] The commentator gives such a glaringly far-fetched interpretation, that we need not pause here to discuss it. Tauttiriya Sambita, IV. 4. 10. Taittiriya Brahmana, I 5. 2.

tions, additions, omissions and errors must there have been made during this vast period! There are many statements in the epic which conflict with one another, a circumstance which can be accounted for only on this historic basis.

One such conflicting statement occurs in the Gadayudha Parva. On the last day of the war Balarama returned to Kurukshetra from his pilgrimage to the hanks of the Sarasvati, * whither he had gone on the ere of the war in utter disgust with this horrible fratricidal war. He said:-"Forty-two days have elapsed since I proceeded forth; I left on Pushya, I have returned in Sravana." † The epic states expressly that the Pushya Nakshatra on which Balarama went away on pilgrimage, was the one I on which the Pandayas set out of Upaplayya to the field of battle. It also certainly implies§ that the Sravana Nakshatra on which Balarama returned happened on the last day of the war. If these statements are to be taken as authentic, the obvious inference is that the war, which began with the marching of armies to

^{*} The bed of this river is still visible near Kurukshetra and Thaneswar. Dutt's Ancient India, I. 62. † Salva Parva, XXXIV. 6.

[‡] Salya Parva, XXXV. 10-15. Udyoga Parva, CLVII 16-35.

[§] Salya Parva, LIV. 32.

Kurukshetra on Pushya, came to an end in Sravana forty-two days later. This conflicts directly with the natural inferences we have drawn from the other statements, namely, that the winter solstice occurred on Magha Sukla Panchami fifty days after the close of the war, that the war lasted for eighteen consecutive days, that the Amsvasya which occurred on the fifth day of the war took place in Jyeshta Nakshatra, and that Sri Krishna left for Hastinapura on his errand of peace on Bavati Nakshatra of Kartica month and returned to Upaplavya on the next following Pusbya. To avoid such a contingency two explanations of this manifestly corrupt text are possible. We have either to suppose that the statements about Balarama's departure on the eve of the war and about his return on the last day thereof are spurious as being opposed to the united testimony of other texts, or that the verse under discussion requires a little emendation. In the former case the inference to be drawn from the sloka is that Balarama left for the Sarasvati in Pushya Nakshatra twenty-seven days before the march of troops on the mext Pushya Nakshatra to the battle-field and that he returned to Kurukshetra in Sravana some daya hefore the close of the war. If, however, the aloka is incorrect, we may best correct it by changing

'forty-two' into 'twenty-four'. If Balarama had left on pilgrimage in Pushya and returned on the last day of the war, that being the twenty-fourth from the day of his departure, the last day of the war would happen in Robini, a result which is identical with the one we have already deduced from other texts. In that case the sloka, which now runs,

"चत्वारिशदहान्यदा द्वे च मे नि:मृतस्य वै ।

पुष्येण संप्रयातीस्मि श्रवणे (श्रीणायां) पुनरागतः ॥," might then read :-

चतुर्विश्रयहान्यदा चासन्मे निःसतस्य वै । पुष्येण संप्रयातीस्मि रीडिण्यां पुनरागतः ॥

There is one other conflicting verse which we shall briefly discuss. On the fourteenth night of the war there was a tremendous battle between the contending parties. It is hinted in the epic * that the moon rose up on that night after threefourths part of it had expired. This is certainly a mistake: for the new-moon having taken place on the fifth day of the war, the moon should have disappeared below the western horizon about an hour and a half before three-fourths of the night were over. On the evening of the w . fourteenth day of the war, Ariuna's vow to kill

Dropa Parva, CLXXXV. 28 and 46-56; ap. CLXXXVIL 1.

Jayadratha having been fulfilled, the Kurus, burning with revengeful thoughts, continued the strife far into the night. The epic would have us helieve that during the first half of the night a tremendous hattle raged in total darkness resulting in the death of Ghatothacha, that both the armies thereafter by down to sleep for some time, and that on the rise of the moon at about three o'clock in the morning, both the sides recommenced their fighting. It is more prohable that the war continued for as long as the moon was shining and that the armies rested when the .moon had set. The poet was perhaps led to make this mistake by his anxiety to render the night sufficiently horrible for Rakshasa heroes to fight with their powers of illusion. For, it must be rememhered that the Rakshasa Ghatotkacha on the side of the Pandavas and the Rakshasa Alayudha on the Kaurava side are represented as having made the night hideous by their powerful uncanny powers, which could he used effectively only in total darkness.

But, barring these two conflicting statements which too may be explained away, all other texts serie, as we have seen, to support our conclusion. We are told that the winter solstice happened on Magha Sukla Fanchami, that

the tenth day battle happened fifty-eight days before it, that Bhishma, who died on Magha Sukla. Eladasi, gave up the ghost fifty-six days after the close of the war, that a period of fifty days intersened between the end of the war and the winter solstice, that the unr lasted for eighteen consecutive days, that the Amayasya, which occurred soon after the commencement of the war, happened in Jyeshta Nakshatra, that the armies began their departure to the field of battle in Pushya Nakshates, and that Sri Krishna had proceeded to Hartinapura on his mission of mediation on the preceding Revati Nakshatra in the month of Kartica. All these point but to one conclusion. namely, that the war, which lasted for eighteen consecutive days, concluded on the fifty-first night before the winter solstice.

At present the winter solstice falls on the 21st of December. The Gregorian system, which is the basis of the calendars of all Europe, except Russia, Greece and Turkey, "involves an error of less than a day in 3524 years." As the war took place in 1194 R.C., or 3094 years ago on 2770 years before the calendar was last corrected by Pope Gregory XIII, we may be

B J. Hopkine's Astronomy, p. 68.

certain that the winter solstice which occurred on the fifty-first day after the close of the conclude that the War commenced on the 14th of October, and was brought to a close on the

war, would have happened, as now, on the 21st of December (New Style). We may therefore night of the 31st of October, 1194 B.C. Whether or not this precise date, based as it is on data furnished by the Mahabharata alone, proves to be

acceptable to the critical eye of a historian, we may at least be sure that the War took place in the latter part of the year 1194 B. C. -

CHAPTER HL

THE FOUR YEGAS

The have stated in the preceding chapters that the Kaliyura commenced at the winter solution occurring in the latter part of the year 1177 B. C., and that it was originally a period of one thousand years. It is apparent that these propositions are at conflict with the corthodox opinion which makes the Yuga begin in 3102 B. C. and last for 432,000 years. How has this popular belief come about? Is it possible to find a rational explanation for this varrance? We shall endeavour to discuss these questions in the course of this chapter and the next; and if we succeed in finding satisfactory solutions therefor, we may then be able to understand a little better the Chronology of Ancient India.

The Vishnu Purana (I. 3.) enumerates the following divisions of time: - Thirty Muhurtas

make a day-and-night of human beings and a like number of day-and-nights makes a month of two Pakshas (fortnights). Six months make an Ayana (the period between the solstices), and two Ayanas constitute the year. The southern Ayana (the period between the summer and winter solstices) is a night, and the northern Ayana (the period between the winter and summer solstices) is a day, of the Gods. Twelve thousand divine years, of 360 such day-and nights each, constitute the four yugas, Krita, Treta and the like. Know the manner of their distribution : the Kritayuga comprises 4,000 years; the Tretayuga, 3,000 years; the Duaparayuga, 2,000 years; and the Kaliyuga, 1,000 years. Thus those that know the past have declared. The period that precedes a yuga is called 'Sandhya,' which comprises as many hundred years as there are thousands in the yuga; and the period which comes after a yuga is called 'Sandhyamsa,' which lasts for a like period. The intervals between these Sandhyas and Sandhjamsas are known as the jugas called Krita, Trein, and the like. The Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali go to constitute the Chaturyuga, a thousand of which make a day of Brahma.

There are fourteen Manus in a day of Brahma."

The Surya Siddhanta (ch. I) also speaks of the

same divisions of time. According to it,

Mahabharata, both of which give a different account of the Yugas, are decidedly of an earlier date. Sir William Jones placed Manu between 1250 and 500 B. C.; and Schlegel was content with 1,000 B. C. Professor Duncker gives 600 B. C., and Wilson and Monier Williams, 500 B. C. Johaentgen gave 500-350 B.C., and the late Justice Mr. Telang, about 200 B. C. Dr. Burnell fixes 500-200 B. C. tor the older prose code, out of which, according to him, the present metrical reduction arose between 100 and 500 A.D. Dr. Buhler, the learned translator of Manu in the Sacred Books of the East Series, states that this "estimate of the age of the Bhrigu Samhita (our metrical code), according to which it certainly existed in the second century A.D., and seems to have been composed between that date and the second century B.C., agrees very closely with the views of Professor Cowell and Mr. Talboys Wheeler." . As regards the Mahabharata, we have already given the various dates assigned to it by different scholars, all more or less pointing to the beginning of the Christian era for the work in its present shape. The opinion of Professor Macdonell on this point seems to me

^{*} Introduction, p. CXVII.

to he very fair. He observes that the epic itself relates (1, 81.) that originally it consisted of 8,800 slokas only and then increased to 20,000 and 100,000 verses successively. He helieves that the epic existed in an earlier form consisting of about 8,800 verses as early as the fifth century B.C., and that there was an extension after 300 B.C. and by the beginning of our era,' when the Yavanas, Sakas and Pahlayas are mentioned in it for the first time. He continues :- * "There is an inscription in a land grant dating from 462 A.D. or at the latest 532 A.D., which proves incontrovertibly that the epic about 500 A.D. was practically of exactly the same length (100,000 slokas) as it is stated to have in the survey of contents given in Book I., and as it actually has now.* * It is only reasonable to suppose that it had acquired this character at. least a century earlier, or hy about 350 A.D.* . We are already justified in considering it likely that the great epic had become a didactic compendium before the heginning of our era,"

In his monumental Lexicon, Roth states that "according to the earlier conception stated in Manu and Mahabharata, the four yugas, Krita, Treta, Duapara and Kali, with their mornings and even-

[.] Sanskrit Literature, p. 287.

Krita and other yugas last for 4,800, 3,600, 2,400 and 1,200 years respectively.

The passage serves also to show to us that it belonged to what Professor Macdonell calls the 'the second stage' in the history of the composition of the epic. We have already seen that a few Yavana or Græco-Bactrian kings were ruling over the northern parts of India from about 326 B. C., the date of Alexander's conquest of India, to about 165 B. C., and that they again invaded India at the time of Menander (144 B.C.) The Sakas first came into prominence about the time of Garga (165 B. C.); and the Yuehti tribe overran Bactria in about 126 B. C. and very shortly after invaded Northern India.* History does not know of any Yayana or Saka king riding over Hindustan anterior to the dates " given above. It obviously follows that the passage in question dates from a time not earlier than the first century before Christ.

The Manusmriti, which offers many striking similarities† to the Mahahlarata, states (I. 69-71) that the Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali yugas with their respective twilight periods consist

^{*} Duff's Chronology of India, pp. 15-19.

[†]Bubler's Manu, Introduction, pp. LXXXV and LXXXVI; Telang's Introduction to Bhagavat Gita, in Vol. VIII of Sacred Books of the East Series.

It is stated in the Taittiriya Brahmana (III. 9. 22. 1.) that "one day of the Devas is a Samvatsara (human year)." The Suryasiddhanta, the Vishnu Purana and a number of other works refer to the same idea. The Visbou tells us that "the period between the summer and winter solstices is a night, and that between the winter and summer solstices, a day of the Gods," This idea seems to have been derived from the old geographical notions current in Ancient India and recorded in the Mahabharata *and the Puranas. According to them, the mountain Meru was located in the middle of the Universe, round which the sun. the moon, the planets and the stars were said to revolve. The Dhruva Nakshatra or the Pole Star was said to shine just above its summit, "The world is here represented as consisting of seven concentric islands separated by different oceans, The central island, with Mount Meru in the middle, is Jumbudwips of which Bharatavarsha," † or India, is the main division. The Meru was so lofty that the Himalayas were said to bear no comparison to it. What then was this gigantic Meru? The description of it leads one to conclude that it was no other than the northern hemisphere of our

^{*} Ehishma Parva, VI—IX. †'Macdonell's Sanskrit Literature, p. 300.

a certainly resembles the form

of a mountain pointing to the North Pole and round which the celestral bodies undoubtedly appear to move. Our astronomers have made the point clear by telling us that "the equatorial line Bhuchakra divides the earth into Sumeru, and Kumeru, the northern, and the southern, hemisphere. The northern hemisphere ends at 'Uttara Dhruva' or the North Pole, and the southern at ' Dakshina Dhruva' or the South Pole." At the North Pole is the top of the Meru where the Devas are supposed to live, and at the South Pole are said to reside Asuras, Pitris, and the God of Death.

It is very possible that the idea that the golden summit of Meru is the residence of the Devas (Shining Ones) originated in a faint recollection of the earliest history of the Aryan races, who must have, long before their dispersal towards the South and the West, resided somewhere in the northern latitudes near the Arctic regions, where the Aurora Borealis, which stirred Dr. Nansen's imagination so deeply in his expedition to the 'Farthest North,' plays such fantastic tricks. This wonderful display of the Northern Lights, for a long time the theme of scientific discussion, seems to have been easily disposed of by the primitive Aryan patriarchs as the golden abode of the 'Detas,' a word coming from the root

'dir,' to shine, and having its counterparts in the Latin 'Bews,' and in the English 'Divinity.' Even now if an unoophisticated person, uninfluenced by the scientific scepticism of the age, were suddenly to be transported to those dismal regions, would not his heart throb at the magnificent sight of that wonderful phenomenon? Nay, more, he would attribute that every recurring, ever vanishing, spectacle to the finger of God, to some divine agency. How much more must the simple forefathers of the Vedic Barts, who delighted in personifying and worshipping every element or object in Nature, have felt the 'divinity' of that remarkable scene?

Those frigid regions have only one long day and one equalty long night during the course, of a year. * As long as the sun is above the Equator, the North Pole enjoys its day and the South Pole passes through its night. If the sun appears to go south of the Equator, the North Pole is dark and the South Pole is bright. For the hypothetical Deras inhabiting the sunmit of Mora, the day lasts for as long as the sun is in the north of the Equator, or for six months; and the night lasts for the other six months; and the night lasts for the other six months of a year. In other words, the period between the vernal and autount-

Ball's Elements of Astronomy, pp. 139-149.

al equinoxes represents the day, and that between the latter and the former, the night, of those who may be said to reside, like the Dorss, near the North Pole

But we are told by the Vishnu Purana and the Surya Siddhanta that the Uttarayans, or the period between the winter and summer solstices, is a day, and that the Dakshinayana, or the interval between the summer and winter solstices, a night of the Gods. As Mr. Tilak points out, "Uttarayana and Dakshinayans, or as they seem anciently to have been known, the Devayana and Pitriyana, originally denoted the periods between the equinoxes and not those between the sulstices. As the year originally commenced with the Devayana which was its first half, it is clear that when the beginning of the year was changed, shortly before the Mababharata War, from the time of the vernal equinox to that of the winter solstice, the Devayana or Uttarayana also commenced with the new beginning of the year at the winter solstice. Thus the Uttarayana, instead of denoting the period when the sun was in the north, came subsequently to signify the period when the sun was proceeding to the north.

We therefore find that the belief that one hu-

Orion, pp. 23-27.

man year constitutes a day-and-night of the Devas can be traced to the old geographical notions prevalent in India. As, according to old Hindu ideas,* the year consisted of 369 days only, a Deva year would last for 360 of their day-and-nights, or 360 human years. While the Manusmriti and the Mahabharata give 1200 ordinary human years for the Kaliyuga, the later Puranas and Siddhantas assign to it 1200 divine years, or 432,000 ordinary human years.

But even this period of 1200 ordinary years which were allotted to the Kaliyuga at about the first century B. C. consisted of 1000 years for the yuga proper and 200 years for its Sandhya (twilight) periods. On its face it is apparent that the Sandhya period did not form part of the original duration of the yaga itself. The Mahabharata says, 'The next yuga, called Kali, is said to comprise one thousand years, and its dawn as well as its eve, is said to comprise one hundred years.' It may be properly asked why this distinction is made between the yuga and its Sandhyas, when practically there is none at all between them. It might as well have been stated all at once that the Kali lasted for 1200 years. The Vishnu Purana also is to the same effect.

Rig Veda Samhita, I. 164. 11 and 48

"The Kritayuga comprises 4,000 years; the Treta, 3,000; the Dwapara, 2,000; and the Kah, 1,000. Thus those that know the past have declared. The period that precedes a yuga is called Sandhya . and the period which comes after a yuga is called Sandhyamsa, which lasts for a like period. The intervals between these Sawlhyas and Sandhyamsas are known as the yngas called Krita, Treta and the like." If then the intervals only between the Sandhyas were known as the 'Yugas,' it obviously follows that the Kali, Dwapara, Treta and Krita 'Yugas' were thought by those 'that knew the past' to have lasted for 1,000 2,000, 3,000 and 4,000 years respectively, and that the Sandhya periods did not form part of the duration of the 'Yugas.' If the Kallynga had indeed been from the very first considered to be a period of 1200 years, no such unnecessary distinction as that drawn between the Yugas and their Sandhyas would probably have been made. As therefore the Sandhya periods were later additions, it is also apparent that those who brought them first into existence would not all at once state, for instance, that the Kali would last for 1200 years, for that would imply a want of due respect for the old belief that the Kali was a period of 1000 years,

but would rather suggest that the Kaliyuga lasted for 1000 years and its Sandhyas for another period of 200 years.

We have also a vaidil anthority for the contention that the Sandhya periods were not originally in existence. It may be observed here that all genuine Vedic works are much earlier in date than either the Epic or the Dharmasastra. In the Atharva Veda Samhita (VIII. 2. 21.), it is stated: शतं तेऽयुत हायनान्द्वे युगे त्रीणि चत्वारि कृण्म: ॥ i. c., "We allot to thee a hundred, (nay) ten thousand years, two, three, (or even) four yugas." Muir thinks that "we may with probability assume that the periods here mentioned proceed in the ascending scale of duration." and that "two yugas, and perhaps even one yuga. must be supposed to exceed ten thousand years." * It is very true that we have here an ascending scale of duration and that two yugas are decidedly meant to exceed 10,000 years. But with the greatest respect for so high and learned an authority, I venture to submit that one yaga is not intended here to exceed 10,000 years; for in that case the passage would run thus: "We allot to thee a hundred, (nay) ten thousand years, one, two.

^{*} Original Sanskut Texts, I. 46.

three, (or even) four Yugas." But as it is, the word 'one' is left out, purposely, as I venture to believe. In my opinion, one yuga was meant to equal 10,000 years, neither less nor more, for the following reason. The yugas are called distinctly by their names, Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali, in the Aitareya Brahmana (VII. 15.), a work of nearly the same date as that of the Atharva Samhita; and whereas the Gopatha Brahmana (I. 28) refers to the Dwapara age, the Mundaka Upanishad (I. 2.1) mentions the Treta yuga in a distinct manner. The word 'yuga' in the passage quoted above from the Atharva Veda must therefore denote one of these four yugas, or a Chaturyuga comprising all of them. But it cannot signify the Kaliyuga, hecause the scale heing in an ascending order of duration, 'two yugas' must exceed ten thousand years, whereas two Kaliyugas last, according to the evidence of the Smriti and the Epic, only for 2,400 . years at the utmost. Neither does the word ' Yuga' refer to the Dwapara, Treta or Krita yugas, for two such yugas cannot exceed 4,800, 7,200, or

9,600 years respectively at the utmost. Therefore the word 'Yoga' should denote the duration of a Chaturyuga, which is a period of 10,000 or 12,000 years according as we exclude or

include the Sandhya periods. But the ' Yuga' does not constitute the larger period, for, as we have already seen, in that case the word 'one' would have been inserted before 'two.' Thus, if we grant, as we must, that the scale is in an ascending order of duration, we arrive at the probable result that the 'Yuga' means a Chatury up a lasting for 10,000 years. As a matter of fact, we have already quoted a passage from the Manusmriti wherein a Chaturyuga is called 'a yuga of the Devas,' and another from the Mahabharata in which it is called by the simple name of 'yuga.' It is therefore extremely probable that the word 'Yuga' in the cassage quoted above from the Atharra Sanhita expresses the same idea as the very same word does in the passages extracted above from the Dharma Sastra and the Epic, i.e., a Chaturyuga. As the passage in the Atharva Veds is addressed to a deity, His 'Yuga' would be a Yuga of the Devas' consisting of 10,000 years. Just as among mortals, there are four yugas, the first or Kali lasting for 1000 years. the next previous or Dwapara for twice that period. the Treta and Krits for thrice, and four times that duration respectively, so also, as being a Dova. he was allotted first a yuga of 10,000 years, then successively, two, three and four such

yugas. This appears to me to he the meaning intended. If therefore a Chaturyuga lasted for 10,000 years, the Kali, Dwapara, Treta and Krita should have lasted only for 1,000, 2,000, 3,000 and 4,000 years respectively.

We find therefore that at the time of the compilation of the Atharva Veda Samhita, the Kaliyuga was supposed to last for 1000 years, that at the time of the composition of our Metrical Manusmriti and of the second reduction of the Mahahharata in 20,000 slokas, the Kaliyuga with its Sandhya and Sandhyamsa was thought to be a period of 1200 years, and that since the date of the Vishnu Purana and Surya Siddhauta, as we now find them, the Kali has been considered to last for 1200 x 360, or 432,000 years. What then led to the changes successively made in the durations of the yngas?

We have already proved that the Kaliyuga and the Kollam Andu commenced in 1177-76 B. C. If the Kali was originally a period of 1000 years, we find that the Kali corresponded exactly to the first Kollam cycle. Both came to un end in 177-76 B. C. It has been seen that Gargacharya, who was then living, said with reference to the Bactrian invaders who left India in about 165 B. C., that they retired 'at the end. of the yuga'.

Consequently the yuga which came to an end at about 165 B. C., was certainly the Kali of one thousand years, or the first cycle of what has since come to be known as the Kollam Andu.

From this time forward the Kollam Andu bas a history of its own. The forefathers of some at least of the modern Namhuris seem to have separated from the main body of the Aryan people not long after this time. They seem to have settled first in the Andhra country and, after the lapse of a few more centuries, to have permanently fixed their residence in the fertile tracts of rainy Malabar. Says Mr. V. Nagam Aiya in his report on the Census of Travancore (L. p. 654):-"The bulk of them (the Brahman colonists of Malabar) came of course from the region between the Krishna and the Godavari rivers," which, baving no natural advantages, has "a poor soil, inhospitable climate, and a povertystricken population, constantly devastated by long droughts and severe famines. This land naturally, therefore, supplied Parasurama with the largest number of his colonists. The Namburis themselves believe that most of them came from the land lying between the two great rivers, the · Krishna and the Godavari. Tradition points to the same theory, and the ancient books on Kerala. confirm the popular belief, the accuracy of which may be verified by a careful observer. There are so many points of similarity, large and small, between the Namburis and their prototype residents of the Telugu country from which they are said to have come," Mr. Nagam Aiya then proceeds to enumerate fourteen such ' points of similarity,' the cumulative effect of which is certainly favourable to his conclusion

It need not be supposed that the Aryans had no knowledge of India south of the Narmada in the second century B. C., for we find that even such an early work as the Aitarera Brahmana (VII-18) speaks of "certain degraded harbarous tribes, among them the Andhras." Baudhayana (6th century B. C.) mentions Kalinga, and Katyayana (4th century B. C.) speaks of Chola and Pandya, Asoka (3rd century B. C.) mentions the Cholas, Pandyas, Kalingas, and 'Keralaputra' in his inscriptions; and Apastamha himself (between the fifth and the third century B. C.) is said by Dr. Buhler to have belonged to the Andhra country. Megasthenes (300 B.C.) refers to the Kalingas, Andhras, and Pandae or Amazon country. Ptolemy (126-161 A.D.) makes one Chera King 'Kerebothros' rule over 'Karoura' (Karur). Dr. Buhler is therefore led to the conclusion that the Aryan conquest of South India took place 'long before the fourth century B.C.'*

It is thus apparent that when the little band of the forefathers of some at least of the modern Namburis saw the end of the yuga of 1000 years which commenced soon ufter the Mahahharata War, they followed it up by another cycle lasting for a like period, led no doubt thereto by the fact, which they seem to have faintly remembered, that two such yugas of one thousand years each bad expired about the time of the Mahahharata War. By the time that this second cycle came to an end in 825 A. D., they had for some time heen settled in Malahar. The third cycle, which began in 825 A. D., came therefore to be known as the Kollam Era, after the name of a famous town in Malahar.

The Kaliyuga had a quite different transformation among the main hody of the Aryan people of India. It came to a close, as we have seen, in 177 B. C. But the old belief was that the Kritayuga of 4,000 years followed the Kaliyuga of 1,000 years. It must be remembered that the Krita has always been thought to be an age of transcendent purity, when, uccording to the Mahabharata (Vana Parva, CXLIX.), "Every

^{*} Sucred Books of the East, Vol. II., Introduction.

one had religious perfection. ** There was then neither disease, nor the decay of the senses. There was no malice, no pride, no hypocrisy, no discord, no ill-will, no cunningness, no fear, no misery, no envy, no covetousness. acts had reference to the attainment of Brahma." The epic continues :- " In the Treta age, virtue decreased by a quarter and the God Narayana (who, in the Krita age, was white) assumed a red colour. Men practised truth and devoted themselves to religious rites Sacrifices were introduced. * * Men then began to sim at attaining objects, which they obtained by performing religious acts and making gifts. ** In the Dwapara, virtue decreased by a half. Vishnu assumed a yellow colour and the Vedas became divided into four parts. . . Mostly induced by passion, men engaged in asceticism and gift. * * As the intellect deteriorated, few were devoted to truth. * * In the Kaliyuga, only one quarter of virtue remains. When this age appears, Kesava assumes a black colour. The Vedas, the Institutes virtue, sacrifices and religious observances, all fall into disuse. Then excessive rainfall, draught, rats, locusts, * * diseases, lassitude, anger, deformities, natural calamities, anguish and fear of fimine take possession of the world. . The religious acts performed at the waning of this yuga produce contrary results."

As the Kali of 1000 years came to an end in 177 B. C., the golden age of Krita ought then to have come into existence. But the glorious state of things predicted for the Krita was so opposed to the state of morals and of society in 177 B. C., that learned divines in India must have keenly felt the difference between the sinful nature of their' times and the ideal state of things which ought to prevail in the Krita age. Whereas according to the old beliefs recorded in the Mahahharata (Vana Parya, CXC.) the barbarian Mlechchas, the pest of India in the Kaliage, must have ceased to exist in the Kritavuga, Buddhism, foreign invasions and barbarian influences were rampant in India during the second century B. C. So the questions to be solved at that time were whether the Krita really signified a golden age of religion and of virtue and whether the Kritayaga had actually set in, Orthodox India could never have brought itself to propose the first question as it would reveal a woeful lack of reverence for the scriptures of olden times. The second question, which also was not quite a safe one, was however not so dangerous. They therefore solved their difficulties in a manner characteristic of every conservative people who have to reconcile the dicta of the past with the actualities of the present. They supposed that the effects of the Kritayuga could not all at once change the influences of a thousand years of the Kali age. As in the physical world the darkness of the night is first removed by the mild influence of the dawn before the aun shows himself in all his glory, just as the morning twilight heralds the day and the evening twilight precedes the night, so in the moral world, there ought to be a transitional period when the effects of both the Kali and Krita yugas would merge into one another. This necessary interval of transition was called the Sandhya (junction) period and as the Kali lasted for 1000 years, the 'twilight' periods preceding and succeeding it were each made to extend for an additional tenth part of the yuga, a proportion which seems to have been borrowed from the daily phenomena of morning and evening twilights. Krishna Pandita, the author of a Bhashya on Saodhyavandana, quotes with approval the following two slokes from some onnamed Smriti

ग्रहागत्रस्य यस्संधिस्सूर्यनक्षत्रवर्जितः । तत्रसम्ध्यामुपासीत सायप्रातस्समाहितः ॥ उदयात्प्राक्तना संध्या धटिकात्रयमुच्यते । सायं संस्था त्रिघटिका ऋस्तादुपरिभास्ततः ॥

So also in the Ahnika Kanda of Smritimuktanbala, Vaidvanatha Dikshita savs:---यत्त प्रातस्सन्ध्या तिनाडीस्यात् साय सन्ध्या तयानिधीत

स्मर्ग्यं ॥

These slokas tell us that the morning and evening Sandhya prayers are to be performed at the Sandhi (junction) of the day and night, when neither the sun nor the stars are visible, that the morning Sandhi extends to three Ghatikas (3 x 24 minutes) before sunrise and that the evening Sandbi lasts for a like period after sunset. As the period between sunrise and sunset in India is about 12 hours on an average, and as each of the two Sandhyakalas lasts for three ghatikas, or for 1 hour and 12 minutes, according to these recognised authorities, the Sandhyakala is exactly one-tenth of the duration of a day of 12 hours. These Sandhya prayers date from a time not later than that of the Taittiriya Aranvaka, in which they are elaborated. It is therefore probable that this determination of the time for their performance may have been made at a pretty early period.

It is interesting to note that exactly the same period is given by modern astronomers for the twilights. Says Sir Robert Ball in his Elements of Astronomy (pp. 154-5) :-- "The dusk is thus usually visible until the centre of the sun bas heen carried by the diurnal motion to a perpendicular distance of 18° below the horizon. Similarly the earliest glimpses of dawn may be caught when the sun, in his approach to the horizon, has attained a distance of only 18° therefrom." In places, like India, which are located near the equator, "before sunrise the sun will ascend perpendicularly to the horizon, and after sunset it will descend perpendicularly below it. The twilight will therefore continue in this special case during the time when the sun moves through an arc of 18° on the celestial sphere, in virtue of the apparent diurnal motion. As the diurnal motion completes its revolution in twentyfour hours, a point on the equator which moves through 360° in one revolution must move through 15° in one hour. To move through an arc of 18°, a time of 1 hour 12 m, will therefore be required. Hence it appears that under the circumstances we have described the twilight at dusk and at dawn will last for a perind of 1 hour, 12m."

This determination of the duration of the twilights appears to have been made use of in the fixing of the periods for the Sandhyakalas of the Yugas. As a day of 12 hours has two twilight periods, each lasting for 1h. 12m., i.e., for one-tenth of the duration of a day, similarly the Kalıyuga of 1000

years came to have two Sandhyas, each lasting for 100 years. So also the Krita, Treta and Dwapara yugas were allotted proportionate Sandhya periods, namely, 800, 600 and 400 years respectively. It is not to be inferred that these Sandhya periods were added to the yugas just at the close of the Kalivuga in 177 B. C. It would be unphilosophical to suppose that this contrivance was sought for and discovered immediately after the completion of the Kali. It would have taken some time for people to be thoroughly satisfied that the Kritayuga had not actually set in. Until it became evident that the effects of the old Kaliyuga still lingered on, they would not have been so hold as to innovate on old ideas. Moreover, Garga speaks of certain kings as ruling in Oudh after the destruction of the Yavanas 'at the end of the yuga,' a statement which necessarily leads to the inferences that Garga wrote his historical portion of his Slddhanta sometime after 'the end of the yuga' and that he still believed that the yuga lasted only for 1000 years. It therefore stands to reason that these Sandhya additions were effected a few decades later, say in the first century before Christ. This date perfectly accords with that we have already given for the Manusmriti and the Mahabharata, both of which, dating from the first century B. C., refer to the trought periods for the first time.

The description of the effects of the Kaliyugs, as contained in the 185th and 196th chapters of the Vana Parva of the Mahabharata is very graphic and it would be needless to represent it here. These chapters proclaim many times over that religion and virtue will disappear, and that the harbarian Sakas, Yaranas, Ballukas and many others will derastate Bharatararelia at the en lof the Kellyuga! It may be remembered that the Sakas, Yasanas and a few others were actually disturbing the peace of India in the first and the second century B. C. We may shrewdly guess from such statements that the end of Kale was supposed not to be far off. The Epic also tells us that the Kaliyaga would end " when the sun, the moon and Jupiter enter the constellation of Pushja (the nebulous Praesepea), at which time the cleads would incessantly shower mins, and stars and planets would again be propitious. . Abundance, prosperity, wealth and peace would be everywhere. Impelled by Time, a Brahmana named Kalki Viahungasha would then be born. He would possess great energy, intelligence, and prowers. He would be born at a village

called Sambhala, in a blessed Brahman family. . . He would exterminate all the low and despicable Mlechchas wherever they might be found," and the Krita yuga would then commence. The conjunction of these three heavenly bodies in the same celestial region generally takes place, as we have seen in the last chapter, once in every 60 years. If the compiler of the Mahabharata had a long period in mind to clapse before the end of Kali, he would not have chosen to denote the end of it by a conjunction which generally takes place once in every 60 years. It is therefore probable that he fixed the end of the Kali yuga at about the time of the next such conjunction. Moreover the prophecy regarding the birth of Kalki Vishungasha at Sambhala villago seems to refer to some local belief current at the time. If the end of the Kali were to take place after the lapse of a long period, the name of the village also in which the incarnation was to take place would not perhaps have been given. All these circumstances tend to show that the Kali yuga was to come to a close very shortly after the first century B. C., the date of this portion of the Mahahharata.

The Kali yuga of 1200 years actually came to an end in 24 A. D. It was eagerly hoped that better times would dawn in Bharata Varsha at least after that critical epoch. If we have to guage aright the intensity of anxiety felt at that time, we have only to call back the slighter experiences we ourselves underwent barely three yearsago. The five thousandth year Pralays "was on the lip of every Hindu and a mysterious significance was attached to it. We were also amused to find the ill-concealed solicitude of our Government to understand our feelings and beliefs in that anxious period. So also the approach of the 'millennium' was regarded with awe and anxiety during the times of Otto the Great and his imperial successor, the noble-minded Otto III.

But a better age did not dawn in 24 A. D. and the eager hopes of the people were not destined to be rulfilled. Far from there having been any sign of the Krita age, Buddhism was at its height and Bi-ahmanism at its lowest ebb in India. Scepticism had come to prevail over orthodoxy. The greatest king of the time was Kanishka, a barbarian professing an atheistic creed. The fourth great council of Buddhism was then formulating its dogmas in the land of Vedic ritualism. Many Miechcha kings were despoiling the north and the west of India. Instead of the Vedic religion coming back in its pristing glory, it was Iosing its most influential adherents through the proseletizing seal of Buddha's creed. Could such a time,

the Hindus seem to have asked themselves, be the beginning of the golden age? Were then the prophecies of the ancient sages to be thus falsified? These considerations seem to have sorely perplexed "the minds of the orthodox Hindus of the first one or two centuries of the Christian era.

Then arose what we may call the Renaissance period in the history of India, a period of great activity in many branches of literature, arts. sciences and religion. In a long note added to the first edition of 'India, What can it teach us,' Max Muller "tried to show that that period began about 400 A.D., and that the great break between the ancient Vedic and Buddbistic literature and the artificial Kayya literature was due to the inroads of the Scythians. He had fixed that literary interregnum as between the first century B. C. to at least the third century A. D." Professor Buhler controverted this opinion and showed that there were clear traces of the Kavya style in the inscriptions of the second century A. D. Max Muller replied by stating that " amongst the literary works (of the Kavya style) which we actually possessed, none could be safely referred to a date before about 300 A.D." Though scholars may not yet agree with Max Muller to the full extent of his opinions, yet they will concede, I have no doubt, that such a great impetus was given at that time to the cause of learning and literature, that it might well have merited the name of the Renaissance period. Hindunsm had just then begun to revive* and to don its modern garments. The force of Buddinstie, Jain and Atheistic systems having weakened the strongholds of orthodox beliefs, this reactionary age set about to place the

old dogmas and observances on a rationalistic basis. The coat armour of ancient Hinduism, battered as it appeared to be by the repeated on-slaughts of opposing creeds, was then slowly patched up and tried to be rendered nearly impersions to, the darts of reason or sentiment. An age of construction, of readjustment and re-arrangement of ancient beliefs, had now succeeded a destructive age of scopticism and of barbarian invasions. The pity of it was that reaction was carried a little too far to the verge of superstition, and the Puranas began to show not only a sectarian tendency, but also a taste for the marvellous and the extraordinary.

At this remarkable period in Indian History, the glaring absurdity of supposing the Kall yuga to have already come to an end must have been keenly felt. It the Kall of 1200 years had ended in 24 A.D., the

Dr. Bhandarkar's article on the Social History of India in Chintamani's Indian Social Reform, p. 2.

Krita must have set in, which was not the case. As necessity has generally been the mother of invention, there arose an explanation, as ingenious as it was plausible, that the 1200 years allotted for the Kali were so many divine years, or 432,000 ordinary human'years. Such a subtle explanation obviated the danger of the Kaliyuga coming again to a speedy end and thereby giving rise to fresh difficulties. It agreed well with the state of society at that time, which showed no signs of reverting to, but was receding away from, the 'ancient orthodox ideal. It served to preserve the authority of the older writings like the Mabahharata and the Manusmriti, . both of which assigned 1200 years to the Kali age; and applied very well to existing circumstances. Moreover, the age of exaggerations had already commenced and the Puranas were heginning to distort facts into fabulous legends. Under such circumstances the extraordinary duration of the yugas was quite in keeping with the times.

It remains now for us to discuss the significance and the origin, of the names of these different yagas. The word yaga comes from the root yag, to join, and possibly it originally meant 'a collection of years.' Mr. Rangacharya, however, states that it "is both in meaning and etymology allied to the astronomical term conjunction." It

seems to me that originally the word yaga could have had nothing to do with the astronomical phenomenon of 'conjunction,' for the obvious reason that the word is used in some of the earlier hymns of the Rig Veda, to the time of whose composition it may perhaps be preposterous to attribute such knowledge. It is more consistent with what we know of the state of society in those ancient times, that a yega, 'age,' was then only a large division of time without reference to the motions of the celestial bodies. According to Muir, it frequently occurs in the Rig Veda "in the sense of age, generation or tribe." This scholar collects in his profound Original Sanskrit Texts (I. 45.) almost all the passages of the Rig Veda, wherein the word occurs. This ancient work speaks of Puge Puge 'in every age', Uttara Pugani 'tuture ' ages,' Uttare Yuge in a later age,' Purvani Yugani former sges.' Yuga Jurna former age.' Manushya Yuga, Manusha Yuga and Jananam Yuga all meaning 'human yuga,' Devanam purvye Yuge 'in a former yuga of the Devas, Devanam Yuge prathams 'in the first yuga of the Devas,' Devebhyah thriyugam pura 'before three yugas from (or of) the Devas,' Nahusha Yuga 'the age of Nahusha, and Dasame Fage 'in the tenth yaga,' We learn from these extracts that, in the days of the Rig Veda, the.

word Fuga denoted a period of time which seemed to vary according as it was used in connection with human beings or the Deras.

. We have already seen that the Atharva Veda Samhita speaks of the yugas in such a manner as to lead us to infer therefrom that at the time of its compilation a Yuga (chaturyuga) comprised ten thousand years. But for the first time in the literature of India, the words Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali occur in three nearly contemporaneous Vedic works, the Aitareya and Taittiriya Brahmanas and the Vajasaneya Samhita, all of which were compiled, like the Atharia Samhita, within about a century after the Mahahharata War. 'It may be generally stated that the Samhitas are olders than the Brahmanas which are chiefly commentaries on the ritualistic portions of the former. Tradition, which in this case there is no reason to doubt, ascribes the compilation of the 'Thraicidya,' the three Sambitas of the Rik. Saman, and Black Yajur Vedas, to the time of Krishna Dwaipayana, under whose superintendence it seems to have been effected. As he was a contemporary of the heroe's of the Mahabharata War. we may be sure that they took their original shapes at that time. But the Atharva Sambita and the

[&]quot;Max Muller's Rig Veds, Vot, IV, Preface, V-VII.

Vajasaneya Sambita of the White Yajus seem to have come into existence a little, but only a little, later. Though the 'Atharvanas' are mentioned in the 30th book of the Vajasaneya Sambita, in the 11th, 13th and 14th books of the Satapatha Brahmana, in the 7th book of the Chhandogya Upanishad and in the 2nd and 8th hooks of the Taittiriya Aranyaka, yet the fact that even comparatively so late a work as our Manusmriti speaks of only three Vedas and that the Taittiriya Aranyaka assigns the Atharva Veda only a secondary position by inserting 'adesa,' ie., the Brahmanas, between the three other Vedas and the Atharyangirasas, shows that it had not attained the rank of a Vedic Samhita till long after the compilation of the three other Vedas. Even now in Malabar the name of "the fourth veda" is popularly applied, ludicrously enough, to the Khoran of the Mussalmans and not, as in the rest of India, to the Atharva Veda, a circumstance from which we may perhaps infer that the Namhuri Brahmans originally knew, like the Manusmriti, only of three Vedas and separated from the rest of the Aryan folk before the Atharva Veda had attained the dignity of a Vedic Samhita; but this is by the way. At whatever time it might have been recognised as a

[·] Weber's Indian Literature, p. 149.

Veda, it is enough for our purpose to hear in mind that it is more recent than the three older Samhitas and that it is probably contemporaneous with the Vajasaneya Samhita in which it is referred to.

The Vaiasaneva Samhita must bave been compiled not long after the Mahahharata War, for its compiler Yagnavalkya, the reputed pupil's pupil of Krishna Dwaipayana, was a celebrated divine, who is referred to in the Satanatha Brahmana (XIII.14) in the following connection. Yagnavalkya is asked by a rival, 'Whither have the Parikshitas (the four sons of Parikshit, the grandson of one of the Pandayas) gone?" He answers 'Thither where (all) Asvamedha sacrificers go.' "Consequently," says Weber in his Indian Literature (p. 126), "the Parikshitas must at that time have been altogether extinct. Yet their life and end must have been still fresh in the memory of the' people, and a subject of general curiosity." Weher also says (p. 125): "The time when these last four (Janamejaya Parikshita, Bhimasena, Ugrasena, and Srutasena) lived cannot be considered as very distant from that of the Kanda (13th of the Satapatha Brahmana) itself, since their sacrificial priest Indrota Daivapa Saunaka (whom the Mahabharata, xii. 5595, also specifien as such) is once mentioned in it apparently as coming forward in opposition

to Bhallaveya; while bis own opinion, differing from that of the latter, is in turn rejected by Yagnavalkya." It may also be remembered that Yagnavalkya is said to have compiled his redaction of the Yajur Veda in a systematic manner as a protest against the diffuse and unmethodical Sauhita of the Black Yajur Veda, where both the Mantra and Brahmana portions are jumbled together. We are therefore justified in placing the Vajasaneya Samhita and the Atharva Veda Samhita shortly after the War, say, within a century of its occurrence.

The Aitareya Brahmana also refers to Janamejaya Parikshita and is, secording to Professor Macdonell, earlier than the Satapatha Brahmana, which, as we have seen, was written shortly after Janamejaya's time. Dr. Haug seems to consider the Aitareya to be one of the eatliest of the Brahmanas,* whereas Professor Macdonell thinks it to be later than the Taittiriya and Panchavimaa† Brahmanas. As the Taittiriya Samhita, at all events in its original form, dates from the time of the War, the Taittiriya Brahmana, which is partly a commentary on, and partly a continuation of, the Taittiriya Samhita which it resembles so closely, must have been compiled soon after the War. Consequently it may he concluded that the Taittiriya and Aitareya Brahmanas, like the Yajasaneya and Atharva Samhitas, date from the first century after the War, or from the eleventh century B.C.

I have dwelt at such length on the dates of these Vedic works because we are thereby enabled to ascertain the time when the four yugas are first referred to in Sanskrit literature. The names of these yogas occur for the first time in the Aitareya Brahmana (VII-15), where it is said :- " Kali is lying, Dwapara is slowly shaking up, Treta is atanding, and Krita is in full motion, (hence) wander on, wander on." As Muir points ont, the very same idea is expressed in the Manusmriti (IX. 301-2): "The Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali yugas are all modes of a king's action; for a king is called a Yuga. While asleep, he is the Kali : waking, he is the Dwapara age : intent upon action, he is the Treta; moving about, he is the Krita." A slightly different rendering of the same comparison is found in the Mahabharata (III. CXC.), where Markandeya tells Yudhishtira; "In the Krita age, everything was free from deceit and guile, avarice and covetoasness. Virtue like a hull was among men with four legs complete. In the Treta, sin took away one of its legs and virtue had then (only) three legs. In the Dwapara,

sin and virtue are mixed half and half. In the dark (Kali) age, virtue, being mixed with three parts of sin, lives by the side of men. Accordingly victue is said to wait upon men with only a fourth part remaining." Dr. Haug explains the foregoing quotation from the Aitareya Bruhmana in the following manner: " Sayana does not give any explanation of this important passage where the names of the yugas are mentioned for the first time. The four names are, as is well-known from other sources, names of dice used at gambling. . The meaning of this gatha is: There is every success to be hoped; for the unluckiest die, the Kali, is lying, two others are slowly moving and half fallen, but the luckiest, the Krita, is in full motion. The position of dice here given is indicative of a fair chance of winning the game." That Dr. Haug's interpretation is quite inapplicable is the opinion of Drs. Muir, Weber, Roth, Strater, Wilson and Max Muller.* Moreover it is not correct to say that the names Krits, Trets, Dwapars, and Kali, are used here 'for the first time,' because we shall presently find that these names are used, though not in the same sense, in the Taittiriya Brahmana and the Vajasaneya Sambita, both of Muir's O. B. T., I. 48.

which are of the same, if not of an earlier, date. Says Mr. Rangacharya in his ' Yugas' (p. 25):-"This passage has been variously interpreted, and it appears to me that we have here the myth concerning the Bull of Dharma . so frequently related in the Puranas and the Mahahharata (III. 190). According to the Amarakosa, it can be shown that the Sanskrit word Frisha means both bull and rirtue (Dharma). . . A bull, when lying down, appears to be using one of its feet so as to press against the earth; when it tries, to get up, two of its feet are seen to be applied to the earth; sometimes, when standing without motion, the animal may be seen to rest on only three of its feet; and, when walking and moving from place to place, it of course uses all four feet." It will be seen that this explanation is not on all fours with the passages quoted above from Manu and the Mababharata, according to the latter of which, the hull has only one leg in the Kali, two in the Dwapara, three in the Treta, and its full complement of legs only in the Krita age. But it is possible that the double signification of ' Vrisha' may have given rise to the ideas contained in all these three works. As ' Vrisha' is dormant in the Kali hy reason of its possessing one leg only, but is in full motion in the Krita age

when it has all its four legs, so also Rohita, to whom the passage of the Aitareya Brahmana is addressed, as advised to wander on like the 'Frisha' in the Kritaynga, and not to go back to his father Harischandra to be inactive like it in the Kahage. It is therefore clear that the passage refers distinctly to the names of the four yugas and their respective standards of virtue.

Two other works, which though of a later date than the Aitareya Brahmana are atill Vedic Srutis, refer to these yugas by name. The Mundaks Upanishad (I. 2. 1.) says that "the works, which in the Mantras the seers saw, spread manifoldly in the Treta Yuga;" and in the Gopatha Brahmana (I. 28.) mention is made of one 'Doshapati,' who at the beginning of the Dwapara, is supposed to have acted as the eleventh Ribbi.

As has heen said above, these names also occur, though in a different sense, in the Taittiriya Brahmana and in the two reconsions of the Vajasaneya Samhita in connection with the topic of Purushamedha. Different classes of persons are therein enumerated as appropriate sacrificial victims at the Purushamedha, and in eo doing the Brahmana (III. 4. 1. 16.) says: "(Sacrifice) the gambler to the king of dice; the keeper of the gambling house to the Krita; the unpire to Treta; the spectator

to Dwapara; and the man, who always remains there like a pillar, to Kali." In both the recensions of the Sambita of the White Yajus (Madhyandina, XXX. 18; Kanwa, XXXIV. 18.) a very similar statement is made. Says Weber (J. L. p. 113.) "The names of the three dice (Krita, Treta and Dwapara) in v. 18, are explained by Sayana, commenting on the corresponding passage of the Taittiriya Brahmana, as the name of the epic yugas, which are identical with these-a supposition which will not hold good here, though it may, perbaps, in the case of the Taittiriya Brahmana." But it is clear from the contexts that, neither in the one case nor in the other, the words denote anything else but the names of dice. This is also the opinion of Muir, who says: "In both places they denote dice, as does also the word Krita in Chhandogya Upanishad IV. 1.4."

In one of the parables of this Upanishad, one flamingo is reported as having said to another regarding one Raikva with the car:—"As (in a game of dice), all the lower casts belong to bim who has won with the Krita cast, so whatever good deeds wher people perform, belong to that Raikva." A little further down (IV. 3. 8.), the following statement occurs:—"Now these five (the eater Vayu, and his food Agmi, Aditya, Chandramas and Apas) and the other

five (the eater Prana, and his food speech, sight, hearing and mind) make ten, and that is the Krita (the highest) cast. Therefore in all quarters three ten are food (and) Krita (the highest cast)." To understand the force of these passages one must first know the nature of the game of dice then in use. Even now a game exists, which is played with two pieces of wood, or of irory, or of brass, each about an inch long and having four sides, one side of which being marked with 6 dots and the next three with 4, 3 and 1 dot respectively. These two pieces are held in the palm of one's hand and rolled on to the ground. The number of dots on the side turned topmost indicates the number of points gained by the player, which regulates the motions of certain pawn-like pieces on what nearly resembles a chees board. The game referred to in the Upanishad seems to have been a hitle different. The dice was then, as Griffith says, made of the nuts of the Vibbitaka tree, and the four sides seem to have been known by the names of Kah. Dwapara, Treta and Krita, and to have been marked in order with one, two, three and four does on the four sides of the piece. If the player succeeded, when rolling the piece on to the ground, in making the Kritaside with four dots turn up toymost, he appears to have thereby

scored the largest number of points, namely, ten, which is the total number of all the dots on the piece (4+3+2+1=10).

The meaning of the first of the passages quoted above from the Upanishad is that just as the person who succeeds in making the gambling piece turn up with the Krita side topmost scores ten points, that being the total number of dots of the four sides thereof, so also Raikva's good deeds are so vast and varied that the merit of others also goes to him, i.e., accounts for nothing in the eyes of the public before the grandeur of his . merit. The other passage denotes that Vayu, as Vayu, absorbs the four others and, as Prana, swallows up the four senses during sleep. These ten are said to be the Krita cast, which has already been said to swallow up the lower casts and thus secure ten points to the winner. "Therefore," says our Upanishad, "in all quarters (which are ten, including Zenith and Nadir), those ten are food and Krita." Says the commentator Sri Sankara, "Thus those oumbers (4, 3, 2 and 1) making up ten constitute the Krita cast. Since this is so, therefore, io all the quarters, fire etc. and speech etc. are the food,because of the number ten being common to both." So much explanation of this obscure passage is

enough for our present purpose, which is to show that, according to the Upanishid, the gambling die was marked in order with 4, 3, 2 and 1 dot on its four sides.

There is a passage in the Taittiriya Brahmana (I. 5, 11.), which also uses the names Krita and Kali in a similar sense. In recommending that only four stomas (enlogistic verses) should be used in the Jyotishtoma sacrifices, it says: "That in which there are four stomas is Krita, then that in which there are five is Kali; therefore there must be only four stomas," Now if it be remembered that the Kali side, containing one dot, adjoined the Krita side with four dots, it becomes clear that after the Krita coines the Kali side, and not a side with five dots. As analogy very largely served the place of argument in the days when the elaborate disquisitions of the Brahmanas were being put in shape, in order to prove that there should be only four stomas, recourse was had to the gambling die, each point of which was fancifully compared to a stoma. The largest number of dots on any one side having been only four, the stomas also were not to exceed that number.

Thus it is evident that in the Taittiriya Brahmana, Vajasaneya Samhita and Chhandogya Upanishad, these words are not used, as in the Aitareya Brahmana, to denote the yugas hearing those names, but rather signify the sides of a gambling piece. What then was the original signification of these words? To take up first the words Krita and Treta, they appear to me to have originally meant 'the fourth' or 'four,' and 'the third' or 'three' respectively. Krita and Treta are derived from the same roots as the Latin 'Quartus' and 'Tertius'. In Sanskrit dictionaries the word Krita is taken also to mean 'four', a signification which dates from the earliest times of Aryau history and which must not be supposed to have recently arisen in India from the circumstance that the Kritayuga is the fourth prior yuga, consisting of four times the period of the Kaliyuga. The word Kali is generally derived from the root ' Kal,' to count; and very probably the number one was originally, as now, known also by that name, Just as now in India, when a person is asked in the vernaculars to count the numerals, he is generally told to 'Say one, two,' so that the first of the numerals sometimes does duty for the process of counting, so also the word Kali, denoting one, might have given rise to the idea of counting signified by the root ' Kal.' Besides, if we remember that the first side of a die marked with one dot was known as Kali and that the third side with three

The word 'Dwapara' has a different story. On its face, it is a compound of two words 'Dua' and 'para,' If a person, acquainted neither with the names of the jugas nor with those of the casts of dice, were to be asked to interpret the word, he would unhesitatingly say that it meant 'the second para,' for that is the literal, and, I may also sny, the original signification. It will be shown. in a subsequent chapter that in the early Vedic times, there existed a cycle of one thousand years, which was probably known also as . Para,' and that two such cycles had intervened between the epoch of Ikshwaku and the beginning of the Kaliyuga. If 'Para' was therefore a duration of 1000 years, the word 'Dwapara' must have meant 'the second period of 1000 years.' In the opinion of the late Taranatha Tarka Vachaspati, Dwapara is so called because it came after (pera) the first tno (dma) yugas: द्वान्यां (सत्युत्रेतीन्यां)पर: द्वापर:॥. Thus, according to either of these interpretations, the word Dwapara is a term decidedly belonging to the nomenclature of the Chronology of Ancient

It is not perhaps generally known that gambling was once the besetting vice of the Kshatriya community in India. The Epic tells us many stories of kings having heen ruined by this fatal game, notably of Nels and Yudhishtira, both of whom, however perfect they might in every-other respect have been, proved to be extremely susceptible to the allurements of dice. So disastrous had it come to be to the welfare of the Aryans in Iudia even in the very earliest times, that a great Rishi, Kavasha Ailusha by name, stood up to earnestly oppose it. The force and the persuasiveness of the preaching of this ancient Reformer is revealed to us in a fine hymn* of fourteen verses, wherein he graphically depicts the hollow pleasures and the real dangers of this questionable pasture. When this kind of gambling had attained firm hold in Aryavarta, each of the four sides of the die came to be known by the name of the number of dots on it, or in other words, by the names, Krita, Trets. Dwitat and Kali, When the idea of the four rugas subsequently took shape, the word 'Dwits, the name of the side marked with two dots, might have given place to the word 'Dwapara.'

^{*} Rig Veda, X. 34.

^{.†} This word was well-known at the time, as it occurs many times in the Rig Veda, though in a different sense.

It was about the time of the War that the yuga. system had first come into existence, for these four yugas, as we have seen, are referred to for the first time in the Atharva Veda Samhita and in the Aitareya Brahmana. As has been remarked above, it will be duly proved in another chapter that a real historical period of two cycles of 1000 years each, dating from the epoch of Ikshwaku, the son of Vaivasvata Manu, came to an end a few years after the Mahabhagata War. At this time there were also vague recollections of a prehistoric age preceding the epoch of Ikshwaku, This was probably the first age of the Gods (Decanam Prathama Yuga), referred to in the Rig Vedic hymn (X. 72, 2), a period lost in mist and stories of deluge." Thus there were three distinct ages or yugas preceding the War, namely, the two historical cycles of 1000 years each and the mythical age before the times of Ikshwaku.

There was still another age which commenced soon after the war and which was made to last for 1000 years on the analogy of the cycle of 1000 years which came to a close at that time. This yngo, which was then current, was the first or the 'Kali' age; and

^{*} Taitariya Sambita, VII. 1. 5, Satapatha Brahmana. 1 8, VII. 5 1. 5; Kathaka Sambita, XI, 2,

the immediately preceding age was already known by the name of Dwapara. The next prior age, which, according to the Puranas,* commenced at the time of Ikshwaku and Pururavas, the progenitors of the Solar and Janar dynasties, was named as the third or the 'Treta yuga; and the earliest mythical times, preceding the time of Ikshwaku, was the fourth or the 'Krita' yuga.

An historical period of 2,000 years having come to an end soon after the War, the Dwaparayuga seems to have been confounded with the whole of that period; and the Kaliyuga was supposed to last, as we have already seen, for a period of 1,000 years. If the preceding Dwapara, which was the second age, was to last for 2,000 years or double the period of the Kali, it followed as a matter of course that the Treta or the third age should last for thrice that period, or for 3,000 years, and that the Krita or the fourth yuga should extend for a period of 4.000 years. Moreover the number of dots on the Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali sides of a die were four, three, two and one respectively. It is probable that the difference in the periods for the four yugas was also due to the analogy of this model.

Bhagavata, IX. 14, 49.
 See also Ramayana, Uttara Kanda, Ch. 74; Warren's Kala Sankalita, pp. 358 and 366.

Lastly, I shall briefly refer to the views* of Professor Rangacharya on the subject. He considers that the Kritaynga was so named, because it was an age 'of deeds,' "when everything that had to be done was done as a matter of course,"t I submit that this is a secondary signification, which subsequently came sometimes to be attached to the word. Such fanciful interpretations do occur in many of our ancient writings like the Brahmanas. "the Mubabharata and the Puranas; but instead of having been meant to give the historical origin of the words explained, they were " merely used to drive home the full force of the words in all possible and fanciful aspects. As has been said above, the words Krita and Treta were originally numerals derived from the same roots as the Latin Quartus and Tertius, and are therefore similar in origin to the names of the Geological Epochs, Quaternary and Testiary. Mr. Rangacharya interprets 'Dwapara'as 'doubt or philosophy', and 'Treta' as 'a collection of threes'; and says that the Yugas were so called because the Dwapara was an age of philosophy and the Treta was the yuga when the three fires of sacrifices, the three castes and the three classes of gods were, according to the

^{*} The Yugas, pp. 35, 36 and 42, † Vide also Mahabharata, III, CXLIX, 12.

Pursuas, supposed to have come first into existence. Without discussing the fatal questions whether the Treta yuga was really so considered at the time when these names came into being and whether the Dwapara means, or actually was, an age of philosophy, I shall be content with briefly pointing out that both the Parsara and Manusmritis attribute the exactly contrary characteristics to these yugas.

तपः परं कृतयुगे त्रेतायां हानमुच्यते । द्वापरे यहमेवाहः दानमेकं कली युगे ॥*

"Spiritual meditation predominates in Kritayuga and spiritual knowledge in the Treta. They say that sacrifice only prevails in the Dwapara and that liberalty alone survives in the Kaliyuga." If Manu, which most scholars place hefore the beginning of the Christian Era, may he expected to hand down the old traditions more or less faithfully, we find that the leading characteristic attributed to the Dwapara is not 'philosophy,' but sacrifice, and to the Treta, is not 'sacrifice,' but knowledge, the exact reverse of Mr. Rangacharya's contention. Moreover, it may also be remembered that the greatest Hindu lexicographer of our times, the late Taranatha Tarka Vachaspati, interprets the word Dwapara in a quite different manner.

^{*} Parusara Madhaviya, I. 23; Mahusmriti, I. 86.

accounts of certain things purporting to be narrated by a bard to Rishis assembled together at a sacrificial session were handed down from generation to generation and these were after some time committed to writing. The later Puranas, devoted to the exaltation of a particular deity and to the inculcation of certain doctrines, derived their accounts of these kings from the earliest written Puramas and not from the oral tradition." There were a class of persons called Satas, of whom Lomalia shana is a typical instance, "who were charged with the record of political and temporal events. The Suta, that is, a band or panegyrist, was intended to celebrate the exploits of Princes and had, according to the Vayu and Padam Punnasa right by birth and profession to narrate the Purums, in preference even to the Brahmanas. " The Sutas were consequently well acquainted with the lists of ancestors kept in every family of consequence for recital on marriage occasions and for worship at offerings to ancestral mones.

The lists of dynastics contained in these Purinds are brought up to data in four different places, namely, at the descriptions respectively of the

[.] Wilson's Lishau Purana, Introduction.

The Vishna Parana names in order the various kings of the different dynasties down to the Andhrabhritya, the thirty kings of which line alone are said to have unled for 456 years. It continues "After these (the Audhrabhrityas). various mees will reign; as seven Abhirus, ten Gard abhilas, sixteen Sakas, eight Yavanas, fourteen Tusharas, thirty Mundas, eleven Mannas, who will be sovereigns of the earth for 1390 years; and then eleven Pauras will be kings for three humbred years. When these are destroyed, the Kninkth Yavan is, who will not be Kalatriyas, will tale over the Ujjiin \$ country, the chief of whom will be Vindhyasakti : his son will be Puranjaya i his son will be Madachandra; his son will be Dharma, from whom will be Gad a Then Bhutan indina, then Sunanda and his brothers Nandiyasas, Sisaka and Pravira; these will rule for a hundred and s'x years. Then from

This figure is given in Weison's lexts and also in the Madras Edition. But Hell finds 1399 in certain manuscripts, evidently a mustake based upon the statement of the Bluggwata.

[§] I follow the reading of the Madras Edition, supported as it is by two commentaries, Scidinariya and Vishnuchittiya, all of which read Nojan, the country of Ujjain.

be misters of the lanks of the Indus, Darvika, the Chandrathaga and Kasmira. These will, all, be contemporary monarchs, reigning over the enth, kings of churthsh spirit, violent temper, and ever addicted to Lab-chood and wickedness." So comes to an end the account of kings in the Vishua Purana. The Matsya does not go further than the Kainkila dynasty which it calls Kilikila, the Blagavata not further than Viswasphitha whom it styles Viswasphutti, and the Vayn not further than the Kanaka, Lords of the Amazon country. The sub-squent portions of these

the stanzas are fine, postical and characteristically Mindu-It says : " I have now given you a summary account of the sovereigns of the earth, . These and other kings, who, with perishable frames, have possessed this everenduring world, and who, blinded with deceptive notions of individual occupation, have induiged the teeling that suggests " This earth is mine, it is my son's, it belongs to my dynasty," have all, passed away. . Earth laught. as if smilling with antumnal flowers, to behold her kings unable to effect the subjugation of themselves, * When I (the earth) hear a kirg sending word to snother. by his ambassador, "This earth is mine; immediately resign your pretensions to it." I am moved to violet inaphter; but it soon subsides, in pity for the infatuted fool. • He who was Kartavirya subdued innumerable enemies and conquered the seven zones of the earth; but now he is only the topse of a theme, a subject for aftirmation and contradiction, s Mandhatri, the Emperor of the universe, is embodied only in a legend, Bhaciratha, Sagara, Yudhishtira and others have been is it so? Have they really existed? Where are they now? We know not."

Audhra dynasty, 1601 years for the dynasties down to the Kninkilas, 30 years for a certain Ballika king, and a period of 156 years for the reigns of the first Kamkila king Vindhyasakti and his son Praying It is not possible for a father and son to reign for 156 years and the blumler is probably due to the Vayu having been touched up hug after the time of the Kainkila kings. There is much reason to think that the accounts it contains of the dynasties subsequent to the Ambrahhrity as were modelled, as it itself confesses, on an earlier Purant, It says: "The kings who are to succeed the Amillans have already been foretold by persons acquainted with the Puramas" We can think of no earlier Purama from which it might have copied than the Vislam which presents the appearance of a compact record, one of whose main objects appearing to be the fixing of the choonology of Auctent India.

We shall therefore go back to the Vishau which gives a period of 1865 years for the interval hetween the Muhabharta. War and the end of the Arulhabharita. dynasty and mother of 1600 years for the dynastics of kings that intervened

c 480 A D. * * After the death of Skanda Capts the attacks of the Humas which began during his reign and probably also internal, dissensions led to divisions in the Empire. . The later Gupta period comes to an end in 606 A, D, when Harslet Vardhen vof Kanoni gained paramaunt power over the whole of Hindustan, " We know from inscriptions that Skanda Gujita's dominious extends! from the borders of Eastern Nepual to the shores of the Gulf of Kach, that his peace was disturbed by the increas of the Hunas, and that on his death this cast Empire soon broke up into a number of small principalities. In Control India, in a partion of his Western dominions, Ir a is an availed by Hudlin Gupta, produidly his son. whose morniption (date: 165 chapter line, 481.5 A. D.) has been found at Error in the Central Procuses. Shands timple was successful in the Log by Krishne Gupta, and, in another part of his der in me, by his brother Sthirn Gupta who seems to I are reigned till 400 A. D. The statement of leah the Vaya and Vislam Punnos to the effect that the timples ruled no far no Prayag is fully corp booted by an inscription of Samulas Gupta. No 7 in the stone Het, which can even now be troits the fatour Lat at Allelahul (Press)

about 388 A. D., the period of 106 years given by the Vishnu for the Kainkilas would bring down the date of the last kings of that dynasty to about 494 A. D. This date receives further support if we identify, as Dr. Fergusson; does, Maharajadhiraja Scideva Gapta, the maternal grandfather of Pravarusen succording to the grant, with Maharaj dhiraja Chandra Gupta. The grant does not probably give the name of the king, but only his title. This Chandra Gupta is the sixth in our Gupta list and the son of Samudra Clupta of the Albahabad Lat fame and reigned from about 380 to 414 A. D. His daughter's son Pravarasena would therefore have been contempovary with his son's sons, namely, Skanda Gupta who reigned till about 480 and Sthirz Gupta who lived till about 490.

We may also adduce in support of this date for the Kainkilas what Fergusson says with regard to the Ajunta caves on which these Pravanasema inscriptions sive found: "The interest of these consists in their being almost unique specimens of the architecture and arts of India during the great Gupta period, when Theodosius 11 was Emperor of the East and at a time when Bahram Gour, the

[!] History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p. 157.

oldest and most eminent, is preserved intact. By a strange fatality, the inscriptition has been obliterated wherever a royal name existed, so that one is tempted to suppose that the destruction was intentional," The Seoni copperplate grants, which were deciphered by Prinsep. tell us that one king Pravarasena unled over Vakataka and that his great-grandson Rudasem "was followed on the throne by Pravarasema II, the son of Prabhavati Gupta, the daughter of the conformer to uncestorial customs, the upright conqueror of armies, the Maharajadhiraja Srideva Gupta." This "Pravarusena of the race of Vishuarudra, the Rishi, performer of various serifices tuled over Vakataka," Dr. Blau Daji thinks that the Pravarasera of the grant is the same as the other of the same name, descendant of Vindhyasakti, mentioned in the inscription, as both the grant and the inscription say that Prayarasena ruled over the Vakataka country. He also considers " that these kings ruled in Eastern and Central India, shortly after the Sah" + dynasty, which ruled in Saurashtra from about 119 to about 388 A. D. If this line sprang up to power

J. Bengal R. A. S. V. 729.
 J. Bombay R. A. E. VIII, p. 243.

about 388 A. D., the period of 106 years given by the Vishin for the Kainkilas would bring down the date of the last kings of that dynasty to about 494 A. D. This date vertices further sunport if we identify, as Dr. Fergusson; does, Maharajadhiraja Srideva Gupta, the maternal grandfather of Pravarosen according to the grant, with Maharajadhiraja Chandra Gupta, The grant does not probably give the name of the king, but only his title. This Chandra Gunta is the sixth in our Gupta list and the son of Samudra Unpta of the Allahalad Lat fame and reigned from about 380 to 414 A. D. His daughter's son Pravarasena would therefore have been contemporary with his son's sons, namely, Skanda Gupta who reigned till about 480 and Sthirt Gupta who lived till about 400.

We may also adduce in support of this date for the Kainkilas what Fergusson says with regard to the Ajunta cares on which these Peatranseems incriptions are found: "The interest of these consists in their being almost unique specimens of the architecture and arts of India during the great Gupta period, when Theodosius II was Empeyor of the East and at a time when Bahram Gour, the

[!] History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p, 157.

Sassanian, is said to have visited India. He reigned from 420 to 440 A. D. * * The figures on the roof of the cave are not Indians, but Persian, and the costumes those of the Sussanian period." It may also be observed here that the Rajitarangini informs us that Matri Gupta, a Court poet of Vikramıdıtya Sri Harslır, who will be shown presently to have reigned in the first half of the sixth century after Christ, was made the king of Kashmir by the latter in succession to one Hiranya who was the tenth in succession on the throne of Kashmir from one Narendraditya, otherwise known as Khinkhila.* Probably the Vindhyasakti family of Kninkilas was related to. and contemporary with Narendraditya Khinkhila of Kashmir.

Thus of the kings Vindhyasakti and Pravansena mentioned in the inscription and the grant, the latter very probably reigned till about 480 or 490 'A. D. He corresponds to Pravina, deseemant of Vindhyasakti, specified in the Pravana-The mane at the country over which these Kainkiks reigned is stated to be Ujiain in the Madras Edition of the Vishau Pramas and also in the two commentaries thereon above referred to. Very probably these Vakataka Princes extended their away over Ujjain also, as the inscriptions are at Ajunta very near that country.

The Vishuu Purana refers to "Pushyamitra, Patumitra and others to the number of thirteen" among the "contemporary" dynasties. Now, Skanda Ganta is stated in the Bhitari stonepillar inscription to have restored the fallen fortunes of the Gupta race, to have conquered the Pushyamitras and fought with the Hunas. The Prshparitras do not occur to my knowledge anywhere else in Aucient Indian History and I believe that the Pushyamitra and others of the Purami must be identified with them. This identification gives additional force to the inference we have already drawn that Pravira or Pravarasena, one of the contemporary princes of the Vislam Parana, lived down to 480 or 490 A. D. For, the Pushyamitras are contemporary with Skanda Gupta on the one hand according to the in-cription and with Pravira on the other according to the Purana; and Skanda (inpts lived down to 480, and his brother Sthirm Gupta to 490 A. D.

There is an inscription on the Nasik cave, No. 12, which refers to one "Patamitraka, a king of the Northern region." We cannot say whether he was the same as " Patinnitra" of the Purana.

The Vishmi further says that barbarian kings will then hold sway over the countries on the banks of the Indus. This statement is corroborated by independent evidence. It may be stated that the white Huns invaded India in the latter part o' the fifth century. Says Rapson \$: "The leader of the Huma invasion who conquered the kingdom of Gandhara (Kundahar) from the Kidam Kushmus (who were until then ruling there) and established his capital at Rakula probably c. 465-70 A. D. is known from Chinese sources as Lal-Lil. " He was the father of Tommuna, which . latter king was probably enabled by the death of Skanda Chipta (480 A.D.) to invade and hold Central India. Rapson continues: "The Hum coinage of 471-486 A. D. nlmost certainly testify to the Huna conquest of the Lower Indus country and Western Rajputana, " We have thus by various lines of investigation arrived at the conclusion that the date of these contemporary princes is between 480 and 490, or about 485 A. D

[§] Encyclopædia of Indo-Aryan Research; Indian coins, p. 28.

It will be realily perceived that the date must beafter the death of Skanda Gunta, but still within a few years of his death. For when he was alive the Empire was rost and important and the Hunas were not able to make healway against him; and if the Empire were so extensive at the date of the Purmin, it would not have been disposed of in the few words, the Purana chooses to describe it with. The Purana therefore describes the Empire after the disruption and confusion consequent on his death, when the larburian mids were becaming prominent. Yet the date cannot be long after his death, for Prayim one of the contemperary princes was, as pointed out above, a contemporary of Skumla Gupta. Skunda Gupta's List inscription belongs to 468 A. D. but it is generally supposed that he died about 480. The earliest inscription of his direct survessor' Bulha Cupts is dated 484-5 A.D., and I adopt it as the safest date for the contemporary princes of the Punna.

So far we have been giving positive evidence in support of this date; we may also establish its correctness by means of negative proof. The great Vallabhis of Guzaret rose to independence Yayati Kesari, a Hindu King, whose dynasty reigned sub-equently for nearly seven centuries. The Purma mentions that at the time of the "contemporary princes" that country was inled over by Devanskultas, either the Yayanas or the dynasty of kings, surnamed Deva, subverted by them. If the Purma had been written after the expirition of the fifth century, the Kesari dynasty would have been prominently noticed.

The early Western Chalukyas of Badami beginning with Jayusimba, Ranarga, and the great Satyasmya Sri Pulakesi I, the Gurjaras of Blutroch beginning with Dalda and Jayabhata, the Parivrajaka Mahamjas Damodara and Hastin, Nurryurdhana of Thanesar and his descendants, the Mankhariyarmans Hariyarman, Adityayarman and Iswaravarman, the Kulachari kings Kakavarna and Sankaragana, Buddharaja of Chedi, Raja Diwaij of Simlh, Purnavarmen of Western Magadha, Sasanka of Karnasuvaran, and many others besides, reigned in different parts of India about the sixth century A. D. And none of them is referred to in the Purana. Nor sloes it make any mention of the famous Malwa and Kanouj dynasties which the Chinese travellers Hionen Throng and Man Twan Lin state as having played the leading part in that period. From the former, who travelled in India between 629 and 645 A. D., we learn that Siladitya Harsha-

vaid ma, the hero of Bana's Hurshacharita, was on the throne of Kanyakubja from 610 to 650. Man Twan Lin gives 648 as the time before which Siladitya was dead and we have inscriptions recording his invasion of Vallabbi country between 633 and 640. His predecessor on the throne was his brother Rajyavardhana who succeeded his father Problekarayardham, the successor of Siladitya Pratapasila of Malwa called Bhoja by Ferishta. Hionen Throng gives 50 years for the reign of Silvdityo I of Malwa who, he says, reigned 60 yearbefore his time and succeeded Vikramaditya. Max Müller consequently places Vikramaditya "before 550 A. D. " Kallama's Raj starangini also informs as that Sibalitya of Malwa succeeded Vikrama-

ditya. Fergusson gives t the following dates for the Ujjun and Kanonj dynasties :-

Vasadeva Founder

Vikramulitya I

.. 470-495 A. D. Sci Harsha 495-515

[.] India, what can et rench we. Firet Edition, Note & † History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, p. 733

Vikramaditya II, the Great . . 515-550 A.D. Siladitya I of Malwa .. 550-580 Prableakara .. 580-605 Rajvavardiana .. 605-610 . . Siladitya II of Kanouj ., 610-648 Max Müller tells us that the Satrunjaya Mahatmya gives 544 A. D., as the beginning of Viktamaditya's reign and it does not nullify the force of the tradition contained in it, if, as Bühler informs us, the Malatmya was a wretched forgery of the twelfth or fourteenth century. According to a Hindustani chronicler, 2 Mir Cher-i-Ali Afsos, a King, named Bhoja, who reigned about 1018 A. D., lived 542 years after Vikramaditya, --- which takes back the latter's date to about 476 A. D. Fergusson says " Wilford reports that this Vikramaditya ascended the throne of Malwa in 441 (Asiatic Researches IX, 150) reckoning from the first of Salivahana or 520 A. D., or according to the Agni Pmana, 437 years after the sum epoch, or 516 (Asiatic Researches IX, 161)." Moreover, some at least of the nine gems of his court are known to have lived in the sixth century A.D. In an inscription at Buddha Gaya

Junt. Asia. 1844, p. 354, quoted in Weber's Indian Literature, p. 20, note 11.

(As Res Vol. I p. 286.), dated Samvat 1015 or 948 A D, we find the following :- "Vikramaditya was certainly a king renowned in the world. So in his court were nine learned men *** one of whom was Amara Simba." Curmingham shows that the temple at Buddha Gaya is referred to by Hionen Throng (629), but not by Fa Hian (399), and that consequently its builder Amura, one of the Navaratnani and composer of the Amarakusa. must have lived about 500 A.D. It may also be remarked that Amarikosa was translated ¶ into the Chinese in the sixth century. We know also flat Varahamilian, another ornament of Vikrama's court, died in 587 \$. In an inscription dated 634 A D , Kalidisa and Bharavi are mentioned among the greatest poets and Dhanvantari is mentioned hy Dundin (600 A.D.) as having been a distinguished physician; and both Kalidasa and Dhanvantati were among the gems of Vikrania's court. Yet Professor Macdonell denies that Vikromaditya ever was an historical personage. The authorities, however, against his opinion, it will be readily seen, are overwhelming. The truthful Albiruni

¹ Dutt's Ancient India 11. 123.

[§] Thibant's Panchasiddhantiks, p. XXIX. J. R. A. S. N. S. I. 407.

(1031 A.D.) says that Vikramaditya put the Saka King to flight and killed him in the region of Korur between Multan and the crettle of Loni; and the Rajatanugini speaks of his having sent one Matri Gupta, a poet, as his Viceroy at Kashanir. We cannot therefore but concur with Dr. Kern when he says: "The first half of the sixth century is in reality the most illustrious period of Sankait Literature; at that thue the nine gens flourished under the jutronage of an art laving prince," the great King Vikramaditya.

Says R C. Dutt: f "The victor of a great and patriotic war, the patron of reviving Hinduism, the centre of all that is best and most beautiful in modern Sanskrit Literature and the subject of a laundred legends, Vikramaditva the Great is to the Hindus what Charlemagne is to the French, what Alfred is to the English, what Asoka is to Buddhists and what Harun Ar Rashid is to Mahomechus. To the learned as to the illiterate, to the poet as to the story-teller, to old men as to schoolboys, his name is as familiar in Indu as the

[·] Bribateamhita, p 209.

[†] Civilisation in Aucient Indes, Book V ch I.

name of any prince or potentate in any country." Many a child in India is halled to sleen by stories drawn from his life. Next to the heroes of the two great national epics, the name of this doughty champion looms the brightest on the Indian horizon. He was known us Vikramaditya, Sakari (Raj itarangini), Sahasanka (Bhia ishyottara Purana). Bhoja # (Ballala Misra), Sri Harsha (Rajatarangini) Vishamasila (Kathasaitsigara) and Sii (Albirani) and probably also Yasodharman, who according to one Mumbasor inscription lived in Samuet 589. (533 A.D.), and according to another § reigned over the whole of Northern India "from the river Landitya or Brahmspatra to the Western occur and from the Himalayas to the Mahemlra mountain and possessed countries which not even the Suptas and Humas could subdue and had homege paid him even by Mihimenla," the son of the white Hun Tommana. Two nugnificent monoliths of this great Yasodharman are still to be seen in Northern India. Such a king, justly famous in his own and subsequent times, is not

According to the Attarera Brahmana this is the old title of the kings in 'the Southern region.'

[§] Corpus Inscrip, Indic. Vol. III 145-50.

even referred- to in the Vishun Purana, nor are · Sibilitya 'of Malwa who succeeded him, and the enlightened Sibilitya II of Kanonj. On the other hand, according to one edition of it, the country over which he ruled is said to have been protected by the Kninkila Yayanas. Only one explanation is possible. Every one of those kings mentioned above as laving reigned in the sixth century A.D., lived some time after the last portions of the genenlogies of the Vishan Purana were written up. It · certainly was possible for the writer to have men-'tioned these later kings, as he has done the estiler ones, if only he had known of them. But as it is be ends with a list of certain contemporary kings, who, as I have already shown, lived about 485 A. D., or in other words, only a few decades before Vikramaditya and his near contemporaries were the rulers of Hindustan.

We may therefore conclude that the lastchromological portion at least of the Vi-hun Purana was written about 485 A.D. We have already shown that accurding to it a period of 3661 years is supposed to have intercened between that dateand that of Yudhishtim* or the beginning of the Kuli

According to current octiet, the reign of Yudin-hura lasted for a few years, beginning soon after the Mahabharata War and ending with the advent of Kali Yoga.

eta Consequently the Purana seems to consider the Kali Yuga to have begun in or about (3661-485 o), 3176 BC But I have many book, The Chronology of Ancient Indus, First Series, endeavoured to prove by amous lines of reasoning that the Kali Yuga began in 1177—6 BC, i.e., exactly two thousand years after the date yielded by the Purana. Why and how has such a discrepancy been haoight about?

We shall presently be able to discover the reasons; but let us first enquire how far the kingand dynasties named in the Purana may be considered to be historical. Of the Pre-Chamlengupta kings we may only say in a general manner, as I have already stated in my first volume, that the number of kings mentioned in the Paranas is about the same as that given by Megisthenes (300 B C.) Of these Bindisara, Ajatasatra and Nand) are referred to in Buddhist works, the first two as contemporaries of Lord Buddley, Of Chandragupta Maurya himself we know that Megisthene, an ambascular at his court, has given us a good deal of information regarding him and his subjects and country. Bindusarn, who succeeded Chandragupta, seems to have also been known as

Amitraghata, and ambassadors* were sent to his court by Antiokhos Soter and Ptolemy Philadelplus in the earlier half of the third century B. C. Asoka the Great, the next King, refers in, his inscriptions to certain contemporary kings, Antio-, khos II of Syria, Ptolemy Philadelphus of Egypt, . Antigonas Gonatas of Macedonia, Magas of Cyrene and Alexander of Epirus, all of whom were alive in the year 258 B. C. Asoka's grandson, according to the Purana, was Dasaratha and the name " is genuine, being confirmed by the inscriptions in the Nagarjuni caves near Gaya, which record the bestownl of the caves upon the Ajivakas (a Vaishuava sect) by Dasaratha immediately after his accession. The character of these inscriptions are the same as in those of Asoka." + The Puram makes one Salisuka the successor's successor of Dasuratha, and he is referred to as one of the latest princes by Garga ? who lived in the second century B. C. The last of the ten princes of this Maurya dynasty, who reigned in all for 137 years, was killed, and his throne usurped, by his general Pushpamitra. Both Pushpamitra and

Duff's Chronology of India, p. 11.

[†] V. A. Smith's Asoka, p. 45.

his son Agnimitra are referred to in Kalidasa's Malavilaminitra and General Conningham had in his possession "two coins of an Agnimitra, conthining characters similar to those of Asoka's inscriptions \$." Garga mentions T one Agnimitra as king of a country called Bhadrapaka : but it is not clear whether he is the same as Pushpamitru's son. There were eight more kings of this Sunga dynasty which is said to have held the reins of Government for 112 years and to have been succeeded by the Knawas, a Dwij's dynasty which lasted for 45 years. The Vishan Parana further states that the last of the Kanwas "will be killed by a powerful servant of the Andlira tribe, named Sipraka, who will become king " and found the Andhrabhritya dynasty.

We have independent evidence to show that the Andhrabhrityns had been in power from the earlier half of the second century B. C. "Names of three of the early Princes of this dynasty occur in inscriptions belonging to the first half of the second century B. C. Simuka Satavaleum, mentioned in an inscription at Nanaghat, has been identified by

[§] Wilson's Vishon Parana, Ed by Hall, IV. 91,

Kern's Bribalsamhita, p 38.

Bühler with the Prince whose name, under the forms Sindhuka, Sisuka, Sipraka, and Chhismaka, stands first in the Puranic lists of the Andhras as founder of the dynasty. Krishna Satavahana, of whom there is an inscription at Nasik of the same period, is the second Prince of these Puranic lists, there called a brother of Simuka. Satakarni, whose name with that of his wife Nayanika occurs in a Nanaghat inscription of the same time as that of Simuka, has been identified with the third Prince of the Puranic lists. He is probably, too, the Satakarni King whom'Kharavela of Kalinga, in the Hathigumpha inscription, claims to have protected in the second year of his reign, *" in the year 165 of the Maurya era which began with the accession of Chandragupta to the throne. From the Greek geographer Ptolemy (151 A. D.) we learn that in his time one Siro Polemios ruled over a country whose capital was Paithan. † This king has been correctly identified with Siri Pulumavi or Puluma, yi of the inscriptions and with Pulimat or Pulomat, the seventh king from the last of the

Daff's Chronology of India, pp. 14 and 16. Reference under dates B. C. 180 and 150

[†] See Bh indharkar's Early History of the Deccan, sec. VI for this and subsequent details.

Andhrabhritya dynasty of the Vishun Puraua, "In the longest inscription of the four occurring in the cave temple at one extremity of the hill at. Nasik, we are told that in the nineteenth year of the reign of King Pulumayi, the son of Vasishthi, the cave was caused to be constructed * * by Gotami, the mother of King Satakami Gotamiputra. " He is there spoken of as a ruler of extensive dominious, "whose exploits rivalled those of Rama and Kesaya" and as having left no "remnant of the race of Khagarata and re-established the glory of the Satavahana family." Another inscription purports to be an order of King Gotamiontra Satakarni, who can be no other than Gotaminatra the eighth from the last of the Puranic Andhra dynasty, granting a piece of land which was until then in the possession of one Ushabludata (Ri-hablus datta.) There are three more inscriptions at Nasik referring to the reign of Siti Pulumayi. Iu' two inscriptions in one of the caves at Kunheri and in one at Nasik the name of the reigning prince is given as Gotamiputra Siri Yanna Satakani, who corresponds to Yajua Sci Satakarni of the Puramas. There is a Kamberi inscription referring to Madhariputra Sakasena or Srisena, who has been identified by Bhagawauld

Indraji with Sivasri Medhasiras of the Puramas, son of Pulumayi. One of the inscriptions on a care at Nasik says that it was constructed by Ushavadata, son-in-law of King Kshahárata Nahapana and another mentions his having dedicated the cave monastery to Buddhist mendiant priests in the year 42. Two more inscriptions, one of Nasik and the other of Karli, refer to him. This date as well as certain other dates referring to him as King Nahapana are believed by scholars to be connected with the era now known as Salivahana Sakabda, whose epoch is 78 A.D. As Gautamiputra was a contemporary, according to one of the above inscriptions, of Ushabhadata, he must be assigned to about 42 + 78 or 120 A.D., a result which confirms the statement of Ptolemy (151 A.D.) that he (Ptolemy) was a contemporary of Pulumayi, the successor of Gautamiputra.

"We also learn from Ptolemy that Ziastenes reigned at Ozene (Ujjain) about the time when he wrote and was therefore a contemporary of Pulmaryi. Ziastenes has, I think, been reasonably bleutified with Chashtam", the contemporary and apparently successor of Nahapana. The allu-

^{*} Bhandarkar's Early History of the Decran, sec. VI.

sion in Gantumputra's inscription to the extermination of the Kshatarata race is probably to a previous conquest of some of the Andlan territories by Nahapana, and to their recovery by Gantamiputra. Some of the places enumerated in Pulimayis inscription as being subject to his father belonged, as Rishabhadatta's inscriptions seem to show, to Nahapana. There is a Junagadh inscription of Saka 72 (150 A.D.) of Rudradaman. Western Kehatrapa, grand-on of Chashtana, stating that he twice conquered Satakarni, lord of the Dakshinapatha, probably Chatushyurna Vasishtiputra II, according to numi-matic evidence the father of Yajnasri Gautamiputra. As after Yajnaeri there reigned only three more kings of the Andhra dynasty, we must conclude that it came to an end about the first quarter of the third century A.D.

Thus it will be seen that the kings named in the dynasties of kings mentioned in the Puranas cannot be said to be fictitions; on the other hand, their statements are substantiated to some extent. as seen above, by reliable, independent evidence. But their chronology is in some particulars different from what we have been able to gather from the inscriptions, grants and coins. According to

the Vishnu Purana, the interval between the accession of Chaudragupta Maurya and the end of the Andhra dynasty was a period of 750 years. As Chandragupta reigned in the last quarter of the fourth century B. C., the Andbras should have, according to the Puranas, come to an end about the middle of the fifth century A.D., whereas, as shown above, the last of the Andlinas cannot belong to a later date than the first quarter of the third century A.D. Thus in this particular there is a discrepancy of about two centuries between the Pumns and actual dates. Moreover, the Kainkila Yavanas must have begun their rule, as shown above, in the list quarter of the fourth century. But the Vishnu Purama places them 1690 years after the end of the Andhra dynasty. Let it be remembered that the names of the kings of all the dynasties down to the Andhrus and also of the kings of the Kainkilas, one of the contemporary dynastics, are given in the Purana, but not the name of even a single king of the various dynusties who are stated by the Purana to have reigned between the end of the Andhrabhrityas and the beginning of the Kainkilas. To · quote again the paragraph from the Vislam: •• "Yagnasti's son will be Vij.ya; his son will be

Chandrasri; his son will be Polomarchi. Thee thirty Andhrabiritya kings will reign 456 years. After these, various races will reign; as seven Abhiras, ten Gardubbilts, sixteen Sakas, eight Yaramas, fourteen Tusharas, thirteen Muudas, eleven Mannas,—who will be sovereigns of the earth for 1300 corns after

Yarans, fourteen Tushana, thirteen Munha, eleven Mannas, who will be sovereigns of the earth for 1390 years; and then eleven Pauns will be kings for 300 years. Heen they are destroyed, the Kainkila Yavanas will be kings, the chief of whom will be Vindhyasakti; his son will be Paunnian; ** * " It looks very strange that the interval of only about a century and u half

between the last of the Andhras and the first of

the Kainkilas should be represented in the Pummas having been a period of 1690 years and that the particulars for the intermediate dynasties should be so very meagre, the names even of the kingnot being given.

Thus, it is clear that the Vishnu puts back the beginning of Kali by exactly 2000 years by the expedient of increasing by a few years the length of the reigns of the diyarm the length of the reigns of the diyarm the interval of a century and a half between the Andhras and Kainkil sa number of dynastic which are said to have reigned for 1690 years. It

is not, however, to be supposed that the intermediate dynasties of the Abhirus and others were wholly fictitious. Fergusson thinks that these dynasties could not have been earlier than the Gupta em (319 A. D.), nor have survived Vikramaditya the Great. He says :- " After the end of the Andhra dynasty, all the subsequent contemporary dynasties were thrown into hotch-pot-to use a leg d expression—and a system of fraud and falsification commenced, which is the reproach of Indian History. ". I have already pointed out that in the Allahabad Lat inscription of Samudra Gupta some of these tribes are stated to have been conquered by him. Says Wilson in his translation of the Vishnu Punna: "Traces of the name of Abhiras occur in the Abiria of Ptolemy; and the Abirs, as a distinct race, still exist in Guzerat. * * The Sakas are the Sace * * the Yavana kings may be the Greek Princes of Baktria, or rather, of Western India. The Tushama may be the Parthians, or the Tochari, a Scythian race. The Murundas are the Marunde of Ptolemy, probably a tribe of the Humas, Wilford considers, Maunas a tribe of the Huns. And the Garlabhinas seem to

^{*} History of Architecture, p. 722.

have been a tribe in the West of India. "f It is a mistike, however, to suppose that one tribe succee led another in the sovereignty of India. They might, or might not, have been contemporary with ' one another; but they must have all held sway for a short time over different parts of India.

The third century and the first half of the fourth ; seem to have been an age of darkness and confusion. a period when all consecutive chronology was wellnigh forgotten. The Guptes had not as yet attained their importance and the old dynasty of the Andhras had become extinct. The Sah dynasty was then indeed in existence, but it held sway only over the Saurashtra country. The chain of chronologr, the several links of which more or less correctly corresponded to the measure of time from the epoch of the great war to that of the Andless, suddenly suppod on the extinction of the latter for want of a puremount power which could be said to have succeeded the Andhras in the overlordship of Hindustan. And when the chain was attempted to be restored one bundred and fifty years later during the reigns of the descendants of Vindhyasakti, a very large number of

[†] Vol. IV. P. 209.

links, not at all proportioned to the small interval, was introduced to represent the periodgratuitously assigned to the rule of a number of nearly contemporaneous tribes. When once the mistake was made of considering a number of almost contemporaneous insignificant tribes as hiving ruled in succession to one another, it certainly was possible to throw back the epoch of the Kali Yuga by exactly two thousand years, especially when in so doing the usual method of calculating the current era was not interfered with. For the method then was what is now in vogue with regard to the Kashmir, and till recently the Kollam era. The peculiarity of the Kashmir era is "that though it is to-day (February 1897) 4972, it is spoken of as 72." As I have already remarked in my book, at the time of Dr. Buchanan and Lieutenant-Colouel Warren who became I mous in the first quarter of the last century, the Malayala Kollam era was supposed to have been counted by cycles of 1000 years beginning from 1176 B.C., but in the almonaes the figure for the thousands was usually left out and only those for the hundreds, tens and units were noted. If it is temembered that 1176 B.C., the epoch of the Malabur era, was actually the beginning of the

See The Chronology of Ancient India, First Series, PP 45-50.

Kali Yuga, and that the Kali was supposed even as late as the last quarter of the first century! A D to have begun in 1176 B.C., it will be readily seen that the current era of the time of the Kainkilos could have been no other and the ulmmucunkers of the day omitted the figure for the thousands, as was the practice in Madalar till the end of the first quarter of the last century, or probably the figures for both the thousands and hundreds as is even now usual in Kashmir. Such a practice ought to have enabled our chronicles to put lack the beginning of the Kali Yugu by exactly 2000 years without in any way disturbing the figures of the alumnac-makers of his times. But none of these reasons can fully account for the Purant throwing buck the date by that large period of time. It may be reasonably asked why the date was put lack by only two thousand years and not by one, or these or four or more timiber of thousands of venis.

In the second chapter of my first volume, I have discussed the interpretation of a pertain slok of Greet quoted in Varsh unidica's bribatsamhita; It runs thus

[†] Iden. pp. 57-61.

श्रासन्मघासु मुनयस्त्रास्ति पृथ्वी युधिष्ठिरे नृपती ।

पर्द्रिकपर्श्वद्वयुतस्यककालस्तस्य राज्ञश्च ॥

"When King Yudhishtira ruled over the earth, the Sages (Rishis) were in the Magha. From that king's time the epoch of Saka was 26 times 25 years." The word 'Sakakala' in the above sloka certainly refers to the date of the Nirvana, for Gautama was known variously as the Sakya Prince, Sakya Simba and Sakya Muni, and Saka is one of the names by which he is known even in far-off China. The word Sakya is itself a. derivative from the word Saka, for which we have the venerable authority of the illustrious Panini and his great, critic-commentator Kutyuyana, both of whom all Sanskrit scholars agree in placing before the third century B. C. Panini's rules शाण्डिकाद्रभ्यांच्य: (4.3.92) und गर्गा रिस्यो यत्र (4.1.105) refer to certain Ganapathas, according to which the word Sakya is expressly suid to be derived from Saka. It may also be noted that Dr. Bhandarkar* has shown that the first three words at least of these plural-ending Ganapathas were certainly known to Panini. Consequently there is not the slightest doubt that

[·] Early History of the Deccan, sec. 111.

Penni derived Salya from Sala, which litter torms the second word of the Gargadi Ganapathas Aguin, Panim's rule करनी जालुक (4.1.175) her? n Vartila of Katyayana, namely, कम्मीजादिभ्यश्रंतः चत्रत्यं, according to which rule and the Ganapatla ' attached thereto, the word Saka refers to a king of the Sakya race. Moreover, Panini's rule 5 5.124 is also an authority for the contention that the putiele 'y' (Shyad) is sometimes added in the sense of Seartha, that is to say, for the proposition that Saka and Sakya mean one and the same thing. In this way, Gautania might well have been known as a Sala or Salya, both words meaning one of the Sala race. I go even further and say that the modern meaning of Era for the word Sala move from the fact that for long, the Sala en (Gantama's Nievana Kala) was the only era prevalent in India and adopted by prince and people.

Thus Garga's sloka clearly shows that a certain number of years (মন্ত্রিকার্মারি) before the epoch of the Nirvan, the era of Yndhishtira commenced The term বৃহত্তিকারারি was interpreted by me to mean twenty-six times twenty-five or 650 years

My interpretation is to this effect : पट्च द्वेच सर्वे। पहिंदिकं (16) ॥ पदा च द्वे च तयास्समाहारः पश्चीद्व (2:1) ॥ पहाद्विमस्य पश्चीद्व (Pontini प्रशिवाप) पर्दिक्यवृद्धि. A parallel expression in the classic Naishada, namely, नगद्वगद्वाप, is interpreted by the famous commentator Mallinatha in a similar manner, namely, नवानी द्वयं लक्षणयानवकद्वयं. When Navaka Dwayam menns 9×2, there is no reason why 'Shaddwika Panchadwi' should not mean 26 x 25. As I have already shown in my book, this method of expression was adopted because Garga professedly computed by the Supturshi eyele, seconding to which the lapse of every one humbred years was marked by a new Nakshatra, As a Nakshatra was divided only into four padas, if the Rishis laid moved 64 Nak-Intres from the time of Yndhishtim to that of the Nirvana, that would be more appropriately and without the use of fractions expressed as the movement of the Rishis, through 26 padas, and the period denoted thereby would be put down as 26 times 25 or 650 years. And when it is remembered that this interpretation yields for the time of Yudhishtira a date (650 ± 543)

⁶ it is also possible that the sloka as composed by Gapa might have conserved this meaning more explicitly, and that the sloka as known to us might only be a paraphrase thereof by Varabamilhira.

or 1193 B.C.) almost the same as what (1190 B.C.) we infer from the tradition of the first century A.D. reported in the Rajaturangini, it will become apparent that not only was the interpretation given above the one intended by the author of the sloka, but that it continued to be the only interpretation even as late as the last quarter of the first-century A.D.

But the expression "Shaddwikapandudwi" nos long * in India been interpreted very differently. It has been interpreted as meaning 2526 and this interpretation is very natural to one who does not bold the clue to the laborinth of Imlian chronology. I maintain that it was this interpretation that is one of the main causes of the addition of two thousand years in the Vishna Parana, For the author of the verse was Garga who lived in the second century B. C., and the only authority with reference to chronology remembered and noted by Varahamhira (who lived in the sixth century A. D.) is this verse of Garga. During this long and troublous interval of six centuries and more, the only guiding point of chronology seems to have been this tiny verse, which

^{*} See Raja'arangiet, I. 51. Also ploss in Dr. Stein's

was rightly understood certainly till the second century after Christ. When once the expression, "Shaddwika Panchadwi" was understood as signifying a period of 2526 years and the epoch of Yudhishtim was placed 2526 years before the date of the Nirvana of Buddha, which, , it may again be stated, had been from the second century B. C. onwards supposed to have taken place in 544-3 B. C.,* it is easy to see how the beginning of the Kali Yuga came to be placed about 2526 + 544, or 3070 B. C. And whatever might have been the meaning intended by Garga, there can be little doubt that about the fifth century after Christ the sloka was interpreted to mean that Yudhishtira lived about 3070 B. C. I have stready shown that the knowledge of consecutive chronology was at a discount after the extinction of the Andhrus in the third century A. D. It was probably in this or in the next century that the new interpretation of Garga's sloka was given and acted upon. The new interpretation might not have been ventured before the extinction of the Andhras, for the Andhra dynasty · terminated according to the Vishnu 1015 + 850 or only

[.] See The Uhronology of Ancient India, Y. B. p. 78.

1865 years after the Mahahharata War, whereis the new interpretation gives a much larger figure.

The Vislum Purana was thus induced by the chronology of the complet to carry lack the date of Kali to 3176 B C. The Purana would indeed have adopted the exact epoch furnished by the sloka of Garga, i.e., 3070 B. C. But the current year of the era then prevailing, which commenced only in 1176 B. C., was a hard-fact, not to be meddled with by a chronicler how-over holy. It was only to suit the current calculations that our Pauranika adroidly put lack the epoch of the current end by an exact period of twenty centuries. This would not on the one hand interfere with the calculations of the Almanacs, as in them the figures for the thousands were, as we have already seen, left out.

Another reason for this putting back of the

[•] It is curious that if the period for the Kainkills, one of the 'contemporary' dynasties, be left out of account the total period for all the dynasties up to the date of the chronkler (485 a. h.) comes to 3355 year, which therefore take us to 3070 B. C. for the quoch of Pathishitrs, a tesulu which exactly coincides with that arrived at by the new interpretation of Gargas sloks.

date of the hegimning of the Kali era suggests itself on a study of the Puranus. The Vishnu Puranu says: (IV. 24)

संतर्पाणान्तु यो पूर्वा स्रयेते उदये दिनि । तयास्तु मध्ये नक्षत्रं स्रयते यस्तमं निश्चि ॥ 24 ॥ तेन सत्तर्ययो सुकास्तिष्टन्यन्द्यत्ततं कृष्णे । तेतु पारिचिते काल मधास्त्रासन् द्वितासम् ॥ 25 ॥

. "Of the seven Rishis two are first perceived rising in the sky; and the asterism which is observed to be, at night, even with the middle of those two stars is that with which the Rishis are united. Thus united they remain for a hundred yens of men. They were in Magha at the time of Parikshit." This explanation of the movement of the Rishis is adopted also by the Vayu, Matsya and Bhagavata Paranus, all of which also base their chronology on this supposed motion. The meaning is rendered clear by the following process. Draw an imaginary straight line between the stars Pulaha . and Kratu, i.e., between Mirak and Dubbe of the constellation of Ursa Major. Draw another line at right angles to, and just through the exact

middle of the first line in the direction of the other stars of the Ursa Major. This second line will, if produced, meet one of the constellations of

the Lunar Zodiac. This, according to the Paura nika, is the constellation with which the Rishis are for the time being united. After the expiry of one hundred years the line will, according to him, meet the next constellation, and so on until the Rishis will make a round of the Nakshatrus in twenty-seven hundred years. I ask 'my reader to

make the observation for himself, which he can easily do in any starlit night in the mouth of

July. He may also verify his observation with · the aid of a star-globe. He will find that this second line above referred to will pass by Atri and Marichi (Megrez and Benetuasch of the constellation of Ursa Major) and, going just East of Alphecos and Marsic, will meet the Purvashadha Nakshatra (Ets, Epsilon, Gamma and Delta, Sagittarius). Now scientific Astronomy tells us that this line, which we shall now call the line of the Saptarshis, could not have pointed for the last some thousands of years to any other Nakshatra, as these fixed stars are relatively to one another situated in the same directions, in spite of what are called their proper. motions which may be safely left out of account

Thus we may consider that this throwing back of the date of Kali by two thousand years was one of the offshoots of the period of confusion that intervened between the Andhrabbrityns and the ' contemporary ' princes of the Vishnu Puruna. It is a striking coincidence that it was only about this epoch in the history of India, that the period for the Kali Yugu was increased . from 1200 ordinary to 1200 divine year. Smely there never was, in the annals of Hinduston, a period more congenial to the development of notions opposed to correct ideas of nucient Hindu chronology. This was the time of the Hindu revival and of the beginning of the decline and fall of Buddhism in India. Professor Rhys Davids draws + prominent attention to the circumstance that for the four centuries ending with 100 A.D. "no Brahman temple, no Brahman God, no sacrifice or ritualistic . act of any kind is ever, even once, referred to"in the inscriptions, which however record a number of gifts "by kings, princes, merchants, and ordinary householder. And whereas the later inscriptions. favouring the Brahmans and their special sacti-

* Se the Chronology of Ancient Indie, First Ecrees,

p. 135. † Buddhist India, p 157.

fees, are in Sanskrit, these earlier ones, in which they are not mentioned, are in a sort of Pali." This marked distinction in the inscriptions of the two periods-both as to the object of the gifts they record, and as to the language in which they are written-leads Dr. Blandarkar to the following conclusion :- " From about the middle of the third century before Christ to about the end of the third after, Buddhism was the favourite religion of the masses. During that time Bruhmanic literary and religious activity was a good deal impoired. In the fourth century Buddhism defined and there was a Brahmanic revival; and the Bridmans re-edited some of the books on the uligions and the civil law The old Puranas were also recast about this period and a good many new ones written.". In this period of wide national upheaval when Brahmanism again asserted itself and gained supremacy in popular estimation over the dying creed of Lord Buddha, there was u stock-taking, as it were, of old beliefs and sentiments and whatever was unsuited to the requirements of the age was discarded and new creeds and methods of worship slowly introduced. In

Indian Social Reform, p. 2. J. Bonn, R. A. S. for 1901.

CHAPTER II.

THE CHRONOLOGY OF THE SIDDHANTAS.

E have seen that the chronological portion of the Vishuu Purma reaches down to the latter half of the fifth century after Christ, and that it makes the Kuliyaga begin in 3176 B.C. that is, exactly two thousand years before the date given for that epoch till down to the third century after the Christian era. We have no neans of knowing whether this date was ever adopted us a general spech in India; but a disrassion of this topic is not absolutely indispensable to our purpose, which is to discover whether there Is any intermediate link in the history of Indian chronology between the two periods which 1-pectively assigned 1176 B.C. and 3102 B.C. for the beginning of the Kali era. For such a link is enpolied not only in the epoch we found adopted in the Vishna Purana, but also and more satisleterily, in that afforded by the era prevalent in Kadmir and known variously as Saptarshaya, Lankikabda, or Palmri era.

In the Paranas the Saptarshis, the seven stars of the Ursa Major, are said to move through the twenty-seven Nakshatras of the Lunar Zodisc at the rate of one hundred years in each Nakshatra and to have been in the Magha Nakshatra at the time of the Mahabharata War. In fact, we find that in the ancient works of India, wherever chranology is mentioned, the motion of the Saptarshis is also referred to, a circumstance which leads us to suppose that the chronologists of the day computed time by reference to this em. Thus does Garga refer to it when speaking of the dates of Yudhishtim and Sakyamuni, and thus does Varaliamiliim, who devotes a whole chapter in the Bribat Sambita to the motion of this constellation. The Puranas mention it when they fix the date of the Names dypasty and both Rajatarangini and local tradition ascribe the origin of this era to the epoch of King Yudhishtira. According to this method of colculation, the years were counted up to one hundred, and then, instead of numerals being used to denote the hundreds, every period of one hundred years was denoted by a Nakshatra counted from Magha. The old system of counting by Nukshatras reased even before the days of Abn Riban Albironi (973-1048 A.D.), nha sers in his book on India, " Common prople in India date by the years of a contaminate, which they call Samvateaen. If a contounium is finished, they drop it and simply legin to date he a new one This era is called Lokakala, i.e., the era of the nation at large." Kalbana (1148 A.D.) tells us (1, 52) that he wrote his Rejetarangini in the year 1070 of the Kika era corresponding to the ver "24 of the Lankikable," in other words, the year 1221 of the Kashmir Saptarshi em. Mr. V. A. Smith says (J. R. A. S. January, 1903) that "the Praceti inscriptions at Hiljarth in the Kangm District equate the dates Sake 726 and Lankika 80, ic., 3880 equivalent to 804-5 A. D. There inscriptions are the carliest bitherto recognised as living detail in the Laukika em. . . The eridence that has been cited leaves un doubt that the use of the ancient Laukika era was once widely diffused in Northern India." On formal occasions and documents, the date is oven now will to be this written down, " Saptarshi charantmatera Nament 4972, Thathacha Sament 4972, Thathacha Sameat 72," is, "the year 4972 in accordance with the motion of the Saptarshis and thus the year 72." Thus we need not hesitate to recognise in the Kashmir era, the old Santarshikala of the Puranus, which commenced, like the Kaliyuga, with the epoch of Yudhishtim, and to conclude that from the earliest times the practice with reference to it has been to omit in calculation the numerals representing the hundreds and thousands.

This latter peculiarity facilitated the throwing back of the beginning of the Saptarshi em from 1176 B.C., the original enoch of the Kaliyuga to 3076 B.C. the epoch of the modern Kashmir era. The reasons for the change are almost the some as those detailed in the last chapter in connection with the chronology of the Puranas. For both the dates 3176 B. C. and 3078 B.U., adopted respectively by the Vishnu Purana and the Kashmir era for the epoch of Yudhishtira, have been brought about by the same interpretation of Garga's verse, namely, that Yudhishtins reigned 2,526 years before the epoch of Buddha's Nirvana (544 B.C.),* or about 3070 B.C. If, as we found in the last chapter, the Vishnu Phiana adopted 3176 B.C. for the commencement in order to throw it back by an exact period

^{*} This date is not correct but was then commonly accepted.

of 2,000 years, the Saptarshikala began at 3076 B.C. for the reason that this date more nearly accorded with the interpretation mentioned above, the difference of only six yearst having been rendered necessary to bring it in harmony with the unit figure of the current Yndhishtim em. This wrong interpretation of the couplet which served as the memoria technica of current chronology was, for the first time, given about the fourth century after Christ, which we found in the last chapter to have been a period of disturbing foreign invasions and of transition in Hindu society, when there was no paramount power in India of such influence and recognised authority as to keep up the continuity in dynastic thronology. As has been shown in the last chapter, another reason which necessitated the throwing back of the date of Kali by the large period of 1,900 or 2,000 years, was the evident desire to reconcile the theory of the traditionally

[†] This difference of six years between the Kashmir spech and that of the couplet, might have been also accounted for by reason of the era having been called after the name of Yudhishtira, between the dates of whose accession and abdication there had intervened a period of not less than sisten years.

accepted "motion of the Saptarshis" with the observations, which must then have been made, of the Nakshatras, Mula or Purvashada, to which the Saptarshis pointed,

Thus, instead of 1176 B.C., the original date for the beginning of the Kaliyuga which even now prevails in Malabur under the name of Kollam Andu, having probably been introduced there by the colonising Brahmans in the days of the early Kndambas of the second century A.D., the Vishnu Purant and the Kashmiris came to adopt 3176 BC, and 3076 B.C. respectively for the epoch. We shall also find how a great astronomer writing in 499 after Christ came to fix for it 3102 B.C., so as to suit his astronomical requirements.

Eighteen different Siddhantas or works on astronomy are said to have existed once in India, but only a few of them are known now to exist. We have already dealt with the Vedanga Jyotisha and Garga Samhita. Five other Siddhantas are summarised in Pancha Siddhantika, the famous work of Varahamihira written about the middle of the sixth century after Christ, namely, the Brahma or Paithamaha, the Saura, the Vasi-

shtler, the Romska and the Paulisa, Says Dr. Thibant in his Introduction to the Panchasiddhantika: "The Pithamaha Siddhanta known to Varahamihira represents Hindu astronomy as yet unaffected by Greek influence and thus belongs to the same category as the Jyotisha Vedanga, the Garga Samhita, the Surva Proinapti and similar works. From what Varahamilian says about its contents, we might almost identify it with the Jyotisha Vedanga," the yuga on which the calculations of both are based being the quinquennial period, the beginning of which is marked by a conjunction of the Sun and the Moon at the first point of the Nakshatra Dhanishtha, This Pithamaha Siddhanta is different from another of the same name forming part of the Vishnu Dharmottara · Purana, and also from the Sphuta Brahma Siddhanta by Brahmagupta, and another Brahma Siddhanta known ordinarily as Sakalya Siddbanta. The Romaka is, as its name implies, chiefly based on the astronomical learning of the West, and as it does not contain, as stated by Brahmagupta in his Sphuta Siddhanta, the orthodox yugas, manwantaras and kalpas, it is outside the pale of orthodox smritis. The year of the Romaka is

down to seconds the tropical year of Hipparchus and Ptolemy The Paulisa Siddhanta is stated by Alberuni to have been the work of Paulese, the Greek, and it does not have its calculations on any cyclic period Its processes, as described in the Pancha Siddhantika, are of a rough nature and less accurate than those in the Romaku and Surya Siddhantas. Dr. Thibaut thinks it highly probable that the Paulisa and Romaka Siddhants, were the earliest Sanskrit works in which the new knowledge imported from the West was embodied. "Taken together," says Dr. Thibaut in the Introduction, "the five Siddhantas appear to enable us to form a fairly accumite notion of the transition of old Indian astronomy into its modern scientific form. The Paitamaha is the representative of the pre-scientific stage of astronomical knowledge; the other sources for which are the Jyotisha Vedanga, the Garga Samhita, the astronomical books of the Jainas and a number of quotations from various old anthors, as for instance, Parasara. During that period, nothing of importance seems to have been elaborated but the doctrine of the quin-, quennial luni-solar yuga. The authors of all the works mentioned share the same e-sential characteristics, in so far as displaying a very imperfect

knowledge of the mean motions of the Sun and Moon (and, some of them, of the planets also), and connected therewith of the length of the years and months, being altogether unacquainted with true motion as distinguished from the mean, teaching an equal daily increase or decrease of the length of the day; dividing the sphere into twenty seven or twenty-eight Nakshatias. . They all moreover, with the exception of the Jaina books, agree in fixing the winter sol-tice at the beginning of the Nakshatra Dhanishtha."" The Vasishtha Siddhanta marks the transition from the old purely indigenous systems to those works which were constructed altogether on the basis of Greek science." But of the five Siddhantas, says Varalue. milim (verse 4), " the Siddianta made by Paulisa is accurate; near to it stands the Siddhanta proclaimed by Romaka; more accurate is the Saura; the two remaining ones are far from the truth." In the Surya Siddhanta modern Hindu astronomy has assumed the type which it has still preserved. It fully "adopts the traditional kalpa and yaga system to which it mlapts the length of the revolutions of Sun, Moon and the planets to obtain integral numbers of them all during the kalpa or yaga."

We next come to Aryablanta, "the oldest of scientific modern astronomiers," who composed in 499 A. D. the Aryabhatiyam. Brahmagupta wrote his Brahma Sphuta Siddhanta in 628 A. D.

and Blaskara: Charya completed his Siddhanta Sirom mi in 1150 A. D. We next find a host of other astronomical treatises, chiefly what are called Karanagranthas. The Surya Siddhanta that we are now acquainted with, agrees in its fundamental features with the Surya Siddhanta known to Aryabhata and Varahamihim and sammanised in the Pancha Siddhantika, Dr. Kern states (Brihat Samhita, p. 46) that it will be difficult to avoid the conclusion that the Surya Siddhants, in its present form, is a lineal and legitimate descendant of that known to Varahamilira. "At the same time," says Dr. Thibaut, "we cannot fail to notice that in certain points the teaching of the old Surya Siddhanta must have differed from the corresponding doctrines of its modern representative," for example, in regard

to the mean values of the diameters of the San

blata and the modern Surya Siddhanta is given below for convenience of reference.

| Names of Planets, etc. | Number of revolutions in a Mahayuga, according to Aryabhata, Dasagitika, (1 and 2). | in a Maha |
|---|---|--|
| Sun, Mercury, Venus and Sighrochins of Mary, Saturn and Jupiter Diction than of Mercury Sighrochina of Venus Mars Hapiter Saturn Sighrochina of Venus Mars Hapiter Saturn Stone State of Saturn State of Saturn State of Saturn Saturn State of Saturn | 4,320,000 17,037,020 7,022,386 2,2206,824 364,224 146,364 57,753,330 232,226 488,219 1,582,237,500 | 4,320,000 17,937,060 7,022,376 2,296,832 364,220 146,56 57,753,336 202,238 488,231 |

Aryabhata was the first in India to enunciate the theory that the earth moved on its axis. (Basajátika, 1; Aryashtasata, III. 9). But, in spite of this discovery, he still retained the old order of planets, also adopted in the Surya Siddhanta. (Aryashtasata, I. 1; II. 13-16). Says he in the Aryashtasata (II, 15): "Below the starry region are Saturn, Jupiter, Mars, the Sun, Venns

Mercury and then the Moon, one below the other. Below these all, and in the midst of heavens, is the earth forming a spike, as it were, to the others." He also adopts the old theory that the Sun revolves round the earth. (Dusqitika 1 & 2; Aryashtasata, II, 5 & 13-16; III. 2). Mr. S. Sthauu Pillai contends (Indian Review, July, 1905) that Aryabhata discarded the geocentric theory and formulated the heliocentric system and bases his arguments on the second and sixth verses of the Golapada, wither of which, it is submitted, can be interpreted in any reasonable manner to support his contentions.

The history of Hindu Astronomy is thus summarised by Ganesa in his commentary on the Sarya Shidhanta:—"The planets were right in their computed places in the time of limituacharya, Vasishtha, Kanyaga and others, by the sules they give. But by length of time they differed, after which at the youth of the Krita age, the San revealed to Maya a computation of their true places. The inless then, reveived answered during the Treta and Dwapara yugas, as also did other inles framed by the Munic during those periods. In the beginning of the Kubiyaga, Pansam's work answered; but Aryahhata, hunny

years after, having examined the heavens, found some deviation and introduced a correction of Bija. After him, when further deviations were observed, Durgasinha, Mihira and others made corrections. After them, the son of Jishun, Brahmagupta, made certain corrections. After then, Kesava cettled the places of the planets : and sixty years after Kesava, his son Ganesa usde corrections." (Asiatic Researches, II. 243). Of course, the first part of the quotation is absolutely useless for historical purposes; but the latter half shows the constant desire of Hindu astronomers to verify and correct older astronomical theories by means of actual observations. It may also be observed that the Surya Siddhunta, as known to us, far from having been revealed in the Kritayuga, is of a later date than Aryabhata and Varahamilian, the former of whom wrote his famous work in 499 A.D. and the latter died, as stated by Amaraja, in 587 A.D. (Dr. Thibaut's Pancha Siddhantika, p. xxx).

Dr. Kern thinks that it would be extremely rash to reduce from the scanty details we have concerning the five standard works of Hindu Astronomy why inference as to the probable-

period of their first composition. As an hypothesis, he roughly dates the beginning of the Siddhunta period at 230 A.D., about half way between Garga and Varahamihira (Bribat Samhita, p. 50). On the other hand, in the ominion of Dr. Thibunt, the

Romaka and Paulisa Siddhantas must have been composed not leter than about 400 Å.D. (Dr. Thihant's Paucha Siddhantika, p. 1x).

The writings of Aryabhata and the leter astro-

The writings of Aryabhata and the later astronomers disclose the theory that the Sun, Moon and the planets are seen together at the beginning of the Aswini Nakshatra in the beginning of every kalpa. This idea is thus explained by Bhaskaracherya in the Siddhanta Siromani;—"Hen at the

the Aswini Nakshatra in the beginning of every kalpa. This idea is thus explained by Bhaskaracherya in the Siddhanta Siromani:—" Here at the centre of the earth one end of a string is supposed to be tied and the other end of it is tied to the star-circle (in the plane of the celiptic) at the commencement of the constellation Assini. In the beginning of creation Brahms placed the Moon and the other places like heeds attached period of their first composition. As an hypothesis, he roughly dates the beginning_of the Siddhanta period at 250 A.D., about half var between Garga and Varahamilhira (Brihat Samhita, p. 50). On the other hand, in the opinion of Dr. Thibuut, the Ramaka and Paulisa Siddhantas must have been composed not later than about 400 Å.D. (Dr. Thibaut's Paucha Siddhantika, p. lx).

The writings of Arrablata and the later astronomers disclose the theory that the Sun, Moon and the planets are seen together at the beginning of the Aswini Nakshatra in the beginning of every kalpa. This idea is thus explained by Bhaskaracharya in the Siddhanta Siromani :—" Here at the centre of the earth one end of a string is supposed to be tied and the other end of it is tied to the star-circle (in the plane of the ecliptic) at the commencement of the constellation Assimi. In the beginning of creation Brahma placed the Moon and the other planets like heads uttached to that string." Again: "On Sunday at sumise in the town of Lanka (a conventional place on the equator corresponding to the longitude of Ujjain ie., 00) the commencement of the spring, the first day of the bright fortnight, the day, the month,

the year, the yuga, etc., took place simultaneously," . Kamalakara Bhatta says on the authority of the Vishnu Dharmottara Purana in his Siddlanta Tatwa Viveka :- "When, at midnight at Lanka, the planets, their apogees and noder, all set in motion, happen to be at the same time in conjunction at the beginning of fries in the plane of the ecliptic, then they my the time known as srighti, creation, occurs. The good declare that the time by which the same conjunction takes place again is a Srishti Kalpa." Mr. Rangacharya's Yugas, pp. 11-12). Arjabhata (Arjashtasata, II. 11): "The yuga, year, month, day, all began together at the beginning of the white fortnight of the Chitra month," and he says also that the (Maha) yaga commenced on Wednesday when the Sun was rising in the sign Aries at Lanks (Dasagitika 2). The reasons underlying this astronomical theory of planets beginning their revolutions together at the first point of Assini in the beginning of creation are not far to seek. In the first place it is usual for astronomers in India to teach the motions and the positions of the planets at a given time by means of short verses or tules giving the number of revolutions of each planet for a big cycle of years.

to readily make any astronomical calculations. Thus we find in the Romaka Siddhanta " the yuga of the San and the Moon," which is a cycle of 2,850 year, consisting of 19×150 solar, and 235 × 150 synodical lunar months. This yage is thus evidently based on the period named after the Athenian Meton (430 B.C.), whose 150th multiple is employed by our author to enable him to form a cycle comprising integral numbers of solar years, lunar months and natural days. Similarly there was widely prevalent in Southern India a cycle of 90 years known as the Grahaparivrithi, referred to in Vararuchi's Vakyakarana. This cycle was analysed by the Portugue e Missionary Peschi, while resident for forty years in Mudura and was found to be "constructed of the sum of the products in days of 15 revolutions of Mars, 22 of Merciny, 11 of Jupiter, 5 of Venus, 29 of Saturn,

and one of the Sun. The epoch of this cycle occurs on the expiration of the 2078th year of Kaliyuga, in 24 B. C." (Prinsep's Indian Antiquities and ' Useful Tables, by E. Thomas, vol. 11, p. 158). In the Vedic times the quinquennial cycle served a 'similar purpose and consisted of sixty solar months, ... sixty; two synodical months and sixty-seven sidereal

revolutions of the Moon. Equally useful was the famous sixty-year cycle which is named after the planet Jupiter, for it crudely represents the period of five of his complete revolutions and probably was considered to be the period taken by the Sun, Moon and Jupiter (and possibly also Siturn, Mars, and the inferior planets) to come to nearly the same position in the heavens. Coming to more modern'times, we find a certain number of terolutions is given for each planet for a Mahayuga in the Dasagitika of Arvabhata and in the Surya Siddhanta, and for a Kaipa in the Siddhanta Siromani. The bigger the cycle, the more corsect would be the figures for complete revolutions of the planets, and when the durations of the yugas, were increased 360 fold about the · third century after Christ (see Chronology of Anscient India, p. 137), they were utilised by astronomers to convey a knowledge of astronomical elements with greater accuracy.

The transition from the stage of assigning certain complete revolutions for each planet in every Mahayuga or Kalpa to the stage of supposing that these planets began their revolutions together at the beginning of every such soon is easy to understand. What was merely an astro-

nomical formula might easily have been considered an historical occurrence, and the planets supposed to have begun their motions at the Great Creation and collapsed at the Universal Deluge, in both cases simultaneously. It was by no means an unnatural supposition that at the creation all the planets should be considered to have been launched forth from one point in the heavens and left to perform their revolutions in harmony and order. The idea of a special creation was as much prevalent in India as elsewhere, according to which notion the Sun, Moon and the Stars all rose up in the sky on a sudden at the command of God (cf. Genesia I. 16-18), and con-equently began their courses simultaneously. As mythology posited a series of creations and corresponding dissolutions, the inference was plain that the planets started on their courses together at the creations and came back together at the dissolutions and this process was supposed to be repeated without intermission in the eternity of Time. Says the venerable Rig Veda (X. 190, 3. Quoted also in the Yagniki ('panishad): "Brahma, the Crestor, established the Sun and the Moon, the Earth and the Heavens as before." The words as before, aurya, show the

- old belief in a number of such previous creations. The Malmbharata tells us that "Creation originates thus repeatedly at the beginning of every cycle, Creation and Destruction succeed each other like sunrise and sunset in this world. Then, Time gifted with great energy, forcibly brings back the Sun after his disappearance." (Santiparva, 340.73-4.) We are also told that the idea of a great cosmical fear at the close of which the heavenly bodies return to their original stations occurs in Plato and Civero (Lewis's Historical Survey of Ancient Astronomy, p. 213 et seq.) and is repeated by many subsequent authors, and Plato and Cicero believed in the renewal of the world after each won. Moreover, so far' as the Sun, Moon and Jupiter were concerned, there was already the traditional belief current in India, quoted as such in the Vishmu Purana (IV.24.20) and referred to also in the Mahabharata (Vanaparva, 190, 90-1) and the Vayu Purana (II, 37,407), to the effect that "when the Sun and Moon and Jupiter are together in Pushya Nakshatra, then the Kritayuga (the first in the next Mahayuga) commences," Probably also the astrological supposition infinenced the popular mind to some extent, according to which the presence

shtha and other Sastras, this deduction is required to be made from the kalpa because at the end of that term the planetary motion commenced. The son of Jishmi who understood the four Vedus and Bhaskaracharya considered these motions as commencing with the kalpa, *** Brahmagupta's ruleare consistent with the practice of the Pandits, his predecessors; and he formed them from the Purson Vishnu Dharmottara, wherein is contained the Brahma Siddhanta; and the periods given by Aryabhata are derived from Parasara Siddhanta. The precepts of the Munis are, therefore, the authorities of Brahmagnpta, Aryabhata and Bluskaracharya, whose rules cannot be deceitful.*** If a planet's place, computed both by the Surya Siddlunta and Parasara Siddhanta, should be found to differ, which rule must be received as right? I answer that which agrees with its place by observation and the Munis give the same direction " (See Rangacharya's Yugas, p. 16). In this connection, it may be noticed that while these different authorities "vary considerably in the number of revolutions which they prescribe during the mon, jet manage all of them to leave the same odd temainder of a teralution which determine the present position. Thus, for

example, the revolutions of - Jupiter's, Apsides since the beginning of the present son have been, according to four different treatises, 407, 309, 378, and 448 respectively; but the remaining fragment varies in them all only between the limits 1710 and 17270; and it gives a position swerving less than 3º from the true one." (Whitney's Oriental and Linguistic Studies, Second Series, p. 367). The differences pointed out above by the commentator of the Surya Siddhanta only goes to show that when each astronomer began to apply his intest corrected elements to the observed positions of the planets, each arrived at a different date for the grand conjunction of the plunets at the beginning of a kalpa. It also shows that the original system of the yugas and kalpas were not based on astronomical theories. It may also be added that it was only about the third century of the Christian era that the periods for the Mahayuga and Kalpa were increased 360 times for reasons stated in the Chronology of Ancient India, 1st series, pp. 136-7, and it is, therefore, evident that these differences and the astronomical theory underlying them could only have been brought about after the third century.

Nor did any astronomical theory originally underlie the current belief that we are now passing through the Kali age of the 28th Mahaynga of the Vaivasunta Manmantara, the 7th of the 14 Manwantaras of the present Sweta Varalia Kalpa, the first of the kalpas in the latter half of the age of the First Brahma, son of Vislam the Eternal. (Surya Siddhanta, I. 20-21; Vishna Parana, I. iii. 28). This idea is constantly brought to mind by the Sankalpa, the words of determination, which preface every religious act done by the Hindus. The name of the present kalpa and its place in the lifetime of Bruhma are based on a Hindu mythology. The Varaba, or Boar, incarnation is referred to even in Vedic works, for example, in Taittiriya Samhitla (VII. 1.5.1), Taittiriya Brahmana (f. 1. 3. 5), and Sitapatha Brahmana (XIV. I. 2, 11). Brahma is supposed naturally to be in the middle of his life. As regards the Manuantara periods, their beginnings, even according to the Siddhautas, have no astronomical significance. Moreover, while according to the Siddhantas there are said to exist 14 Manus in a Kaipa, each fer a period of 71 Mahayugas, we find only seven Manus enumerated in Manusmiti (I. 36 and 61-63), a work of between 200 B. C. and

200 A. D. according to Buhler, though curiously enough the same period is allotted by it for each Manwantara (I. 72 and 79), The idea, according to the Manusmriti, seems to be that the seven Manus finish their Manwantara cycles each of the period of 71 Malayngas twice over in a Kalpa, Says Dr. E. W. Hopkius in an article on Epic Chronology in the 24th volume of the American Oriental Society's Journal, p. 46; " The Manwantarn theory may well be implied here, Mahabharata XII. 225-31, for though foreign to the early epic the Manwantains not only in their earlier form but even in their later fourteen-Manu form; are known to the pseudo epic, *** The first group of Manus is implied " in Santiparva, chapters 284, 337, 343, 349 and 350. "Finally a Manu of the second group (of seven) appears in XIII. 18. 43." Thus the evidence of these anthorities coupled with the circumstance that the names of the first five of the future seven Manus (Yishim Purana III. 1) and suspiciously in the same name of Savarni and that those of the last two are peculiar and all bear the impress of later addition, leads us to the conclusion that the earlier number of Manus was only seven and that the astronomical theory which implies the existence

of fourteen Manus is only of later origin. Much less could the Manwantaras be considered to power any astronomical meaning at a time when, as is recorded by the Blugavad-Gita (X. 6) whose date is fixed by Telang to be anterior to the 3rd century B. C , only four Manus were considered to have existed. Nor is the statement about the present being the 28th Mahryuga based on any astronomical calculation. In the Makabharata (XII. 349), Mauwantaras are called Kalpas and only seven creations and cycles are mentioned, the fourth of which is connected with Swarochisha Manu and the seventh with Valsvaswatha Manu, and only one series of the four yugas of Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali is mentioned with reference to each creation. Thus here it is stated, "In the Krita age (of the fourth creation) the religion of Sattwa existed. * * Brahman instructed Swarochisha Manu in it. * * When upon the expiration of the Krita age, the Treta came, that religion once more disappeared from the world." "(In the fifth creation) Prajapati obtained this religion from Sanatkuman in the Krita nge," "In the seventh birth of Binhman, Narayana preached it to Binhman * * * In the beginning of the Tretayuga, Vivasuat give it to

Mann." Thus it is clearly intended that there have been hitherto only seven sets of the four yugas of Krita, Treta, Dwapara and Kali, or 28 yugas in all. It is, therefore, probable that the idea of 28. elapsed Mahayugas has originated in the belief of the present Kaliyuga being the 28th yuga since the beginning of the first creation of Swayambhuva Manu. Moreover, the idea of 28 elapsed yugas seems to have been an old notion reaching up to the times of the Mahabharata War, due to the chronology of the Vedic period as will be explained in a later chapter, and the numbercould never have been the result of calculations rendered necessary by the astronomical runningrace theory. As a matter of fact, neither the Yedas nor the Mahabharata, neither the Manusmriti nor the comparatively late Vishau Parana, refers to the explanations of later astronomers. regarding the yoga systems.

To resume the thread of the main subject of our enquiry, the different explanations given by Arynblata, and Surya Siddlanata, which are-referred to above, are both intended to serve-one common purpose, namely, to enable calculations to be made from the beginning of the Kaliyuga. As the number of revolutions of

each of the planets according to Avyabhata is divisible by four, the planets assume the same position at the beginning of every one of the four equal yugapidas, and consequently at the leginning of every Mahayaga and Kalpa. But as according to the Surya Siddlents, the smaller yugas are respectively foth, fath, 2th and 1th the duration of a Mahayuga and as the number of revolutions therefor is not divisible by 10, but by 4 only, it is easy to understand why it states (I, 57) that "at the end of this Kritayuga the mean places of all the planets except their nodes and apogees coincide with one another in the first point of the stellar Aries. The planets are considered by it to have been together only at the beginning of the Treta and Kali yugas, but not at the beginning of the Mahayuga, and a period of 47, 400 divine years or 3: 95 Mahayugas had to be suppowed to have elapsed after the beginning of the . Kalpa for the planets to begin their race. Nothing is more plansible than to suppose that some time is necessary for the ardness task of creation at the beginning of every won. As according to it 3. 95 Mabringas had elapsed after the commencement of the Kalpa before the

their-

implies that 4567 Mahayugas intervened between the beginning of the Kalpa and the current Kaliyuga, a period of 452:75 Mahayugas represent the time elapsed before the beginning of the Kaliyuga since the grand conjunction of the heavenly bodies, which is the exact period that one would arrive at independently by calculations based on the elements of the Surva Siddhanta.

Thus both there authorities base

calculations on the supposition that a' minor

conjunction of these heavenly bodies took place at the beginning of the Kaliyuga. So far as the Sury's Siddhanta is concerned, it does not explicitly specify the exact epoch, for the obvious reason that it supposes itself to have been vouchsafed to mankind at the end of the Kritavuga. But the calculations of all astronomers and almanacmaker, who follow the Surya Siddhanta are based . on the supposition that the epoch of the Kaliyuga, according to this Siddhants, is 3102 B. C. Says Mr. Sewell in The Indian Calendar (p. 6): " According to the Surya Siddhanta Kali began on midnight of Thursday, 17-18th February, 3102 B. C. Old style." We shall also find that the . same data is adopted by the earlier authority, Aryabhata, the father of modern Hindu Astronomy.

He tells us in the Aryashtasata (II. 10) that he composed that work at Kusumapura "in his twenty-third year, when sixty sixtyyear periods and three Yugapadas had elapsed," that is to say, he makes his 24th year correspond to the 3601st year of the Kaliyoga. This epoch corresponds, as Lallacharya implies in his Dhioriddhida Tantra and Paramadiswara states in his commentary on the Aryashtasata, to the year 421 of the Saka (Salivabana) em (499 A. D.). Thus it is clear that the epoch of the Kaliyuga, according to Aryabhata, is 3102 B. C. and he tells us clearly that it began on a Thursday (Dasagitika 3). It has been calculated that the two epochs, according to Aryabhata, are the midnight of Thursday—Friday, 17—18th February, 3102 B. C. and Friday, March 19th, 499 - A. D.

Now we have to see whether it was Aryabhata or any other before him that first introduced the year \$102 B C. as the epoch of the Kaliyuga. We have seen that till about the third century A. D. 1176 B. C. was assigned for that epoch and that in the fourth and fifth centuries of the Christ-

ian era the Kaliyuga was supposed to have begun by some in 3076 B. C., and by the Vishnu Purana in 3176 B. C. As the date of at least the chronological portion of the latter is, as has been ascertained in the last clupter, 485 A. D. and we also know that none of the extronomical treatises of an earlier date than 'Arvablanta refer to 3102 R. C. as the erach of the Kaliyuga, therefore, it is very probable that Aryabhata who was born in 476 B. C. was the first to introduce the epoch. This is rendered almost certain by the fact that the epoch of Kali is exactly 3,600 years before the date of his work and observations, which lead to 3102 B. C. if his elements are applied thereto. Born in a period when India was passing through a stage of transitional crisis, carried by the current of the literary and scientific spirit of the age and gifted with rare attainments alike in composition as in the field of higher Mathematics and Astronomy, he was led to harmonize tradition with science and to explain the old in the light of the new. In the first place he was aware that 3076 or 3176 B. C. was the date generally assigned for the beginning of the Kali-Juga. He adopted a date midway between these two, which had the additional merit of being the epoch when according to the elements propounded by him and widely accepted at the time. a minor conjunction of the heavenly bodies could be said to have taken place. Says Bentley in his Historical view of Hinda Astronomy (p. 85): "The point of time thus fixed on was found by computation made backwards, which showed that the planets were then approaching to's mean conjunction in the beginning of the sidereal sphere commening with the lunar asterism Aswini ; on which account it was made choice of as the point to proceed from, *** For whatever errors there might be in such an assumption, the same being divided among the years clapsed when the system was framed, would appear so small as not to be worth notice." It may be stated again that every yuga is supposed by astrology to be preceded by a cataelysm, small or great according to the nature and importance of the particular yugs, and this is supposed to be indicated by the presence of a number of planets together in the sky. As the Kaliynga was heralded by the disastrons Malribharata War, the popular mind would have funcied the commencement to have been indicated by one of these planetary conjunctions; and astrologers could have, if required, supported themselves with authority from the epic itself, wherein it is stated that at the commencement of the war "the seven great planets rose up together in the sky with dazzling brilliance." (Bhislma Porta, 17.2).

It is not to be supposed that by fixing the epoch at 3102 B.C. Aryablata intended to. change the current era beginning either in 3176 or 3076 B. C.; he merely maintained that if the planets were together at the first point of Aries at the beginning of the current Yugapada, that could have been possible only at the epoch he fixed for the beginning of Kaliyuga. The current era, beginning at 3076 or 3176 B.C., might be used popularly for purposes of ordinary use, but his epoch was intended as a valuable and easy means to find out by calculation alone the posttions of the planets for any given point of time. His disciple Lallacharya makes use of it for this very purpose and the modern Surva Siddhanta tacitly, as observed before, adopts it with the same object in, view. The epoch recognised in such standard authorities as the Aryasbtavata, Dhivriddida Tantra and the Surya Siddhanta, must have been adopted by later astronomers at

least for the sake of its simplifying the calculations, If Varahamihira, the Tycho Brahe of Indian Astronomy, does not refer to this epoch, it is because he was almost a contemporary rival of the Indian Copernicus Aryabhata, whom he chargewith inconsistency (Pancha Siddhantika, xv. 20), and whose theory of the daily rotation of the earth on its axis be holds up to ridicule (xiii. 5-7). On the other hand, the circumstance that he ignores altogether in his three learned and exhaustive treatises the epoch of 3102 B. C., while he, at the same time, refers to the epoch of Yndhishtira (Bribat Samhita, X111, 3) and admits that "the intercalary months, omitted lunar days, the days of the planets, the lunar and Savana days, Aries, the Sun, the Moon, the half years, the seasons, the motions of the stars, the nights, all of these begin together at the beginning of the yuga (Panch, XV, 24), "shows that the epoch of 3102 B. C. was not handed down by tradition, but was started by Aryabhata for whole heterodox theories he seems to have evinced a positive dislike. But the almanac-makers must have been immensely profited by it, as they still are, in the preparation of their annual calendars and might have greatly popularised so convenient an epoch.

Gradually as the Yudhishtira era was supplanted in India by other eras instituted or 'authorized by' the great ruling dynasties to mark the splendour of their Imperial sway, namely, Sakya, Harsha, Vikrama, Salivahana, Gupta, Vallabhi, Chedi and others, it was forgotten by the populace and remembered only by astronomers and astrologers, who, however, preferred the epoch of 3102 B.C. to the epochs 3076 or 3176 B. C. for reasons specified above. This explanation is rendered all . the more probable by the stilking circumstance that in areas to which none of these State eras penetrated, the old Yudhishtira era still continues to exist, the epoch of 3076 B. C. in Kushmir and that of 1176 B. C. in Malabar. In other words, as long as and wherever the old Yudhislitira era was still in common use, no violence could be offered to its continuity and Aryabhata's epoch could not make any headway against it. But once it was replaced by any subsequent State era, the Yudhishtira era became one of secondary importance and if any interference is made with it for astronomical purposes, that cannot affect any current reckonings. This explains how Aryabhata's epoch came to be adopted throughout the length and breadth of India, except in the isolated

provinces of Kashmir and Malabar, as the standard epoch of the Kaliyuga. That the date 3102 B.C. was only an invented

date and not an historical epoch is clear from the foregoing reasons. It was brought into existence only in 499 A.D. by Aryabhata, It may also be remarked that though according to his elements the planets could be calculated to have been at the first point of Aries at the epoch suggested by him, the more correct astronomical

knowledge of the present day negatives such a possibility. For on the 18th of February 3102 BC., only Jupiter and Mercury were in the same degree of the ecliptic; Mars and Saturn were respectively 80 and 170 distant from them. Venus, the most brilliant of the planets, was as far away as 62° from Saturn. Nor were the Sun and the Moon in the first point of the moveable

Zodiac at the epoch; for the former was 3°, 8', 4" distant and the latter probably 1º-35'. (See Zodiac must have advanced about 50° in 3,600 years, and consequently at the epoch of the Kaliyuga must have been about 50° behind the equinox or near the star Robini, which is said in the Surva Siddhanta to be about 490-30' distant from the first point of Aswini. On the other hand, Aryabhata places the equinox at the first point of Aswini itself. Recognising this difficulty, the Surya Siddhanta starts a theory according to which the vernal equinox is supposed to move on either side of the first point of Aswini to a distance of 27° at the rate of 54" a year, starting at the first point of Aswini in 3102 B.C., advancing to the Krittika Nakshatra in 1302 B.C., and coming back to the first point of Aswini in 499 A.D. Such a libration theory is, of course, a scientific impossibility, and as a matter of fact, the vernal equinox occurred at the first point of Aswini only in 499 A.D., the first time for the last nearly 26,000 years. It may be also remarked that the first of the twelve signs of the Zodiac, namely, Ram or Aries, Mesha or Aja, plays an important part in the running-race theory and the . astronomical epoch, for the heavenly bodies start their races only from the first point of Aries

provinces of Kashmir and Malabar, as the standard epoch-of the Kaliyuga.

That the date 3102 B.C. was only an invented date and not an historical epoch is clear from the foregoing reasons. It was brought into existence only in 499 A.D. by Aryabhata. It may also be remarked that though according to his elements the planets could be calculated to have been at the first point of Aries at the epoch suggested by him, the more correct astronomical knowledge of the present day negatives such a possibility. For on the 18th of February 3102 B.C., only Jupiter and Mercury were in the same degree of the ecliptic; Mars and Saturn were respectively 8° and 17° distant from them. Venus, the most brilliant of the planets, was as far away as 62° from Saturn. Nor were the Sun and the Moon in the first point of the moveable Zodiac at the epoch; for the former was 30, 8', 4" distant and the latter probably 1°-35'. (See Dr. T. Smith's paper on The Astronomy of the Hindus in the Calentta . Review, vol. 1, pp. 271 279). Moreover, the vernal equinox in 499 A. D. is given as coinciding with the first point of the moveable Zodiac; at the rate of about 50" of annual precession the first point of the move-sble Zodiac must have advanced about 50° in 3.600 years, and consequently at the epoch of the Kaliyuga must have been about 50° behind the equinox or near the star Robini, which is said in the Surya Siddhanta to be about 49°-30' distant from the first point of Aswini. On the other hand, Aryabhata places the equinox at the first point of Aswini itself. Recognising this difficulty, the Surya Siddhanta starts a theory according to which the vernal equinox is supposed to move on either side of the first point of Aswini to a distance of 27° at the rate of 54" a year, starting at the first point of Aswini in 3102 B.C., advancing to the Krittika Nakshatrn in 1302 B C., and coming back to the first point of Aswini in '499 A.D. Such a libration theory is, of course, a scientific impossibility, and as a matter of fact, the vernal equinox occurred at the first point of Aswini only in 499 A.D., the first time for the last nearly 26,000 years. It may be also remarked that the first of the twelve signs of the Zodiac, namely, Ram or Aries, Mesha or Aja, plays ian important part in the running-race theory and the . astronomical epoch, for the heavenly bodies start their races only from the first point of Aries

and all the yagas commence with these bodies at the same celestial position. If it be remembered that the twelve signs of the Zediac, like the seven day, of the week, are not referred to in our earliest works, but were imported Into India before the Christian era long after the Malmbharata War from the countries of the West, and that Aries became the first sign only some time before the beginning of the Christian era, it becomes appearant that both the theory and the epoch are the products of the fertile imagination of later Indian Astronomers and not banded down by tradition from ancient times.

Indian Astronomers and not banded down by tradition from ancient times.

"The end of Revati and the beginning of Assini that Hindu astronomers, with the star Zeta piecium which they identify with the Yogatars of Revati, which, however, others asset to have of Revati, which, however, others asset to have disappeared altogether; but according to the Surpa Siddianta, the longitude of the Revati Yogatars is not zero but 359°5.0". (Whitney's Oriental and Linguistic Studies, second series, p. 351). Professor Whitney thinks it intrinsically improbable that the small star Zeta piecium should ever have marked any important point in the sphere before the time when it actually happened to coincide with.

the yeanal, equinox, that is about 572 A.D. Therefore, the first boint of Aswini that is referred to in the Romaka and Paulisa Siddhantas is used "in the same sense as the Greeks used the term the first point of Aries, namely to denote not its place in the sphere, but simply the place of the vernal equinox" in the constellation of Aswini. (Thibant's Pancha Siddhantika, p. lix). Professor Whitney points out that "if we compare the longitudes assigned to the Yogatams of all the twentyseven Nak-hatras with their actual longitudes in 360 A.D., a certain uniformity of error observable in the statements of the Surya Siddhanta leads us to suspect that the measurements of position on which the list was established were made from the equinox situated about 10 to the cast of that of A.D. 560 and hence at a time preceding the latter date by about seventy years." (Thibaut's Pancha Siddhantika, Iviii). Whatever doubt there may be in the minds of modern Hindu almanacmakers of India as to the exact position of the first point of Aswini, there can be no doubt' that in the opinion of both Aryabhata and the Surya Siddhanta, it denoted that point in the heavens which coincided with the vernal equinox in the year 3,600 of the Kali era or 499 A.D.

Thus arose the epoch 3102 B. C., according to which the present year (13th April 1906 to 13th April 1907) is reckoned as 5008 of Kali current. The earliest inscription reckoning by this era is at Aihole wherein it is stated: " (Now) when thirty (and) three thousand and five years besides. joined with seven hundred years have passed since the Bharata War and when fifty (and) six and five hundred years of the Saka king also have gone by in the Kali age, this stone mansion of Jinendra has been built by Ravikirti," a dependant of Satvasrava Pulakesin II of the Western Chalukyan dynasty. (Epigraphia Indica, vol. VI. January, 1900). The year 3735 Kali correctly corresponds to 556 of the Salivahana era end 633 A.D. For the evident reason that this Kali epoch was first brought into existence only in 499 A.D., it is impossible to find an inscription of an culier date than 499 A.D., dated in this era.

CHAPTER 111.

THE DATE OF BUDDUA.

N the history of the world, there is no chapter of human thought and activity of greater effect on modern civiliration than that relatirg to the life and work of Gautama Buddha, He washorn at an age when the world was in great need of eirnest Teachers to divert its attention from traditional grooves of thought and religious beliefs to new spheres of ideas and moral convictions. The philosophy of the Uranishads and the Sankhya' doctrines of Kapila had already made the way clear for him; but the authority, example and influence of a born spiritual selfless leader of men was required to carry on the reformation against the conservative tenets of ritual-loving Brahman orthodoxy. Indeed, but for his propaganda, the Vedanta school in India rould not have attained the pre-eminence if subsequently acquired, and possibly the Western world might have been denied the privilege of the consoling gospels of the Sage of Galilee. The advent of Jesus in the West and of Sankara in the East was in a large measure rendered possible by the large-hearted sympathy and the sublime teachings of the highest and the most beneficent personality in the history of thought. He it was that zealously preached the benign counsel of Love and Service, a doctrine till then but imperfectly understood, but which, carried by a band of earnest missionaries to the extreme confines of the then known world, was destined, in the march-of events, to have a far-reaching influence over the hide bound dogmas of bygone civilisations. The torch of modern enlightenment was lit up from the lamp of Dharma, which, having been set alight nearly twenty-four centuries and a half ago, still illumines the lowly hearts of over 500 millions in Northern and Eastern Asia. More than all, the missionary aspect of religion, which till then might be said to have been tribal and exclusive, the earnest endeavour to carry to all, even to those outside the pale of one's tribe, caste or persuasion, tidings of peace and goodwill among men, was first inculcated to the world by Gautama, when he said sending out his disciples, "Let not two of you'go the same way. Preach, O Bhikkus, the doctrine which is glorious"; and the world has since been influenced by the proselytizing zeal of one creed or another, of Jesus, Mahomet, Ramanuji or Nanak. In short, the history of the world would have been a good deal different from what it is but for the event of Kapilavastu, alas, so soon forgotten in the land of its origin. How pregnant with world-wide effect and importance is the appearance of a single individual on the stage of history!

This period of Buddha's activities is interesting in more than one direction. At the time when the Thathagatha was setting in motion the wheel of the New Dispensation, Mahavira was laying in India the foundations of the Jaina religion. Then it was that Confucius awoke China with his code of morals and Greece began to develop philosophy as a distinct branch of study and was destined, soon after in the Age of Pericles, to attain in many departments of human activity a state of progress, etill an object of envy and admiration to the world. Romo always intent on civic advancement and political liberty was then transforming itself into a Republic, and the Persians, having overthrown the empire of the Medes, set up a monarchy of their own, and having subjugated Babylon and Egypt, turned their eyes towards India and Greece.

"In each of these widely separated centres of civilscan," asys Professor Rhya Davids (Baddhist India, p.209), "there is evidence about the sixth century B.C. of a leap forward in speculative thought, of a new birth in ethice, of a religion of conscience theretening to take the place of the old religion of custom and magic," which circumstance may be said to constitute "the best dividing line, if there was any, between ancient bistory and modern, between the old order and the new."

The data of Buddha's Nirvana thus comes to be of more than passing importance. It forms a significant landmark, at all events, in the history of India. In that year was held the first Great Buddhist Council at Rajgriha, the then capital of the Magadhan Empire, under the distinguished Presidency of Kasyapa. It was the eighth year of the reign of Ajatasatru, king of Magadha, son of that Bimbisara of the Saisunaya dynasty, who stopped a great sacrifice he was then pompously celebrating, at the gentle bidding of Gautama when he spoke

"Of life, which all can take but none can give, Life, which all creatures love and atrive to keep."

The epoch of the Nirvana gradually came to be the commencement of an era, adopted by Asoka in some of his inscriptions and by the (chronicles of Scuthern Buddhists. It was prevalent in India even in the days of the great astronomer Viiddhagarga, who

is known to have flourished in the 2nd century B.C. The era became so universal during the period of Buddhist supremacy in Iodia that the word saka, or sakakala, originally intended to denote the era of Sakya's Nirvana, came subsequently to signify any era. Thus it will be readily seen that it is desirable to fax this epoch for a proper understanding of the history and chronology of Ancient India.

Maoy fanciful dates have been ascribed for the epoch which need not here be seriously discussed. The Northern Buddhists give dates ranging from 2422 to 546 B.C., and the Aini Akbari of Abul Fazl fixes 1246 B.C. for the event. The Tamil Manimegalsi gives the year 1616 of some uoknown em, probably of the Kali, and the Buddhists of Ceylon, Burma and Siam have uniformly been regulating their caleodars on the basis that the Nirvana occurred in B.C. 543. The Western scholars are likewise as much divided in their opinions, though their dates range only from 544 to 370 B. C. Professors Rhys Davids and Kern give 412 and 388 B.C. respectively for the Para Nirvana, whereas Max Muller to the last maintained that 477 B. C. was the correct date. Dr. Fleet considers the even

to have taken place in B. C. 482 * and Professor Oldenberg and M. Barth fix it in 480 B.C. Mr. V. A. Smith has given us three different dates, B. C. 508 in his *Asoka*, 487 in his *Early India*, and 480 to 470 B.C. in a recently published article. † It is my present purpose to consider whether with all these discordant and divergent opinions before us, we cannot yet discover a date in thorough accord with the materials available to us, and should we be able to deduce such a date, also to find out why the Southern Buddhists have for a long period of time uniformly accepted 544-3 B. C. for the epoch.

For the purpose of such an enquiry we have first to determine the epoch of the Maurya Era, which again can only be fixed by a discussion of the dates of Chandragupta, the founder of the Maurya Dynasty, and of his grandson Asoka Vardhana, who made a world-religion of the creed of Buddha. This Asoka is different from Kalasoka of the Ceylonese Chronicles, who has been identified with Maharadma Nanda of the Puranas, and in whose reign the second Buddhistic Council is reputed to

J. R. A.S., 1906,pp.179 and 669. Indian Review, Vol. viii, p. 561.

have been held at Vaisali under the Presidency of Ratha after the lapse of a century, from Buddha's Nirvana. According to the Ceylonese Chronicle, Asoka Vardhana Maurya, on the other had, was converted to the Buddhist faith in the fourth year after his accession and formally crowned soon after in the same year. He is therein stated to have held the Third Buddhistic Council under Tishya in his eighteenth regand year, 235 years after the death of Buddha.

In a Rock Edict of his thirteenth year (and Asoka always counts his years front the time of his coronation), Asoka says that he made war with Kalings in his ninth year and that, as remore came upon him in consequence of the immense destruction caused during the war, ho revolved thenceforth to give up military conquests, and then proceeds to say:—

And this is the chiefest conquest in His Majesty's opinion, the conquest by the Law of Piety; this also is that effected by His Majesty both in his own dominions and in all the neighbouring resims as I are as its bundred jojana—serio to where the Sarana King namedantiyoks, deelis, and, beying that Antiyoka, to where dwell the four kings severally named Turanays, Antikina, Maka, and Alikassedare, and in the south, the Kings of the Choisa and Pandyass and of Bimhala.

^{*} For these and other particulars, see Turnnur's Mahawanse, edited by Wijesinha, Olde nberg's Dipawanse, and V. Smith's Asnka, pp. 159-174.

The Yavana Kings have thus been correctly identified :- Antiyoka with Antiochus (Theos) who ascended the Syrian throne in 261 B. C. and died about 246 B. C.; and the farther Kings Turamaye, Antikina, Maka and Alikasandare respectively with Ptolemy (Philadelphus, King of Egypt from B. C. 285 to 247), Antigonas (Gonatas, King of Macedonia from B. C. 278 to 242), Magas (King of Cylene who died in 258 B.C.), and Alexander (King of Epirus from B. C. 272 to 258). It is thus evident that the Missionaries, sent by Asoka to these kingdoms between the ninth and the thirteenth year of his reign, reached them between B. C. 261 and 258, the dates respectively of the accession of Antiochus Theos and of the death of Magas, King of Cyrené. As the Missionaries might most probably have reached the Greek Kingdoms about a year after the conquest of Kalinga, we may safely infer that the tenth regnal year of Asoka corresponded with B. C. 260 or 259, or in other words, that his coronation was celebrated about the year 269 B. C. And as, according to the chronicles, the coronation was in the fourth year after his accession to the throne and the reign lasted for over 37 years after the coronation,

we may regard his reign to have extended from about B. C. 273 to 231.

We have next to determine the date of Chandragupta. The Ceylonese Chronicles tell us that Chandragupta reigned for 24 years and that his son. Bindusara reigned before Asoka for a period of 28 years.. The Vayu Purana gives the same period for Chandragupta, but assigns a period of 25 years for Bindusara, which may be incorrect as the total of the periods of the individual reigns of this dynasty fall short of the total period given for the whole dynasty by about 4 years. Following the chronology of the Ceylonese Chronicles, the evidence of which, in this case at any rate, there is not much reason seriously to doubt, we get . 273 + 52 or 325 B.C. for the beginning of the Mnurya Era dating from Chandragupta'e accession to the throne of Magadha.

We have now to see if there is anything in the Greek accounts of this period of Indian History to militate against the correctness of the above date. In speaking of the report brought to Alexander that the Gangaritans and Prnesians (i.e., of the Prachi or Magadha Kingdom) were prepared to meet with a huge army the attack

of the Greeks, in consequence of which, Alexander was made to retrace his steps, Plutarch, who lived about the beginning of the Christian Era, says (Life of Alexander, 72):

"For Androcottus who not long after reigned in those parts * with an army of 60,000 men subdated all india, * * Androcottus him a youth, saw Alexander there and is said often after him a youth, saw Alexander there and is said often after of the said often after of the said often after of these countries; their king who then raigned, was so hated and despised for the viciousness of his life and the meanures of his extraction."

We may infer from this extract that Androcottus or Chandragupta was at the time sufficiently influential and mature eo as to be able to meet Alexander in the Punjab and that the time was then favourable for the overthrow of the Magadhen King, ee Chandragupta himself found soon after when he supplanted the Nanda Dymety.

Quintus Curtius Rufus end Diodorus Seculus, of about the first century of the Christian Era, corroborate Plutareli as regards the wickedness and low origin of Nanda, the then reigning King of Magadha, who is variously called Agrammes, or Nandrus. Justin, probably of the 5th century A. D. but whose materials are drawn from Fompeius of the 1st century, says:

"Selencus Nicator after the partition of Alexander's Empire, took Babylon, passed over to India "birh after

" Alexander's death, as if the yoke of servitude had been shaken off from its neck, had put his prefects to death. Sandrocottus was the leader who achieved this freedom; but after his victory, he forfeited by his tyranny all title to the name of liberator, for he oppressed with servitude the very people whom he had emancipated from foreign thraidom. He was born in humble life but was prompted to aspire to royalty by an omen significant of an august destiny. For when by his inselent behaviour he had offended Nandrus and was ordered by that King to be put to death, he aought sofety by a speedy flight. * * It was this prodigy (of a lion licking him) that first inspired him with the bope of winning the throne, and so having collected a band of robbers, he instigated the Indiane to overthrow the existing Government. When he was thereafter preparing to attack Alexander's praefects, a wild elephant approached him, * and receiving hlm on its back fought vigourously in front of the army. Sandrocottus having thus won the throne was reigning over India when Seleueus was laying the foundations of his future greatness." *

It has been the fashion to infer from the above extracts that Chandragupta ascended the throne of Magadha after Alexander's prefects were put to death, i.e., at about 321 B. C. according to certain recent scholars, or 315 B. C. according to the late Professor Max Muller. But neither of these dates, it is submitted, can legitimately be inferred from the extracts given above. It is clear from the statement of Justin that Chandragupta prepared himself to attack Alexander's

^{*} For this and the previous extracts, see " Invasion of India by Alexander the Great," by J. W. McCrindie.

of the Greeks, in consequence of which, Alexander was made to retrace his steps, Plutareb, who lived about the beginning of the Christian Era, says (Life of Alexander. 72):

"For Andreoctius who not long after reigned in those parts * with an army at 60,000 men subdued all india, * * Andreoctius, the youth, and Marande there and is said often after they are the said often after any that he missed but little of making bimself meter and these countries; their king who then reigned, was so hated and despised for the viciousness of his life and the meanness of his extraction."

Wo may infer from this extract that Andiocottus or Chandragupta was at the time sufficiently inflaential and mature so as to be able to meet Alexander in the Punjab and that the time was then favourable for the overthrow of the Magadhan King, as Chandragupta himself found som after when he supplanted the Nanda Dymaty.

Quintus Curtius Rufus and Diodorus Seculus, of about the first century of the Christian Era, corroborate Plutarch as regards the wickedness and low origin of Nanda, the then reigning King of Magadha, who is variously called Agrammes, or Nandrus. Justin, probably of the 5th century A. D. but whose materials are drawn from Pompeius of the 1st century, says:

"Selectus Nicstorafter the partition of Alexander's Empire, took Babylon, passed over to India, which after Alexander's death, as if the yoke of servitude had been shaken off from its seek, had put his prefects to death. Sandrocettus was the leader who schieved this freedom, but after his victory, he fordleich phis krynnnys servitude, the control of the service of th

It has been the fashion to infer from the above extracts that Chandragupta ascended the throne of Magadha after Alexander's prefects were put to death, i.e., at about 321 B. C. according to certain recent scholars, or 315 B. C. according to the late Professor Max Muller. But neither of these dates, it is submitted, can legitimately be inferred from the extracts given above. It is clear from the statement of Justin that Chandragupta prepared himself to attack Alexander's

^{*} For this and the previous extracts, see " Invasion of India by Alexander the Great," by J. W. McCrindle

præfects in the Punjab, (who were put to death soon after Alexander's death in B. C. '323,) only after the overtbrow of the Nanda Dynasty. And this is but what ought to be expected under the circumstances. Banished from Magadha hy the last King of the Nandas, he sought refuge in the Punjah, where he met Alexander and his army in 326 B. C. He profited by the lessons of Alexander's intrepid marches and military tactics, and knowing that the then King of Magadha was hated on account of bls wickedness and mean origin, and taking advantage of the confusion prevailing in Northern India by reason of Alexander's conquest, he secured the assistance of certain tribes, invaded Magadha and succeeded in setting himself up on the throne. This may be considered to have taken place soon after Alexander left the Punjah, or in 325 B. C. Having firmly established himself in the sovereignty of the realm and made himself secure against internal enemies, he turned his attention to Punjab at the right moment when news was received of Alexander's death, and overpowering his præfects, added it to the dominions of Magadha, Consequently Chandragupta was already ruling a great

empire when Seloucus was but laying the foundations of a greatness, which was consummated by the establishment of the Seleucidian Era of 312 B.C.

'In this opinion, we 'are also supported to some extent by the details of the Mudra Rakshasa, a remarkable drama of Visakhadatta of the 'early part of the eighth century,' * and of the commentator's introduction thereto. We are "therein informed that the "evil-hearted" sons . of the old Nanda King became envious of Chandragupts, who was then in command of the army. Chandragupta consequently left Pataliputra, the capital of Magadba, and under the advice of the Brahman , Chanakya, sought the help of a Mlechcha General. By liberal promises this Miccheha was induced to assist him in laying seige to Pataliputra. It was eventually taken; and the Nandas having been put to death, Chandragupta ascended the throne, no less by the craft of his wily minister than by the prowess of his arms.

Thus the accession of Chandragapta to the theone of Magadha, which is the epoch of the Maurya Era, has to be placed in 325

[&]quot; Telang's Intro. to Mudra Rakshass, p. xxvi.

B. C., whether as the result of an examination of the Greek and other authorities of the West or on a consideration of the data available with reference to Asoka. The dates, 325 B. C. for the commencement of the reign of Chandragupta and 269 B. C. for the coronation of Asoka, are of limmense importance for the fixing of the date of the Nirvana of Gautama Buddha; for, as according to the Ceylonese Chronicles the accession of Chandragupta and the coronation of Asoka took place respectively after the expiry of 162 and 218 years after the Nirvana, this last event may be considered to have taken place in 487 B. C. These statements of the Chronicles are accepted as correct even by the late Professor Max Muller, (Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 299) and in fact these have been remarkably corroborated by certain inscriptions of Asoka which have recently been discovered.

The inscriptions of Asokn, which have been found from Guzaret on the west coast to Orissa on the east, from Afghanistan in the north to Mysore in the south, are remarkable as giving us an insight into the wide range of the dominions of the Mauryan Dynasty, whose first king

Chandragupta is reported to have brought the whole world "under one umbrella." They are also of unique importance in the history of paleography for having furnished the genius of Prinsep the clue to the decipherment of the earliest known Indian Alphabet,-the same service which the bilingual inscription of Malta, the Rosetta stone and the Rock of Behistan have rendered to the study of cuneiform inscrip. tions and Egyptian Hieroglyphics. Of these inscriptions, the so-called Minor Rock Edicts of Sahasram in Bengal, of Rupnath in the Central Provinces, of Bairst in Rajaputapa and of Siddapura, Jatunga Ramesara and Brahmagiri in Mysore, are of immense help in the fixing of the chronology of Asoka, and of Buddha'e Nirvana. All of these contain variant recensions of practically the same text; but those at Brahmagiri and Rupnath are the best preserved. The Brahmagiri text is thus translated by Mr. Vincent Smith: (Asoka, p. 140.)

[&]quot;By order of the Prince and Magistrates at Suvarnagiri, the Magistrates at Isila, after greetings, are to be addressed as follows:—

His Majesty commands:-

For more than two years and a half I was a lay disciple without exerting myself strennously. A period of

air years, or rather more than air years, has clapsed since I joined the Order and have strenuously exerted myself; and during this time the men who were, all over India, regarded as true, have been, with their gods, shown to be untrue.

For this is the fruit of exertion, which is not to be obtained for himself by the great man only; because even the small man can, if he choose, by exertion win for himself much beavenly bliss,

For this purpose has been proclaimed this precept, namely, Let small and great exert themselves to this end. My neighbours, too, should learn this lesson; and may such exertion long endure!

And this purpose will grow—yea, it will grow vastly -at least half as great again will be its growth. And this precapt was proclaimed by the Departed, 256

(years have elapsed since than ?) * * * Written by Pada, the Beribe."

The Rupneth text has also been translated by the learned author (Asoka, p. 138) :-

. "Thus saith His Majesty :-

For more than two years and a half I continued to be a heaver of the Law without exerting myself strengously. A period, however, of more than air years has elapsed since I joined the Order and have strenuously exerted myself.

The gods who at that time, all over India, were regarded as true gods have now become untrue gods.

For this is the fruit of exertion, which is not to be obtained by the great man only; because even the small man can by exertion win for himself much heavenly

bliss. And for this purpose was given the precept, 'Let small and great exert themselves."

My neighbours, too, should learn this lesson; and may such exertion long endure !

For this purpose of mine will grow its growth-yea, it will grow vastly, at least half as large again will be its prowth.

And this rurpose has been written on the rocks, both bere and in distant places; and wherever a stone pillar exists, it must be written on the stone pillar.

And as often as a man seasons his cooked food with this condiment, he will be satisfied even to satisty.

This precept has been given by the Departed. 256 years have elapsed, from the departure of the Teacher (?)."

No serious objection can possibly be, nor has , been, raised to the correctness of this translation, except in regard to a few particulars. The period given for the interval when Asoka was a lay disciple and the numerical figures in the last paragraph have been differently interpreted by different authors. As regards the numerical figures, the Brahmagiri text reads thus :-" Iyam cha sayane sa v (a) p (i) te Vyuthena 256 se." The Rupuath text runs thus : " Vyuthena savane kate 256-Sata vivasa ta." We find the following at Sahasram: "Iyam (cha savane) vivuthena duve sapanınalati sata virutha ti 256 ". The various renderings of this puzzling passage have been collected by Dr. Fleet in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for January 1904. M. Senart considers that the words refer to the " 256 settings out of Missionaries," and Professor Oldenberg, to the number of men who taught on earth. But the most rational interpretation hitherto ettempted is the one given by Dr. Buhler and adopted by Mr. Smith in the translation given above, "Dr. Buhler who first brought the contents of the edict to public notice in 1877, maintained from first to last that the words and the numerical symbols are a date and that the passage means that the edict was promulgated when 256 completo years had clarged and in the course of the 257th after the death of Buddha." That the figures 256 represented a data is also the opinion of Cunningham, Max Muller, Kern, Pischel, Boyer and Rhys Davids, though the 11st named Professor considered the figures to represent the number of years elapsed since the great Renunciation of Buddha in the 29th vear of his age. In endorsing the view that the figures represent a date and that they are reckoned from the Death of Buddha, Dr. Fleet pointedly mentious that there is no word used in the Brahmagiri text "to give how 256 is to be applied. This is instructive," for the idea of data can be inferred, but not ofpersons," He therefore translates the Sahasram text as follows: " And this some precept was composed by the Wandeser: (Of) centuries two (hundred) and fifty-six (years) have elapsed since the Wanderer por in figures 200 (and) 50 (and)

6." The Rapnath text is thus translated: "(This same) precept was composed by the Wanderer; (of) centuries 200 (and) 50 (and) 6 (years have clapsed) since (his) wanderings." And the Brahmagiri is translated thus: "And this same precept was inculcated by the Wanderer: 200 (and) 50 (and) 6 (years have clapsed since then).

There can be no doubt that both Dr. Buhler and Dr. Fleet have correctly surmised that 256 is a date and that it begins in the year of Buddha's douth. But with the greatest deference to their very high attainments, I must humbly submit that they are wrong with reference to the person denoted by the word 'Vyutha' or 'vivutha' which simply means ' Departed.' I consider that the precept is of Asoka himself, given almost on his deathbed, that probably he gave in structions to "the prince and magistrates , of Suvarnagiri", where he seems to have lived in religious retirement, to engrave his last commands in all parts of his dominions, and that possibly before his instructions could be carried out, he departed from this world. If this precept were to be considered as that of Buddha, scholars ought to have, but have not, been able to

^{*.}J. R. A. S., Jan. 1904.

116

point out among Buddha's sayings the teaching herein engraved, namely, " Let small and great exect themselves." Nor does this find a place in the list of the passages which Asoka culls from Buddha's sayings and publishes for the edification of the monks of Magadha in the Blubra Edict, famous for its clear showing of Asoka's adherence to the Buddbistic faith. Moreover, these words under discussion, namely, Iyam cha savane * * 256 se in the Brahmagiri Text, and Vyuthena savane * * vivasa ta in the Rupnath Text, do no more belong to the body of the text than the words "Padena likhitam lipi karena" (written by Pada the Scribe), which we find at the end of the Brahmagiri, Jatunga Ramesara and Siddapura inscriptions. Just as the Scribe immortalised himself by adding his name at the end of the juscription, so even the Prince and Magistrates of Suvarnagiri, who published this inscription, began it by proclaiming that it was at their instance that it was published, and ended it by appending thereto its date in the years of the Nirvana. The term 'Vyutba' was applied by them to Asoka who had probably just than 'Departed' to the other world, and, as it was no longer possible, on account of his death, to

adhere to the practice of dating the inscriptions of Asoka in the years of his reign, this inscription had to be deted in the years of the Nirvana of Buddha. The Brahmagiri text may therefore be translated thus; " This teaching was proclaimed by the Departed (Asoka) in the year 256." The Rupnath and Sahasram texts have, in addition, the following words respectively, namely, "256 Sata vivasa ta" and " Sata vivutha ti 256." Dr. Bubler correctly translates 'Sata' as 'Teacher' and considers it refers to Buddha; and in my bumble opinion, these words mean "in (the year) 250, since the departure of the Teacher (Buddha)." I therefore consider that the procept was perhaps the last admonition to his people of Asoka, who 'departed.' Like some full-beasted away,

That, fluting a wild carol ere her death, Rumes her pure cold plume, and takes the flood With awarthy webs.

The next point to be considered is the period given in the inscription for the interval when Asoka was a lay disciple. The exact word used in the Rupuath inscription for this period, is Adhitisani, whereas the Beahmagiri text has Adhatiyani. Dr. Buller translated this Magadhi word in the columns of the Indian Antiquary for 1877 (p. 250), as meaning 321 years, which inter-

118

pretation seems to be supported by Dr. Fleet in the J. R. A. S. for 1903, p. 829, and for 1904, p. 305. But Dr. Bubler subsequently gave up this construction and he states in the Epigraphia Indica, Vol. III. (p. 134), that the word means 2½ years, an interpretation which, though wrong, has been adopted by Mr. Smith in his 'Asoka' and by Mr. B.L. Rice, the discoverer of the Mysore Edicts.* The former says:

"We have Asoka's own authority for stating that in the ninth year of his reign, for the reasons above explained, he joined the Buddhist Community as a lay disciple."

I submit that we have no such authority. The reasons advanced by Mr. Smith are almost the same as those relied on by M. Serant and are based on the 13th Rock Edict. Asoka says therein that he conquered the Kalingas in the ninth year of his reign, that he was greatly affected by the horrors of war and that ever since "he had been decoted to that Law and had proclaimed its precepts." I think that it is wrong to draw from this statement that Asoka was converted only then for the first time. It simply shows that the bloodshed caused in the Kalinga War oppend

^{*} Ep. Carnatics, Vol. xi, p.4.

pretation seems to be supported by Dr. Fleet in the J. R. A. S. for 1903, p. 829, and for 1904, p. 305. But Dr. Buhler subsequently gave up this construction and he states in the Epigraphia Indica, Vol. III. (p. 134), that the word means 24 years, an interpretation which, though wrong. has been adopted by Mr. Smith in his ' Asola' and by Mr. B.L. Rice, the discoverer of the Mysore Edicts.* The former says :

"We have Asoka's own authority for stating that in the unith year of his reign, for the reasons above explained, he joined the Buddhist Community as a lay disciple."t

I submit that we have no such authority. The reasons advanced by Mr. Smith are almost the same as those relied on by M. Senart and are based on the 13th Rock Edict, Asoka says therein that he conquered the Kalingas in the ninth year of his reign, that he was greatly affected by the horrors of war and that ever since "he had realously protected the Law of piety, had been devoted to that Law and had proclaimed its precepts." I think that it is wrong to draw from this statement that Asoka was converted only then for the first time. It simply shows that the bloodshed caused in the Kalinga War opened

^{*} Ep Carnatica, Vol. xi, p. 4.

[†] Asoks, p. 18.

his eyes to the iniquity of military conquests, and that he resolved thenceforth to be zealous in the discharge of religious duties. It means that he then became, what in modern language may be called, regenerated. The absence of any specific statement in this long and biographical record that he was only then converted, shows on the contrary that he was a Buildhist already. Again the statement made in the eighth Rock Edict on Pious Tours, to the effect that in former times kings used to go out on tours for purposes of pleasure, but, in the eleventh year of his reign, " he weat on the road leading to true knowledge, whence originated tours devoted to piety" during which, pious men were seen and largess bestowed, -this statement, -has been interpreted by Prof. Rhys Davids and Mr. Smith, as showing that Asoka became a monk in the eleventh year of his teign by taking the eightfold path. This idea, I venture to express, never entered into the mind of the pious monarch. What he clearly intended to proclaim was that whereas former kings went out only for purposes of mundane pleasures, he, on the other hand, toured in his provinces only for the purpose of acquiring spiritual merit. As a matter of fact,

Thirdly, in this inscription attenuous exertion for a short period as a member of the Order is contrasted with, and considered superior to, the moderate exertion of a layman for a longer period. It is absurd, therefore, to contrast attenuous exertion for the longer period of eix years with moderate exertion for the shorter period of two years and a half.

Fourthly, we find in this inscription the first and only glimpse of an intolerant spirit ever exhibited by Piyadasi. Even as late as the 28th year of his reign, he says in the sixth and the seventh Pillar Edicts:

"I devote all my attention to all communities. All series have been reverenced by me." "He also arranged that censors should be occupied with the affair of the Buddbist clergy, so well as with the Brahman, Jains, Ajivakas and, in fact, with all the various sects."

The twelfth Rock Edict of about the fourteenth year of his reign is devoted solely to the subject of Toleration and Asoka declares therein:

"A man must not do reverence to his own seet by diaparking that of another man for trivial reasons. Depreciation should be for adequate reasons only, because the sects of other people deserve reverence for one reason or another."

He recommends charity and respect to Brahmans in many of his elicts and bestowed certain added the last dot after his Vassa residence at Canton in China." The Record is stated to have "indicated 975 dots (years) from the Nirvana to 489 A. D. ‡" If this statement is found to be correct, then we have one more reason for considering the Nirvana to have occurred in 487 B. C.

We have lastly to consider how it is that the Ceylonese tradition as recorded in the chronicles. which, as we have seen, is not without its great value for historical and chronological purposes, has all along been that Buddha attained Nirvana in the year 543 B.C. I am aware that scholars like Max Mulier and Mr. Smith unceremoniously brush saids all the chronological particulars of these chronicles prior to 160 B. C. as unreliable, while otherago so far us to condemn them whole-But as Professor Blys Divids says, " It firs upon the reader to hear the chronicles called the mendacious fictions of unsernoulous monks. Such expressions are inaccurate; and they show a grave want of appreciation."† Dr. Fleet goes even me far as to say that 543 BC.,

[;] J. R. A. S. July, 1896; Ind. Aut. 1884, p. 156, J. R. A. S. Jan. 1995, p. 33

f Buddhiet India, p. 274.

the date according to the chronicles as interpreted by the editors Turnour and Wijesinha, "is not asserted by or supported by anything contained in Dipawansa or the earlier part of the Mahawansa, but was eimply invented, as far as I can see my way, in the 12th or 13th century A.D." * But this is certainly a mistake; for, as Bishop Bigaulet points out,

"There is perhaps no single point in the whole history of India on which the chronicles of Ceylon, and Further India, arose distinct and unanimous than this Buddia died or as they express it, attained Nirvans—at the age of eighty years in the year 543 B.C. or in the year 148 of the Ectans or Asjans epoch."

I believe that the erroneous idea regarding the value of the Ceylonese Chronicles is due to a certain extent to the circumstance that no explanation was forthcoming why the Ceylonese date for the Nirvana should be nearly six decades anterior to the one which may be inferred from reliable data. This antedating of the Era of Nirvana injuriously affected to a certain extent the correctness of the Chronology of the Dipawansa and the Mahawansa. Turnour accepts 543 B.C. for the Nirvana, but supposed that the date of Asoka was carried back by a period of sixty years for the reason that it was thought expe

^{.*} J. R. A. S., Jan. 1904.

added the last dot after his Vassa residence at Canton in China." The Record is stated to have "indicated 975 dots (years) from the Nirvana to 489 A. D.; " If this statement is found to be correct, then we have one more reason for considering the Nirvana to have occurred in 487 R. C.

We have lastly to consider how it is that the Ceylonese tradition as recorded in the chronicles, which, as we have seen, is not without its great value for historical and chronological purposes, has all along been that Buddha attained Nizyana in the year 543 B.C. I am aware that scholars like Max Muller and Mr. Smith unceremoniously brush aside all the chronological particulars of these chronicles prior to 160 B. C. as unreliable, while others go so far as to condemn them wholesale. But as Professor Rhys Davids says, " It jars upon the reader to hear the chronicles called the mendacions fictions of nascrupulous . monks. Such expressions are inaccurate; and they show a grave want of appreciation."† Dr. Fleet goes even so far as to say that 543 B.C.,

[†] J. R. A. S. July, 1896; Ind. Aut. 1884, p. 156, J. R. A. S. Jan. 1905, p. 33, † Buddhıst Indis, p. 274,

the date according to the chronicles as interpreted by the editors Turnour and Wijsinha, "is not asserted by or supported by anything contained in Dipawanea or the earlier part of the Mahawanea, but was simply invented, as far as I can see my way, in the 12th or 13th century A.D." But this is certainly a mistake; for, as Bishop Digaudet points out,

"There is perhaps no single point in the whole history of India on which the chronicles of Ceylon, and Further India, areas disfinct and unanimous than that Buddhaded—or as they express it, attained Nirana—at the age of eighty years in the year 513 B.C. or in the year 143 of the Letznan or Anjana specia."

I believe that the erroneous idea regarding the value of the Ceylonese Chronicles is due to a certain extent to the circumstance that no explanation was forthcoming why the Ceylonese date for the Nirvana should be nearly six decades anterior to the one which may be inferred from reliable data. This antedating of the Era of Nirvana injuriously affected to a certain extent the correctness of the Chronology of the Dipawansa and the Mahawansa. Turnour accepts 543 B.C. for the Nirvana, but supposed that the date of Asoka was carried back by a period of sixty years for the reason that it was thought expe-

^{.*} J. R. A. B. Jan. 1904.

dient for the good of religion that the landing of Vijia, the first Buddhist Missionary to Ceylon, should be coincident with the death of Buddha. But this fur-fetched explanation cannot be

accepted for the simple reason that it assumes a wrong date, namely, 543 B. C. for the Nirvana of Buddha. On the other hand, the real reason for the antedating by the Chronicles of the Nirvana by a 'period of 56 years, the difference between the Cevlonese date 543 B. C. and B. C. 487 the date advanced herein, must be sought for elsewhere. I believe that it is due to an erroncous belief entertained by early Buddhists that the Maurya Era began with Asoka, the Constantine of the followers of Gautama. They ignored the possibility of the era commencing with the accession to the throne of Magadha of a non-Buddhist King, namely, Chandragupta who did not loom so largely in public estimation. They knew that Asoka dated his edicts by the years elapsed since his coronation and naturally supposed that the Maurya Era, which was current in the third and second centuries before Christ, as can be inferred from the Huthigumpha inscription dated in the year 165 of the Maurya Era, began with the coronation of their greatest Emperor. Acoka's coronation was thus placed 56 years earlier, the interval between the Mauryan epoch of 325 B. O. and 269 B. O., the correct data of his coronation; and as Buddhists believe that he was formally crowned "after 218 years had elapsed since the death of Buddha", the Great Sakyamuni was erroneously supposed to have passed "Unto Nirvana, where the silence

lives," in the year 325 + 218 or 543 B.C.

greatest Emperor. Asoka's corenation was thus placed 56 years earlier, the interval between the Mauryan epoch of 325 B. C. and 269 B. C., the correct date of his coronation; and as Buddbits believe that he was formally crowned "after 218 years had clapsed since the death of Buddba," the Gient Sakyamun was erroneously supposed to have passed, in the year 325+218 or 543 E. C., "Unto Nirvana, where the Silence lives."

CHAPTER IV.

THE DATE OF KANISHKA:

HE discovery in a stupa recently unearthed near Peshawar of an inscribed casket, and inside it. of a rock crystal receptacle. supposed to contain the seal of Kanishka and three fragments of the charred bones of Lord Buddha, adds fresh interest to the question of the dates of the great Philosopher and his royal dayotee. I have tried to show in my last paper* that Gautama died in 487 B, C. Of the various reasons adduced in support of that dats, it is possible that one of them, namely, the interpretation of the words adhitisani or adhatiyani and savachheram or chhavachhare. which I understood to mean ' 321 ' and '6' years respectively, may not be quite acceptable. The only alternative interpretation that can be given also confirms that date. The latter word may be taken to mean 'one year 't and the former may be considered as the equivalent of the modern

See also Indian Antiquary, 1908, p. 341.
 See Ind. Ant. 1908, p. 26.

Mahratti and Hindi word adatis* meaning 38. According to this interpretation, Asoka had been a lay disciple for 38 years and a monk for one year before the subject of this inscription was ordered to be published by him. As the coronation of Asoka (269 B. O.) took placef within a year after his conversion (270 B.C.), and as the inscriptions in which these words occur were published after the lapse of (38 + 1 or) 39 years after his conversion, and of 256 years after the Nivezna, Büddha passed away from this world in (270—39+256 or) 487 B.O.‡

On the other hand, the date of Kanishka, who tuled over Kashmir and the Punjab, is still a disputed question of Indian chronology. It was at one time supposed that what is at present known as the Salivahana Sakabda beginning in 78 A.D. commenced with the reign of this king § This

^{*} cf. Dr. Fleet's interpretation of Adhahosikyani == 8 Kos. J. R.A. S. 1906, p. 401.

[†] Ind. Ant. 1908, p. 343.

[†] Mr. V. A. Smith kindly writes to me under data 4:10-09; "I think you are right in the date B. C. 487 and probably right in your ingenious explanation of 543 B. C. I am now rather disposed with you to accept 28 years for Bindusara and to place Chandragupta's accession in B. C. 325 rather than in 322."

[§] Fergusson, Saka and other eras, p. 9.

theory was subsequently given up* and Dr. Fleet now maintains that the epoch of 57 B.C. either marks the accession of Kanishka, or coincides with that event t Dr. Bhandarkar, on the other hand, would put this ancient king to so late a date as 278 A.D : I and Mr. Vincent A. Smith thinks that Kanishka began to reign only after 124-A.D. § In the midst of these widely differing dates, each of which is supported by very respectable authority, one is often tempted to give up one's hope of ever unravelling the darker periods of Ancient Indian History.

It is not my purpose here to summarise the arguments of these and other learned authors in support of their respective dates. I shall try in a humble way to show that none of these dates is convincing and that the balance of probability is rather in favour of a totally different date. Beforc, however, entering upon such a discussion. I may here state in the words of Dr. Stein that "whatever date we shall have ultimately to adopt in the light of subsequent finds and researches, so

^{*}Ind. Antiquary, 1903, p. 422, †J. R. A. S., 1907, p. 169.

[†] But see "Indian Review," 1909, p. 401, where he gives. 260 A. D.

S Early History of India, 2nd Edition, p. 240

much may already now be considered as certain that Kanishka's reign cannot be removed by more than a century from the commencement of our Era."*

Inscriptions and coins of three kings, namely, Kanishka, Huvishka and Vasushka, have been found in Northern India, all belonging to the same group, being connected together in language, script, legends and emblems. The inscriptions of Kanishka range from the year 3 to 41, those of Huvishka (Huvashka or Huksha) from 28 to 60. and those of Vasushka (Vasishka, Vasashka, or Vasudeva) from 74 to 98, of some era to be presently ascertained. It is rightly considere! that these kings belonged to the same dynastr. As regards the order in succession of these kirgs, it is generally supposed that Huvishka successed Kanishka, and preceded Vasushka, on the throng of Kashmir and the Punjab, a view which seems to me to be incorrect. In the first place, the Rajatarangiai, an old history of Kashwir written in 1148 A.D., refers to these very Kings in a different order. According to the author's own statement, t the materials for the work were drawn from ancient books, inscriptions and

^{*} Rajatarangini: Infro: p. St. 1 + 1. 15.

as Vasishpa, who, in my opinion, is the very king called Jushka in the chronicle and named variously as Va-sushka, Vasishka, Vasishka and Vasudeva in the inscriptions and coins." Thirdly, that the dynasty came to an end with Kanishka is also hinted at in the legend published by M. Sylvain Levi. It goes on to state that Kanishka in tha last days of his life, greatly dejected at his not having been able to subjugate the northern region, though he had previously conquered the other three quarters, made strenuous preparations for moving his army to the north. Enraged at this instituble desire of his for further conquests, his people "covered him with a quilt when he was ill and a man sat on top of him and the king died on the spot," + Such a calamitous ending is more in keeping with the passing away of the dynasty than with the theory of his having been succeeded peacefully on the throno by a severeign like Havishka. Fourthly, the Ara inscription, already referred to. of "Kanishka, son of Vasishpa, of the 41st year," also shows that Huvishka, whose inscriptions date from the 33rd, or as some suppose, even from the 28th year, could not have

^{*} V. A. Smith's Early History of Indis, p. 251.

[†] V. A. Smith's Early History of India, p. 251.

succeeded Kaniska whose inscriptions extend upto the 41st pear. It has been suggested by Mr.
R. D. Bannerjee that Kanishka might have been
engaged beyond the frontiers of India when
Huvishka was left in charge in India, who might
therefore have published inscriptions even during
the life-time of Kanishka. But judging from the
number of the inscriptions of Huvishka during
that period and also from the manner in which
he is therein described, I venture to believe that
this explanation is highly improbable.

Fifthly, there has long been prevalent in Kashmir and the Punjab, the provinces over which these three Kushaan or Turushka princes ruled, an era known as the Saptarshi Era, in the reckoning of which the figures for hundreds and thousands were generally omitted. Both Alberuni, a Mussulman chronicler of the eleventh century, and Kalbana, the author of the Rajatrangini, were aware of this peculiarity of this era; and in the days of the former, it was prevalent also in Multun and the adjacent country.

[#] Ind. Apt., 1908, p. 59.

[†] Also known as Lokakala, or 'Mundane Era'. See Sewell's Indian Calendar, p. 41. Ind. Antiquary, XX. 1498. Dr. Stein's Rajstarangini, Intro. p. 58.

According to the current reckoning, this era is said to have begun in 3076 B.C., twenty-five years later than the epoch of the Kaliyuga. I have already shown in my papers on the " Chronology of the Puranas," and the "Chronology of the Siddhautas," * that the Kashmir era of 3076 B. C. is based on, and is a later development of, the earlier' Yudhishtira or Saptarshi era of 1176 B.C., and that the former came into existence for the first time only about the fourth century after Christ. I have also shown therein and also in my book on the " Chronology of Ancient India,"t that the elements of the Vedanga Jyotisha, the figures given by the classical historians for the years elapsed up to the time of Alexander the Great, the epoch of the era of Kollam Andu introduced into Malabar by the Aryan Namhuri immigrants from the north, the interpretation of a Sloka of Garga quoted in Varahamihira's Brihat Samhita and various other circumstances point to 1176 B. C. for the epoch of the era of Yudhishtira; and

^{* &}quot;Indian Review," 1904, pp. 593-607; 1906, p. 280.
Chapters I and II infra.

[†] First servics. Summarised at p. 85. It is possible that some of the arguments advanced in the book (published, 1901) require revision; but I submit that teh main conclusions are correct.

also that the original Yudhishtira era of 1176 B. C. continued to be current till about the fourth century after Christ, after which the epoch of the era, for reasons which it would be tedious to repeat here, was shifted back two thousand years earlier to 3176 B.C., as can be

gathered from the details of the Vishnu Purana,

er to 3076 B. C. according to the version of the Kashmir era, or to 3102 B. C. by Aryabhata in 409 A.D. to suit his astronomical requirements. It may also be stated that the reason for the omission of the figures for hundreds and thousands in the old Saptarshi era was the equally old notion that the Saptarshis or the Seven Stris of the Ursa Major moved through an arc of 13 ° 20' (one Nakshatra) in every period of one hundred years. The number of elapsed periods of one hundred years since the date of Yudbishtira was calculated by the number of Nakshatras thus ' passed over by the Seven Rishis' from the Magha Nakshatra, the starting-point, and was denoted by the particular Nakshatra in which the Rishis were supposed at any time to be stationed : and this method of reckoning is adopted in the old orthodox Puranas, Vayu, Matsya and Vishnu, and also in the Bhagavata and Brahmanda.* I am therefore of opinion that the era of the Kushana kings was this old Yudhishtira era of 1176 B. C. in which the figures for hundreds and thousands were generally omitted; and as Kanishka reigned till the 41st year and Hushka or Huvishka began his reign in the 28th year, it may be stated with confidence that these two kings reigned in different centuries and that the former king counted in the years of the century that succeeded the one during which the other two kings reigned. Since Huvishka's inscriptions date from the 28th and extend up to the 60th, and according to both the chronicle and the inscriptions and coins, there were only three kings of this dynasty, it is also clear that this era had already been in existence prior to the reign of Huvishka. I would therefore accept as correct the order of kings given in the Rajatarangini, namely, Huvishka and then Vasushka and lastly Kanishka, and hold that the inscriptions of Huvishka of the years 28 to 60 refer most probably to the years 1128 to 1160, or (1176-1128 or) 48 B.C. to (1176-1160 or) 16 B.C., and that

^{*} See Wilson's Trans. Vishnu Purana, Ed. Hall, Vol. 1V, p. 229-234.

Vasushka's inscriptions of 74 to 98 and Kanishka's inscriptions of 3 to 41, range respectively from B. C. 2 to 22 A. D., and 27 to 65 A.D.

These dates are remarkably supported by several other considerations. To begin with, one Abhimanyu* is stated in the Rajatarangini, on the authority of the older work Chhavillalara. t as having succeeded the Kushana king Kanishka on the throne of Kashmir and the interesting fact is also recorded that, in his reign, the Mahabhashya of Patanjali, the famous commentary on Panini, was introduced into Kashmir by one Chandracharva ! After him arose the native dynasty of Gonanda (III),5 which is said to have held power over the country for a long time. Who is this Abhimanyu? It is not consistent with historical criticism to deny his existence altogether. when his reign is remembered in connection with so interesting an incident. He seems to be as much a foreigner as the three kings of the Kushana dynasty, because he is not included in the native dynasty of Gonanda, which succeeded him. In my opinion, the Yuehchi king, Wema or Hima, was the person denoted by the name A-hhima-nyu. which was assumed as much on account of its

^{*} I. 174. | † I. 19 and 20. | † I. 176. | § I. 185

being the name of the foremost of the young warriors of the Mahabharata as on account of the great similarity in sound between the two names : just in the same manner and with the same purpose as the name of Vasudeva was adopted by Jushka, or Va-sushka, the father of Kanishka. It may be observed that many of these foreign kings adopted the names of the heroes of Ancient India and represented on their coins the figures of the Indian Deitles. Wema is generally considered by scholars, on the testimony of coins and the Chinese Annals, to have reigned in the last decades of the first century A.D.*; and this date is in thorough accord with the one we have arrived at for the end of the reign of his predecessor Kanishka, i.e., about 65 A. D

Secondly, it has been already suggested on the authority of the inscriptions that Huvishka reigned between 1128 and 1160, Vasushka between 1174 and 1198 and Kanishka hetween 1203 and 1241. It is therefore evident that Abhimanyu or Wema reigned very soon after the year 1241 of the Yudbishlira era. It is

^{*} Mr. Smith's Early History of India, p. 242.

very noteworthy that this date is entirely corroborated by the Rajatarangini, which states that 1266 years * elapsed between Yudhishtire of the Mahabharata War and the rise of the dynasty of Gonanda III, or what is the same thing the end of the raign of Abhimanyu. It is therefore clear that Abhimanyu or Wema must have reigned for some time between 1242 and 1266 of the Yudhishtira era or between 66 A.D. and 90 A.D.; and this date, as we have already stated, is quite in harmony with the date generally assigned to Wema by scholars.

Kanishka seems to have ruled a vast empire including the Upper Sindh, the Punjab, Kashmir, Yusafzu and also some parts of Afghanistan, and to have conquered the Chinese provinces of Yarkhand, Khotan and Kashgar. He is also said to have demanded hostages from the Chinese Emperor and Hinen Tsiang relates that the place where

^{*1.64.} It is true that the author of the Rajatarangini gives a wrong date for Yudhishitra, £e, 248 B.C.; but this is no doubt due to his having understood the verse of Garga quoted in Briank Bambita in that way. This is however immaterial, as we are only concerned with relying on the old tradition reproduced in this book, that Conanda III. began to reign over Kashmiz 1266 years after Yudhishira. See Chronology of Ancient India, First Series, pp. 62-7; "Indian Review," 1901, pp. 691-5. Ante, pp. 44-60.

the hostages were detained went by the name of Chinabhukti. This tradition may be pure invention; but it cannot be doubted that Kanishka made extensive conquests in the early years of the Han dynasty, when it was too weak to assert its power, and before General Panchao, the brilliant Chinese Commander, began his career of conquest in about 73 A.D. and extended the Chinese dominion as far as the confines of the Roman Empire. The Chinese conquests of Kanishka are still evidenced by the discoveries of Dr. Stein in the ruine of Khotan. Thus, Kanishka's conquests extended towards the east in the Chinese territories, in the south as far as Muttra and Benares, and in the west up to the Upper Sindh. But so the legend above referred to says, he was unable to conquer the northern region. The reason probably was that northern Afghanistan was then being ruled over by Wema's father, Kozulo Kadpbises I. While Kanishka was engaged in the consolidation of his dominions. Kadphises I, one of the most powerful kings of the Yuebchi tribe that originally settled in Bactria in about 70 B. C., had already subjugated the four other clans of the same tribe, conquered various provinces to the north of the Hindukush. overpowered the last Greek king, Hermaeus of

northern Afghanistan, and ruled that country, in the beginning jointly with him and subsequently alone. The conquest of the fairer provinces of northern India was reserved for his son and successor. Wema. It is probable that for some time after Kanishka met with the unhappy death referred to above. Kashmir was practically in a chaotic condition, when advantage was taken of this circumstance by Wema to conquer the country. Werea was so far successful in his military enterprises that he is said even to have made hold to demand a Chinese princess in marriage and to have proceeded to conquer China. In this foolhardy attempt he seems to have signally failed, his army was destroyed, and he was forced to pay tribute by the Chinese General Panchao: and his nower and influence seems to have thereupon dwindled till either by reason of his death or of some internal commotion in Kashmir, which we are not now in a position to discover, the native dynasty of Gonanda III, came again to power in Kashmir in about 90 A. D. This inference is supported by the significant circumstance that history does not know of any successor of Wema of his dynasty as ruling over Northern India.

Thirdly, the numiamatic evidence avallable also confirms the dates given above for the Kushana kings. The coins of Kadphises I: contain portraits of the last Indo-Greek king, Hernagus, with titles in Greek characters, "After a time while still preserving the familiar portrait of Hermaeus", says the learned historian of Early India,* " he substituted his own name and style on the legend. The next step taken was to replace the bust of Hermaeus by the effigy of Augustus (died, 14 ' A. D.) as in his later years, (or of Tiberius, 14 to 38 A. D.) and so to do homage to the expanding fama of that emperor. . Still later probably are those coins of Kadphises I., which dispense altogether with the royal effigy and present an Indian hull and a camel ". Thus in any case Kadphises I. hegan his reign in the earlier half of the first century, A. D.; and as he is said to have lived up to the age of eighty, he may have reigned down to about 65 A. D. His coins, unlike those of his son and of the three Kushana kings. do not contain the figures of any Indian Deity, a circumstance which shows that he was a stranger to India. General Cunningham and Lassen

inferred, from numismatic and other evidence, that Kanishka reigned in the first-half of the first century A. D.* A Roman coin of the year 33 B. C. was found in a stupe erected by Kanishka and Dr. Fergusson finding the coin much worn out, thought that Kanishka should have lived somewhat later, f Wens and Kanishka issued gold coins agreeing in weight with the curei of Rome, and as Mr. Snith points out,

numismatic evidence leads one to suppose that

the coins of Kanialka and Wemn are induced by those of the Roman Empire. The coins of these two kings are generally found together and "frequently display in the field the same four-pronged symbol and agree accurately in weight and fineness, besides exhibiting a very close relationship in the obverse devices." It may therefore be fairly inferred that Wema succeeded Kanishka on the throne of Kashmir and the Punjah, Dr. Fleet's theory that Kanishka lived in 57 B.C. appears to be untenable for this reason, among others, namely, that the similarily between the crins of Kanishka and Wenna would "Num. Chro. Vol. VIII, p. 175 Ind. Alt. Vol. II.

^{*} Num. Chro. Vol. VIII, p. 175 Ind. Alt. Vol. II, p. XXIV. † History of Ind. and East Arch., p. 741, Max Muller's

Ancient Banakrit Literature, p. 230. Parly History of India, p. 241.

most probably not have existed if these two kings lived nearly a century and-a-half apart. The figure of a king standing before a fire altar, first introduced by Vasushka on his coins. was copied by Kanishka and Wema : and the figures of Siva and Bull appearing on the coins of Vasushka are also repeated on the coins of the son of Kadphises I. All these foregoing details go to prove that Kadphises I, and Wema as well as Vasushka and Kanishka lived in the first century A. D. As regards Huvishka, the date herein given for him, namely 48 to 16 B.C., is in a manner supported by the inference of Dr. Fleet, who assigns him to the last quarter of the first century B. C. on the strength of the absence of the Roman H from the coin legends of Huvishka

Fourthly, Dr. O. Francke and M. Levi, basing their inferences on Ohinese records, give respectively B. C. 2 and B. C. 5* for the Yueh-chi king therein referred to and these dates agree very well with the date we have arrived at for Kanishka's father Vasushka, the beginning of whose reign could not have been later than 2 B.C. and the end, not earlier than 22 A.D.; who most

^{*} Journal Asiatique, July, 1896 to June, 1897. Ind. Ant. XXXII, p. 417 and XXXV, p. 33.

probably was the Yueh-chi king of the story referred to by Dr. Francke, that communicated certain Ruddhist books to a Chinese official.*

- Fifthly, the date herein advanced for Kanishka receives further corroboration from the biography of Vesubhandu, written by Parsmartha (499-569 A. D.), wherein it is stated that the last Council of Buddhism, which was presided over by Vasumitra, was held in the sixth century after Buddha's Nivana. We know from the writings of Hiuen Tsiang and also from other sources that it was held under the patronage of Kanishka, who has therefore to be ascribed to about the

middle of the first century A.D.

Sixthly, we have further confirmatory evidence from the writings of Hinen Triang (629-645 A.D.), who probably following the Buddhist tradition then current in Hindustan, Gandhara and Kashmir, gives an interval of 300 years between Asoks and Kanishka; and as Asoka began to reign in 273 B.C., the commoncement of Kanishka's reign may properly be placed in about 27 A.D., which agrees exactly with the date we have assigned to, him, i.e., 27 to 65 A.D.

In this connection it may be stated that there are certain Brahmi and Kharoshtri inscriptions which

٠

^{*} V. A. Smith's Early History of India, p. 251.

are very like the Kushana inscriptions ranging from 28 to 98 and 3 to 41. There is also a "Jain inscription from the Kankali mound at Mathura of the year 299 which, in language and script, agrees exactly with the other votive inscriptions dated in the years 4 to 98 from the same site."? Drs. Buhler and Bhandarkar suggest that the Kharoshtri inscriptions of dates over 100 are nearly contemporaneous with the Kushana inscriptions. I may be permitted to state with reference to these and other various ancient dated inscriptions discovered in the Land of the Five Rivers as well as near Muttra, that most of them refer to the Yudhishtira or Kali era of 1176 B.C., which was then current throughout Northern Hindustan, with the figures for thousands, and sometimes for both thousands and hundreds, omitted. I would therefore refer the Mathura inscription of 299 (1299) to 123 A.D., the Takht-i-Bahe inscription of Gondophares of the year 103 (1103) to 73 B.C., the Taxila copperplate inscription of Patika of the Jear 78 (1078) and Sudasa's of the year 72 (1072) to 98 and 104 B.C. respectively. The date assigned as above to Gondophares is confirmed by the fact that his coins have been found by Dr. Fer-

^{. *} J. R. A. S , 1903, p. 36.

gusson to be much older than those of Kanishka; and it may he stated as a necessary corollary to this date, that the legend of the mission of St. Thomes to him cannot be taken seriously.

With the greatest deference to the learned scholars. I may therefore submit that the dates assigned by Mr. Smith and Drs. Bhandarkar and Fleet, namely, 127 A.D., 278 A.D. and 57 B.C. respectively, cannot be correct. Mr. Smith thinks that the Kushan era is the modern Kashmir Saptarshi era with 32 hundreds omitted and Dr. Bhandarker would refer it to the Sake era with two handreds omitted. There is no reason to suppose that the present Saptarshi era of Kashmir was ever current before the fourth century A. D. Neither can the era of the Kushanas be referred to any of the eras, Nirvana, Maurva, Samvat or Saka, for none of them 'is recknned with the figures for any of the digita left out. It is moreover not to be expected that either the Malwa era of Samvat or the Deccan era of Saka could have penetrated into, and much less have been current in, so isolated and distant a province as Kashmir. Nor can it be supposed that Kanishka, whose empire did not extend in the south heyond

^{*} History of Indian and Eastern Arch., p. 742.

Muttra and Benares, finnded either of these two eras, for they originated, as will appear from my next paper, under totally different circumstances.

Professor T, W. Rhye Davids raises in : this connection a very interesting question in his learned work on Buddhist India, namely, the connection between the date of Kanishka and the use of the Sanskrit language for literary and inscriptional purposes. He says (p. 314): "By the unanimous testimony of the best authorities we have. * * Asvaghoshe, the outhor of the Buddhacharita, (a poem in pure elegant Sanskrit on the life of Buddba) lived in the time of the most famous of the Kushan kings, Kenishka. * * Now et what period in the history of Indian literature could such a poem have been composed?" He proposes to answer the question by assuming that "the oldest inscription in pure Sanskrit," that of Rudradamen at Girnar of the year 72, belongs to the middle of the second century A.D. Therefore, according to him, even if Asvaghosha's poem be the very earliest literary work written in regular Sanskrit for the use of the laity, it can scarcely be dated earlier. He further tries to confirm this argument by reference to the three extant commentaries on the ancient canonical books composed in Sanskrit at the Buddbist Council held

under the patronage of Kanishka. All these in his opinion point to the conclusion that Kanishka could not have lived earlier than the middle of the 2nd century A.D. It is not necessary for my purpose to refer the learned Professor to the ancient epics, the Ramayana and the Mahabharata, some portions of both of which at all events are admitted by most scholars to have existed two or even five centuries prior to the beginning of the Christian Era, or to the probability that Asvaghosha's work is ambitiously modelled on these earlier works. Nor is it even necessary for me to draw attention to the fact that an elaborate commentary on the ancient Grammar of Panini, the Mahabhashya of Patanjali, might not have been, as it was, written in the second century B. C., if compositions in literary Sanskrit were then absolutely unknown. It is sufficient for me to state that the date given by the learned professor for Rudradaman's inscrip-tion is incorrect; and if, as I shall be able to show in my next paper, the correct date for the Sanskrit inscription is 15 A. D., it is clear that between 27 to 65 A.D. the period of Kanishka's reign, Asvaghosha could well have composed his poem and the Council, their commentaries.

^{*} E.W. Hopkins' Great Epic of India, p. 398. Imperial Gazetteer, 1998, Vol. II, p. 235-7; Professor Macdonell's Sanskrit Literature, pp. 285-307.

CHAPTER V.

THE SAKAAND SAMVAT ERAS

næ

The Chronology of the Andhrabhritya and Kshatrapa Dynasties.

WO eras are widely corrent in India, hroadly speaking, one to the north of the Vindbya mountains and the other to the south. The Saka era, whose initial date is the 3rd of March, 78 A. D. prevails in the Deccan and the Peninsula ; and the era of the Samvat, whose epoch according to the Purnimenta reckoning is the 23rd of February, 57 B. C. and according to the Amanta is the 18th of Septemher, 57 B. C., is prevalent in Guzarat, Malwa and the adjacent States and Provinces. The Bombay method of calculation of the Saka era is one year behind the correct system prevailing in the Madras Presidency, owing to a mistaken supposition of ' clapsed ' for ' current ' years. Varahamihira, the learned astronomer of the sixth century A. D., states that according to the Paithamaha Siddhanta, the oldest of the

Siddhantas abstracted by him in the Panchasiddbantica (XII. 2), the initial epoch of the five year cycle was the third year of the Saka ers, current. Thus, it cannot be seriously doubted that the Saka era had been in existence at all events a few centuries prior to the sixth century A. D. Varahamihirs calls it by the names of 'Saka Bhupakala' and 'Sakendrakala,' 'the era of the Saka king '; and Brahmagupta, another astronomer of the beginning of the seventh century, styles it es ' Saks Nripants', after the Saka king . The king Mangaliss, who reigned towards the and of the sixth century A, D., refers in his inscription to the era as that of the coronation of the Saka king : and the poet Ravikirti, the composer of the Alhole inscription of Pulikesin II, the successor of Mangalisa, describes it as the era of the Saka kines. On the other hand, the later commentators on the works of Varshamilia and Brahmagupta, as well as Kalhana and Alberuni, the Mussulman historian of the eleventh century, misunderstand its epoch and wrongly refer it to the time when the Saka harbarians or their king was discomfited by Fing Vikramaditys. Latterly, the era has come to be connected with the name of king Salivahana, just in

the same way as the Samvat era of 57 B. C. is now associated with the name of Vikramaditya. That the Samvat, now known as Vikrama Samvat, was not priginally so known. is proved by the celebrated Mandasor inscription, * discovered by Dr. Fleet, wherein it is incidentally stated that the king Kumaragupta (known from other inscriptions to have reigned between 415 and 449 A.D.) was reigning " when 493 years had elapsed by the reckoning from the tribal constitution of the Malavas ". Dr. Fleet thus summarises + the history of the nomenclature of this era :- " Professor Kielhorn has shown that the era of 58 B. C. was known in A. D. 473 and 532-33 as the reckoning of the Malayas ' and in A. D. 879 as ' the Malaya time or era 'and that records of A. D. 738 and 1169 speak of it as the 'years of the Malava lord or lords', * * that the word Vikrama is. first found coupled with it in a record of A. D. 842 which speaks of 'the time called Vikrama,' * * that we hear for the first time of a prince or king named Vikrams, in connection with the era, in a poem composed in A. D. 993

^{*} Fleet's Gupta Inscriptions, pp. 79-87.

[†] Imperial Gazetteer, Vol. II. p. 4. See also Indian Antiquary, Vols. 19 and 20.

and that the first specific mention of the era as having been established by Vikramaditya is in a record of A. D. 1198."*

The question of the origin of the Saka era is now considered as settled and it is generally supposed that the coins and inscriptions of the Kshatrapa dynasty of Guzarat and Malwa which extend for over a period of three centuries, are dated in this era. The origin of the Vikrama era is however a matter of controversy between contending scholars. Dr. Fleet, on tho one hand, maintains that it was " founded by Kanishka in the sense that the opening years of it were the years of his reign, that it was set going as an ers by his enccessor, who . . continued it; and that it was accepted and perpetuated as an era by the Malava people and so was transmitted to posterity by them. " + Mr. V. A. Smith and some other learned scholars, on the other hand, as positively decline to subscribe to this theory. In my opinion, however, neither the Saka nor the Samvat era

^{*} It is curious that Varahamihirs, the astronomer popularly approsed to have been one of the "nine geme" of Vikrama's Court, does not refer to the hanvatera at all. He refers only to the Sake era as noticed above.

t Imp. Gaz. Vol. II, p. 5.

can be considered to have originated in the manner stated and their origins have to be referred to totally different historical events.

To enable us to understand how these two eras erose, a study of the chronology of the Andhrabhritya and Kshatrapa dynasties, for both of which we have, comparatively speaking, sufficient materials, appears to me to be necessary. The names of the kings of the Andbrabhritya dynasty are given in the authentic Puranas and this information is supplemented by inscriptions and graote and the legends on coins. Soon after the death of Asokavardhana of the Mauryan dynasty in about 231 B. C., the imperial authority began to slacken and the frontier provinces asserted their independence under their local Rajas. Two such chiefs, Simuka Satavahana, the Andhia, and Khemraja of Kalinga seem to have set up for themselves in the territories with which they were respecttively connected. The Andhra dynasty, that thus sprang ioto existence towards the end of the third century before the Christian era, comprised, according to the testimony of the Vishnu, Vayu and Bhagavata Puranas, thirty kings and reigned for 456 years, though there is a slight disagreement with regard to certain

details between these Paranas and the Matsya. Says Dr. Bhandarkar: "The disagreement bere is not so great, wherefore the tradition as to thirty princes and about 456 years may be accepted as correct " *; and Mr. Smith also accepts the statement that 30 kings of this dynasty ruled for 4561 years. †

The Radeliffe copy : of the Matsya Purana gives the fullest list of these kings with the lengths of their individual reigns. Certain emendations have been proposed in the list of kings contained in the Rudeliffe copy; but I may bs allowed to state that we are not at liberty to correct the list in the manner best suited to our preconceived opinions. For my own put, I prefer to adopt the list given in the original Radeliffe copy \$ of the Matsya Parana which Prof. Wilson had before him when translating the Vishnu Purana. We have to reject as incorrect and misleading, the lists contained in most other copies of the Mateya Purana or in the other Puranas, all of which are extremely

^{*} Early History of the Decean, p. 25. † Early History of India, p. 202,

[‡] For convenience of reference, see list printed at the end of Miss Duff's Chronology of India. § See Wilson's Vishna Purana, Ed. Hall, Vol. IV p. 199, where the list is given.

defective and none of which enumerates the full complement of the kings of the Andhrabhritya dynasty. Besides, there are only a very few mistakes in the Radcliffe copy and these too can gasily be ascertained and rorrected. For example, we find that it gives only 29 kings and 4354 years and we can easily discover on a reference to all the available Puranes that the name of Sundara Svatikarna, the successor of Purindrasena, who reigned for only a year, has been inadvertently omitted * probably by the copyist, and that the period of 9 years given therein for the reign of Yagna Sri Satakarni is evidently a mistake † for 29 years. This last emendation is also rendered necessary by there being inscriptions # of this king ranging from his 7th to 27th year. If the Radcliffe copy be corrected as above, we find that according to it also, there were 30 kings ruling for 4561 years. But Mr. Smith and Miss Mabel Duff, probably guided by a note of Mr. F. Hall on page 200 of

Vishnu, Vayu, Matsya, Bhagavata and Brahmanda Puranas mention this name.

[†] Vayu and some copies of the Matsya have 29 years. See Wilson's V. Purana Ed. Hatt. Vol. IV, p. 198. Also Bhandarkar's Early History of the Deccan, 1st Edn. p. 25.

[:] Epigraphia Indica, I. 95.

his edition of Wilson'a Translation of the Vishnu Purana, Vol. IV, insert the reign of one Meghasavati for a period of 38 years immediately before Arishtakarni. I submit that this incorrect, because none of the Puranas, save the copy of the Matsya consulted by Hall, introduces any king between Pulumavi and Arishtakarni, and because such an addition would give a total for the whole dynasty 38 years in excess of the period given by the Puranas. I rather thick that Meghasavati is

Puranas. I rather think that Meghasvati is another name for Sangha, the successor of Apitaka (or Ivilaka or Apilaka,) who reigned for 18 years ; because we find that the copies of the Mataya consulted by Hall and Dr. Bhaudarkar have Meghasvatl in the place of Sangha, and because according to the Vishuu Purmus niso, Meghasvati is the successor of Ivilaka. Mr. Vincent Smith is however perfeetly right in assigning four years more, from the evidence of inscriptions, to each of the reigns of Gautamiputra and Pulomat or Pulumavi Vasishtiputra. This additional period of eight years has to be provided for by deduction of as many years from the reign of Krishna, the brother and successor of the Founder of the

Dynasty, who, according to many copies of the

Vayu * reigned only for ten years, and not for eighteen as is stated in our Radeliffe.copy.

We have next to consider the chronological limits of this longlived dynasty. The Puranas would have us believe that the first king of the Andhrahhritya dynasty supplanted the last king of the Kanwa line, and as the Kanwas are stated by them to have come to an end 137 + 112 + 45 or 294 years after the accession † of Chandragupta Maurys, this event has to be placed in 31 B. C. But this date for the beginning of this dynasty would make the dynasty last till 425 A. D., and would militate against the date of the twenty-fourth king, Pulumayi Vasishtiputra, a contemporary of Ptolemy (161 A. D.), as well as those of other kings. To meet this difficulty, Dr. Bhandarkar propounds the theory # that the main line of the Andhra dynasty lasted only for 300 years, that the Matsya Purapa mixes up in one list the kings of different branches of the dynasty who reigned in different territories, that

^{*} See Wilson's Vishnu Purana, Ed. Hall, Vol. IV, p. 195 (I). Also Dr. Bhandarkar's Early History of the Deccan, p. 25.

^{† 325} B. C. See Ind. Ant. 1908, p. 345.

[‡] Early History of the Deccan, 1st Edition, pp.24-27 . Also "Indian Review", 1909, p. 404.

Andhra dynasty rose to power on the extinction of the Sunga dynasty in about 73 B. C., tbat the Kanwas and the last kings of the Sungas were contemporaries and that all these were exterminated by the Andhra Simuka Satavaluana. I venture to submit that this theory is too complicated to be probable. I would rather think that this dynasty of the Andhra race, which was already a powerful nation in the country of the Lower Godavari and Krishna in the time of Alexander the Great, rose to power and conquered Maharashtra in about 208 B. C. during the discription of the Magadian empire soon after Asoka's death and that the Andhra king who supplanted the Kanwayana dynasty was not Simuka, but probably Sangha (35-17 B.C.), from whose days the Andhra empire seems to have included for a short period the province of Magadha also. For, a king of this dynasty is mentioned in the Hathigumpha inscription of king Kharavela of Kalinga, whose inscriptional date 165* is by Dr. Buhler and other Scholars referred to the Maurya era. It is evident that the era used by this south-eastern potentate cannot refer to such an early era as the Nirvanakala or * Can. Inscriptions of Asoka, plate 17; Sixth Oriental Congress, III. 135,

to the northern Yudhishtira era, or even to such western and later eras like the Saka and the Samvat. On the other hand, we have the authority of an inscription of Asoka of his ninth year that he had conquered and annexed Kalinga to his own dominions; whereby, the Magadhan era most probably became current even in distant Kalinga,* The Hathigumpha inscription, which belongs to the 13th year of Kharavela's reign, states that "in his second year, Satakarni protecting the west, sent him a numerous hody of horses, elephants, men and chariots" (apparently as an ally). The inference is plain that Satakarni reigned in the (165-13+2 or) 154th year of the Maurya era, † corresponding to 171 B.C. : As Dr. Bhandarkar points out, Satakarni is not the general name of the Andhrabhritya dynasty, or of every one of the . kings thereof. " It is the proper name of the king who bore it. It was sometimes asso-

^{*} Dr. Fleet seems to think that the Inscription does not refer to the Manrya era. J. R. A. S. 1910, p. 244. His theory is however untenable.

[†] See Ind. Antiquary, 1908, p. 350. † From the manner in which Satakarni is mentioned, t may also be inferred that he was still reigning at the time of the inscription, f. c., 165 Maurya era, or 169 B.C. Thus, this Satakarni seems to have reigned from prior to 171 B.C. till at least 160 B.C. The Puranas give him a reign of 18 years.

ciated with another name. but there is no indication anywhere of its having heen the name of the family."* The 'Satakarni 'of the inscription appears to me to be identical with 'Sri Satakarni' of the Puranas, the third in the list who came to the throne 33 years after the rise of the dynasty and who is described as ' Siri Satakaning ' in the Nanaghat Cave Inscription. If we make a small allowance of ahout four years for the reign of Sri Satakarni prior to his sending the friendly mission to Kharavela in the second year of the latter's reign, the Andhrabhritya dynasty should have come into existence about (171+33+4 or) 208 B. C. ; and as it lasted for 4562 years, it came to an end in 249 A. D., which date may further be verified by evidence from an independent quarter.

According to the late Bhagavanial Indraid one Iswaradatta of the Abhira tribe first established his power in the Konkan with Traikutaka as his capital and founded the Traikutaka, known later as the Kalacheri, or Chedi, Era, whose epoch is the 28th of July, (or 26th of August), of 249 A. D. + His coins, dated in the first

 [&]quot;Indian Review", 1909, p. 402.
 Transactions of the 7th Oriental Congress, p. 216;
 1nd. Ant. 17, 216; Cunningham in Arch. Sur. of Indis, X, 112,

and second years, have been found along with those of the kings of the Kshatrapa dynasty of Malwa and Guzarat, whose coins they may be said to resemble. It is rightly inferred therefore othat Iswaradatta chould have at least pertially overthrown the Kshatrapa dynasty about the year 249 A. D. * I would submit that when once it is conceded that Iswaradatta .after consolidating his power in the Konkan. proceeded on his career of conquest so far north as Guzarat and Malwa, he must have also protected his rear and preserved his line of communications by complete conquest of the Maharashtra territory, which he had necessarily to cross. As this date synchronises with the date We have given above for the end of the Andhra dynasty, it is very probable that the Traikutaka epoch of 249 A. D. marks the complete overthrow by Iswaradatta of the . great dynasty of the Andhrabbrityas.

This date is further corroborated by another important circumstance. Ptolemy, who wrote, after 151 A. D., and lived till 161 A. D. + refers to Ozene (Ujjain), Baithana (Paithau) and

Bombay Gazetteer, New Edn. I. ii. 294.

[†] Smith's Classical Dictionary, 627. Ind. Aut. XIII. 313-411.

16

Hippocura, as being in his time the royal residence respectively of Tisatenes, Siro Polemios and Balencures. The last two kings have been correctly identified, Sire Polemies with Pulimayi (Siri Pulimavi Vasishtiputra) and Baleocuros with flanno Gotsmiontesa Vilivavakurasa of the coins who, according to Mr. Smith, is the king Gautamiputra Satakarni, the father of Pulumavi. From the inscriptions of these kings. to be referred to in greater detail in the sequel, it may be inferred that Gautamiputm conquered the Maharashtra country, whose capital was Paithan, from the Khakharata king whose dynasty he exterminated, that after having ruled there for some time, be installed his son Pulumayi as the ruler of the conquered territory, and that he thereafter retired to Dhanakataka, his original kingdom which he ruled over for about twenty years after the installation of his son at Paithan or Navanara. As these two kings, father and son, were contemporaries of Ptolemy, they too must have been reigning between 151 to 161 A. D., a result which exactly confirms the dates at which we have arrived for these kings of the Andhrabhritya dynasty.

^{*} See list below.

I append hereunder a list of the thirty kings of this dynasty with the number of the years of their individual reigns and approximate dates as determined above.

THE SAKA AND SAMVAT ERAS.

We shall next proceed to discuss the history of the Kahatrapa dynasty In the beginning of the second century before Christ, the regions of the Punjab and Sindh were the scene of the interminable raids of Hellenic adventurers. Pushyamitra, the founder of the Sunga dynasty, stemmed for a time the tide of foreign invasion by a series of successful engagements on the banks of the Indus, so that towards the end of the second century B. C., the Sungas continued to be masters also of Malwa. * But before this dynasty came to an end in about 76 B. C., there were still graver inroads made into the frontiers of Hindustan by hordes of foleign barberians, the Parthians. Sakae and the Yuchchi, and some of the more distant provinces were torn from the empire of Magadha. Thus towards the middle of the first century before Christ. one of these hordes, probably of the Suka or Parthian race, to which Ghamotika and Chashtana belonged, entered India through Singh, and conquered and occupied Guzarat and Malwa: and another belonging to the Kushan tribe of the Yueh-chi race, to which Huvishka and Kanishka belonged, poured into India through

^{*} See Malavikagaimitra, where Agalmitra, son of Pushyamitra, is stated to be king of Vidisa.

the north-west frontier and subjugated the Punjah and Kashmir. Chashtana, the son of Ghsamotika, was the founder of the Kshatrapa dynasty of Saurashtra; and the title 'Mahakshatrapasa! by which he was known, as evidenced by his coins, betrays his foreign, perhaps Parthian or Palhava, descent. His grandson was the famous Rudradaman of the Girnar inscription of the year 72 of an era which we shall venture presently to determine, who " made three times stronger the embankment " of the historic Sudarsana lake with which the names of some of the greatest Emperors of early India, namely, Chandragupta Maurya, Asoka and Skandagupta, are inseparably connected. The first to issue dated coins of this Kahatrapa dynasty, was Jivadaman of the year 100, the son's son of Rudradaman. He was succeeded by Rudrasimbs, another son of Rudradaman, whose great grandson Mahakshatraps Rudrasena II, reigned from about the year 176 to 194. Visyasena, the grandson of Rudrasena II., was the last of this branch of the dynasty and he seems to have occupied the throne from the year 214 to 225. Another branch of the dynasty beginning with one Rudrasimha, son of nne Svami Jivadaman

succeeded soon after to the throne and it came to an end with Svami Rudrasimha, son of one Svami Satyasimha, in about the year 310.*

We have now to enquire what the era is by which the coins and inscriptions of the Kshatrapa dynasty are dated. In this connection, it is desirable to draw attention to two sets of inscriptions, one of the family of Rudradaman and the other of Ushavadata, son-in-law of Nahapane, the Kahaharata, king of Maharashtra. The latter are dated in the years 40 to 46 of some era, whereas one of Rudradaman's inscriptions is dated 72 and four others of his have recently been discovered at Bhui referring to the year 52. I submit that Nahapana belonged to a totally distinct line of kings from that of Rudradaman and that it is wrong to connect the two together. The distinctive characteristics of the coins of the Chashtana dynasty, namely, the Chaitya, star and crescent, do not find a place in the coins of Nahapana, who has the thunderholt for his symbol, † Rudradaman's dynasty ruled in Malwa and

^{*} J. R. A. S. 1890, p. 643 ft; 1899, p. 365. Ind. Ant. XXI. 205. See also Miss Duff's Chronology of India, p. 296.

[†] Rapson's Indian coins, Plate III.

Kathiawar, whereas Nahapana reigned in Maharashtra and belonged to a race of kings called by the peculiar name of Kabaharata.

Both these dynasties, whose kings are alike known as Kehatrapas or Mahakshatrapas, are referred to in an inscription of Pulumavi Vasishtiputra of the Andhra dynasty, dated in the 19th year of his reign, which describes his father Gautamiputra as king of Asika, Asaka, Mulaka, Surashtra, Kukkura, Aparanta, Apupa, Vidaibha, and Akaravanti, and states that he was the lord of the mountains, Vindhya, Mahendra and others, that he destroyed the Sakas, Yavanas, and Pahlavas, that he exterminated the last remnant of the 'Khakharata' race and restored the glory of the Satavahanas. There can be no doubt that the exterminated 'Khakharata' race herein referred to is that of Nahapana Kshabarata, firstly, because no other dynasty, not even the Chashtana, is known by that peculiar name and secondly, because in the recent find in the Nasik district of a hourd of about 14,000 coins of Nahapana, " more than 9,000 of them are counterstamped with the words ' Ranno Gotamiputra Siri Satakanisa, ' which shows that the conqueror used the money of the vanquished

monarch, but restamped it with his own name Gotamiputra Satakarni." . The Saka era of 78 A. D. seems to mark the previous conquest by Bhumuka, Nahapana's predecessor, of the Andhrabhritya territory of Maharashtra, because the dynasty founded by him was overthrown after the lanss of at least 46 years, the date of the last inscription of the Bhumaka dynasty, by Gautamiputra who ascended the throne in about 126 A. D. + As if to commemorate this disaster of 78 A. D. by which the Andhrabhritya dynasty lost a rich portion of their territories, the king Nemikrisbna, in whose reign (56-81 A. D.) it occurred, seems to have been nicknamed, by contemporaries or posterity, as 'Arisbtakarman', or "Arisbtakarni ", the ill-fated Satakarni, The Andhra sovereignty was thereafter confined to the remoter southern and south-eastern provinces and his immediata six successors were unable to wrest back the northern territories from the conqueror. The Kababarata kines were ruling the Maharashtra country in the meantime and minting coins extensively, till Gautamiputra

^{*} Dr. Bhandarkar in the "Indian Review, " 1909, p. 403.

[†] See list above,

burning to regain the lost possessions waged war sgainst Nahapana or his successor and "utterly annihilated the dynasty", and thus restored "the glory of the Satavahana race." Shortly after, in about the twenty-fifth year of his reign, Gautamiputra seems to have installed his son Pulumayi as king at Patthan, the capital of the conquered provinces of Maharashtra, and to have himself retired to, and ruled for about twenty years longer over, Dhanakataka, his paternal territory.

In the inscription of the 19th year of Pulumayi, above referred to, Gautamiputra is also spoken of as king of kings, as ruler of Surashtra (Kathiawar) Akaravanti (Eastern Malwa) and other adjacent countries and as having destroyed the Sakas and Palhavas. It is therefore evident that he was at all events the Lord Suzerain, if not the actual king, of Malwa and Guzarat about the time of the inscription (151+19 or 170 A. D.). There can be no doubt that the Chashtana dynasty belonged either to the Saka or Palhava (Parthian) race and that this dynasty, which lasted for over 310 years, was contemporary with the Andhra dynasty. The only question is who was the reigning king of this dynasty when Gautamiputra destroyed it shortly

prior to 170 A. D. Looking down the list of the Kshatrapa kings, we find that direct descent is traceable down to Visassena (215-225) and that after him there is a break in the continuity of the relationship. A few years, probably three on four, after the last coin date of Visyasena, there ascends the throne one Rudiesimha belonging to a different family, probably to another branch of the Chashtana line of kings, Says Col. J. Biddulph, * " After Bhartridsman (father and predecessor of Visyaseraha disturbance in the direct succession apparently occurred and the title of Mahakshatrapa seems to have remained in abeyance for many years (till 270). His last known date is 214 and be is depicted on his latest coins as an old man. His son Visyasena only held the title of Kshatrapa eleven years after Bhartridaman's latest date, and from 214 to 270, the next ascertained date of a Mahakabatrapa, we have only one intervening Mahakshatrapa, Rudradaman, of whom no coin has been found and whose parentage is therefore unknown. At the same time, we have two Kshatrapas, Rudrasimha and Yasodaman, father and son, in succession to Visyasena, but not directly descended from any of their predecessors so far as is known." I con-

^{*} J.R. A. S. 1899, p. 405.

tend that it was during the reign of Visyasena that Gautamiputra conquered the Kshatrapa dominions, so that Visyasena could not by reason of his dwindling territories well call himself by the title of Mahakshatrara, but contented himself with the humbler designation of Kshatrapa, till at last in a very few years in about the year 225, he was vanquished and the dynasty was brought to an end; and Gautsmiputra, the Andhrabhritya conqueror, seems to have set on the vacant throne, as his vassal, one Rudrasimha, probably a distant scion of the family, who and whose successors however dared not assume the title of Mahakshatrapa for a considerable time. As the inscription of Pulumayi recording the overthrow of the Kshatrapas is of his 19th year or 170 A. D., we may very well ascribe the last coin of Viavasena of the year 225 to a date shortly before it. or say to 168 A. D. If this view be correct, the era of the Kshatrapa kings must have begun about 225-168 or 57 B. C., which is the epoch of the Samvat era.

Secondly, this view is enpported by a weighty consideration. As has already been stated, the coins of the Kahatiapa kings have been found along with those of Iswaredatta, the Traikutal'a king, who founded the Chedi era of 249 A. D. "Certain coins show," says Dr. Fleet, * "that the Kshatrapa rule was once interrupted by an invader, who assumed the titles Raja and Kehatrapa, and established another era. This invader was a certain Iswaradatta, whose coins are dated in the Ist and 2nd years of his reign," Scholars are therefore agreed that the Kshatrapa dynasty was subverted at least for a time by the Traikutaka king Iswaradatta, though there is some difference of opinion as to which king or kings that were so vanquished. Pandit Bhagavanial Indrais and Dr. Fleet hold that the Eshatiapa kings Viradaman and Vijavasena, to whose coins Iswaradatta's are said to bear the most resemblance, were defeated by the letter and that probably Viradaman's son Rudrasena restored 'the Kahatrapa power, † It appears to me to be wrong to infer from this supposed resemblance that they were all contemporaries, as it merely shows that Iswaradatts struck coins in imitation of those of Viradaman and Viyayasena 2 who might have lived much earlier. Besides, Mr. E. J. Rapson takes a different view § and places Iswaradatta he-

Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. I., Pt. 2, p. 294,

See list of Kehatrapa kings appended hereto. J. R. A. S. 1899, p. 384-6.

tween Damasena and Yasadaman. The reasons therefor are also far from conclusive and are based upon insufficient materials. I am led to think that Iswaradatta brought about the extinction of the Kehatrapa dynasty as he did that of the Andhras about the middle of the third century A. D. Having first destroyed the Andhra power in the Decean in 249 A. D., Iswaradatta seems to have gone further north and invaded after a few years, probably about 253 A. D., Guzarat and Malwa, the dominions of Rudrasimha, the last king of the later branch of the Kshatrapa dynasty. As the date of the last Kehatrapa king is the year 310 of the Kshatrapa era, the epoch of the era of the Kshatrapus may have to be put in about 310-253 or 57 B. C. Thus, there cannot be much doubt that the Kshatrapa era of Malwa and Guzarat was no other than the Samvat era of 57 B. C.

Thirdly, the Samvat is essentially a Malwa era, as it is expressly stated in the Mandasor inscription above referred to, as dating from the epoch of the consolidation of the tribes of Malwa (Malavanam Ganastbithya)*.

^{*} Fleet's Gupta Inscriptions, p. 79.

It could not have been founded by the Kushana dyrasty for the reasons already stated in my paper on the date of Kanishka.* Moreover, it has not been shown that the Kushana ule extended beyond Mathura or Benares. . No longlived dynasty of any importance, except that of the Kshtrapas, is known to history as having ruled over Malwa, to justify this era being ascribed to it. When we remember these facts in connection with the statement of Rudradaman in the Girnar inscription to the effect that "people of all castes came to him for protection and prayed him to be their Lord," we recognise that the independent tribes of Malwa and Guzarat elected him as their king just as they probably did his father Jayadaman and his grandfather Chashtana, before him. It is a wellknown fact that these tribes of the west were famous even in early times for self-rule, for, that is the significance of the statement of such an ancient † work as the Aitarava Brahmana, that " all the kings of the western countries are inaugurated to independent sule (Svarajya) and called Svarat." Now, these independent tribes of Malwa, recognising strength in union

^{* &}quot;Indian Review," November 1909.

[†] VIII. 14.

and making a virtue of necessity, for Chashtana seems to have overthrown them already, combined together and elected him as their common king and that great event, 'the consolidation of the tribes of Malwa' under one great Ruler, was most probably celebrated by the epoch of 57 B.C., which has since then been current therein. As Chashtana and Rudradaman ruled from Ujjain over a number of adjacent countries, * this Malwa era came to be adopted north of the "Vindhyas. In the same manner, as Bhumaka and Nahapana ruled in the Maharashtra country, the era founded by Bhumaks, namely the Saka, became current in the countries south of the Vindhyss; and as both the eras were convenient for purposes of calculation and the epoch of the Saka era was utilized as a starting point by Astronomers, they have been continued ever since. This is the reason why the southern era was originally known as Sakanripakala, the era of the Saka king. No doubt, long subsequently, the two eras came to be connected, the earlier with the name of Vikramaditya and the later with that of Salivahana. This is be-

These were ruled over by Viceroys. See Rudradaman's Girnar inscription according to which, Saurashtra and Anarta were then being ruled over by a Palhava Viceroy, named Surisahko

cause, in the case of the era of 78 A. D., the dynasty of Simuka Satavahana, a name stated in Hemachandria Grammar to be equivalent of the Prakrit Silivahana, ruled for a long time over Maharaahtra both ambasquently and prior tos the days of the Bhuraska dynasty; and because in the case of the era of 57 B. C., a king of the name of Vikramaditya, identified by some * with a king of the sixth century A. D., namely, Yasodisrman of the Mandasor inscriptions † and by others with Chandragupta I. of the Gupta dynasty, is traditionally supposed, either rightly or wrongly, to have ruled over Malwa and left an imperichable name, which must have quite obliterated the memory of the fame of the Chashitana dynasty.

Fourthly, the king Tastenes mentioned her

Fourthly, the king Tiastenes, mentioned by Ptolemy and already referred to, has been identified with Chashtans, the Mahakshatrapy; and we have to consider if the identification is correct. The earliest inscription of Rudradaman, his grandson, is of the year 52. As those who so identify refer this date to the Sake era, Rudradaman should have begun to reign at the latest about 78 + 52 or 130 A. D. Inasmuch as his father Jayadaman and his grandlather Chashtana seem.

* See Dr. Hoerele in J. R. A. E. 1905, p. 31; 1909,

[†] Fleet's Gupta Inscriptions, 142 and 149.

to have had prosperous reigns, we cannot well nut the reign of the latter after 100 A. D. If we bear in mind that Ptolemy began to write only after 151 A. D., and died about 161 A. D., and that Pulumay i, one of the other two contemporary kings referred to by him, come to the throne only after 151 A.D., " it becomes clear that Ptolemy clearly refers to a king who reigned at Ujjain between 151 and 161 A. D. It may also be remembered that Ptolemy states that Paithan was then being ruled over by Pulumayi and not by Gautamiputra, (who reigned there in the fifth decade of the second century A. D.) and that he does not make the slightest reference to such powerful kings like Bhumaka and Nabapana, who were ruling there one after the other from 78 A.D. till the date of the overthrow of their dynasty by Gautamiputra. Why then should Chashtana, who cannot be considered greater than the more recent Nahapana (124 A.D.), be alone referred to, when he ought to have reigned, if the era of the Kshatrapa dynasty were Saka, half a century before Ptolemy's time? Moreover, according to such an interpretation. Rudradaman should have been living (52 or 130 A. D. to 72 or 150 A. D.) then or recently dead and he

cannot by any means be said to be the Tiastenes of Ptolemy who was reigning over Ozene or Ujjain at the time of Ptolemy. If we take a glance at the list of the Kshatrana dynasty, we find that king Visvasena (Prakrit, Issasena) was ruling there from about the year 214 to 225 of the Kshatrapa era, which, if understood to be the Samvat, would correspond to 157 to 168 A.D. It has also been shown already that it was this Visvasena who was finally vanquished by Gautamiputra, the father of Pulumayi, both of whom also are referred to by Ptolemy as his contemporaries. I think it very probable that it was this king, Issasena, which name may easily be metamorphosed by foreigners into Tiastenes, that is referred to by Ptolemy. Or, it might be that the founder of the dynasty being for all practical purposes Chashtana, the dynasty went by the name of Chashtana, just as the Andhrabhritya dynasty is known also by the name of the founder Satavahana; and Ptolemy probably understood by Tiastenes one of the race of Chashtana.'

Fifthly, Rudradaman states in the Girnar inscription, a above alluded to that he restablished deposed kings on their former thrones, that be assumed the title of Mahakshatrapa, that he

^{*} Epigraphia Indica, VIII. 36.

conquered Akaravanti, Anupa, Surashtra, Aparants, and other provinces, that he twice conquered Satakarni, the lord of Dakshinapaths, and that he did not destroy him "on account of the confection with him not being remote," As already stated, this king appears from the evidence of this and the Bluj Inscriptions to have reigned at least from 52 to 72 of the Chashtana era. Mr. Smith takes it to refer to the Saka era and thinks that Polomavi Vasishtiputra is the Satakarni mentioned by Rudradaman in the inscription and that the nearness of relationship between him and Pulumavi mentioned therein refers to the circumstance that the latter married the daughter of the former, whom Mr. Smith names as Dakshamitra. * With due deference to his great authority, I may submit that neither of the statements is correct. In the first place, if the era of Rudradaman were the Saka era, he ought to have reigned at least from (52 to 72 or) 130 to 150 A. D., a date nearly conterminous with the date of Gantamiputra (126-151 A. D). The countries stated in the above inscription as subject to Rudraman are mentioned by Pulnmavi, in the inscription previously alluded to, as under the sovereignity

Early History of India, p. 200.

of Gantamiputra, whereas Rudradaman mentions Satakerni as lord of Dakshipapatha alone. Is it possible. I may be permitted to ask, for these two nowerful kings, each of whom had a long and victorious reign, to have ruled over the same provinces and for each to have conquered the other? Thus, we are landed in an absurdity, and some writers try to explain away this irreconcilable circumstance by saying that these inscriptions have to be taken cum grano salis, and that one of these kings at any rate was not so great as his inscription would make one This, I submit, is a far-fetched and impossible method of trying to get over the incontestable evidence of the inscriptions. Even granting for the sake of argument that the Andhra and Kshatrapa kings of the inscriptions did not actually defeat each other, still it appears to me impossible to hold that the statements of the two inscriptions that they were the rulers of the various countries specified therein are overdrawn. Moreover, as Dr. Bhandarkur points out, the " Satakarni" of Rudradaman's inscription cannot be Pulumayi for the reason that the latter " was never called Satakarni," and it is wrong to suppose, as has already been pointed

^{* &}quot;Indian Review," 1909, p. 403,

out, that the name Satakarni was the general name for every king of the dynasty. Besides, there is no reason to suppose that Pulumayi married Rudradaman's daughter. Probably Mr. Smith had in mind the Kanheri mutilated inscription, according to which "the wife of Vasishtiputra Satakarni is represented as the daughter of a Mahakshatrapa." . She "cannot have been the wife of Pulumayi, for he was not called a Satakarni, but of Vasishthiputra Chatarapana Satakarni, whose name occurs in a Nanaghat inscription. Her name is lost in the Kanheri inscription, and Dakshamitra, which is given as her name by Mr. Vincent Smith, was the name of the daughter of Nahapana married to Ushavadata."† Again, we are not informed by . the inscription who the Mahakshatrspa was. This title may be applied to any one of the numerous kings of the Kshatrapa race that ruled in the north-west of India from the first century before Christ to the third century after. It may even apply to Indian poteotates like the Traikutaka Iswaradatta, who might have assumed that title. Dr. Bhandarkar suggests that Rudradaman refers in the afcresaid inscription to

^{*} Dr. Bhandarkar iu "Indian Review," 1909, p. 402.

^{† &}quot;Indian Review," 1909, p. 403.

Yagna Sti Satakarni. I venture to submit that this suggestion also is incorrect. For, if the era used by Rudradaman were the Saka era, he should have defeated Yagna Sri before 150 A. D., the date of the inscription and it is extravagant to expect that king who reigned from 197 to 226 A. D., to have ruled even prior to 150 A. D. I am therefore of opinion that the Chashtana era is to be identified with the Samvat and not with the Saks era and that Rudradaman reigned from about (52 to 72 or) B. C. 5 to 15 A. D. The King Satakarni referred to in Rudradaman's Girnar inscription is therefore the tenth king of the Andhrabhritva dynasty who hears that name and reigned between B. C. 17 to 1 A.D.

Sixthly, if once it is conceded, as probably it will be, that the era of 78 A, D, is connected with the rise of the Bhumaka dynasty in Maharashtra, it cannot be maintained that it was adonted by Rudradaman who ruled over Malwa and Guzarat. It cannot be that he supplented Nahapana and adopted his era, for according to Rudradaman's inscription of the year 72, the Dakshinapatha (Deccan or Maharashtra) was then being ruled over by one Satakarni. Nor can it be said that Chashtans supplanted the Nahapana dynasty, for the

[.] See list above.

last inscription relating to Nahapana is of the year 46 and the first relating to Rudradaman is of the year 52 and the interval of six years or even less cannot at all be sufficient for the reigns of the prosperous kings, Chashtaoa and Jayadaman. Nor can it be urged that Bhumaka or Nahapana extended his away over Malwa and Guzarat and that Rudiadaman or Chashtana, being a subordinate king, adopted his era, for both these kings, Chashtana and Rudradaman are styled as Mahakshatrapa and ooe of them at all events, namely, Rudradaman, conquered and ruled over a number of other countries as well. For the same reason it cannot also be said that both Rudradaman and Nahapana (also a Mahakshatrapa) were subject to some overlord in the north and adopted his era. Moreover, no such overlord has been showe to have started the era of 78 A.D., or even to have ruled over all the dominions of Rudradaman and Nahapana. It was at one time supposed that Kanishka, the great king of Kashmir and the Punjab, might be connected with the epoch of 78 A. D. ; but that theory, as shown in my last paper, is incorrect and it has long been given up. It is therefore evident from the foregoing reasons that the era of Rudradaman and his dynasty was oot the Nahapana era of 78 A.D., and that the two

187

Chashtana contain his name in Brahmi, Kharoshtri and Greek characters and that his successors as well as Nahapana try to imitate the Greek script along the borders of their respective coins. But the imitation is so hadly executed that the Greek script on these latter coins is not decipher. able.* This circumstance shows that the Greek characters were well understood in the days of Chashtana, but were soon forgotten in subsequent times, and that Chashtana and Nahapana lived a long interval apart. Mr. Rapson, the learned author of Indian Coins, t is of opinion that the were as distinct as the dynastics to which they respectively belonged.

Seventhly, one other circumstance in favour of the earlier date for Rudradaman may be referred to. The Girnar inscription of Rudradaman of the year 72, gives unt unly the names of the kings Chandragupta and Asoka who constructed and repaired the Sudariana lake, but also of the former's artificer Pushyagupta and the latter's subordinata Tuahaspa Raja, under whose superintendence the work was actually carried on. If Rudradaman ilated his inscriptions according to the Saka era and lived about (72 + 78 or) 150 A. D. then there would be a vast interval of about 400 years between him and Asoka (273 - 231 B. C.) and about 450 years between him and Chandragupta (325 - 301 B. C.) Is it to be expected that after the lapse of so many centuries, the names of the artificers also would be so well remembered? I would rather think that Rudradaman lived much earlier and that he commenced to reign about the year 52 of the Samvat ers, or 5 B. C. *

^{*} It may be interesting here to note that the so-called "earliest Sanskrit inscription," namely, the Girnar inscription of Rudradaman, belongs to the year 72 of the Samvat era, or to 15 A. D. Bee artt, p. 150.

Eighthly, it may be remarked that the coins of Chashtana contain his name in Brahmi, Kharoshtri and Greek characters and that his successors as well as Nuhapana try to imitate the Greek script along the horders of their respective coins. But the imitation is so hadly executed that the Greek script on these latter coins is not decipherable.* This circumstance shows that the Greek characters were well understood in the days of Chashtana, but were soon forgotten in subsequent times, and that Chashtana and Nahapana lived a long interval apart. Mr. Rapson, the learned author of Indian Coins, t is of opinion that the silver coins of Chashtana (and of his successors and also of Nahapana, who imitate the coins of Chashtana) are copied from the Hemidrachms of the Greek Princes of the Punjah, more particularly perhaps from those of Apollodotus Philopator (2nd century B. C.) and that they seem to fellow the same weight and standard. All these facts also go to support the earlier date for Chashtana.

Lastly, we shall consider certain possible objections to the conclusions herein arrived at. It is said that because the silver coins of

J. R. A. S. 1899, p. 357.

[†] P. 21.

Chaudragupta 11 * of the Gupta dynasty appear to be imitated † from the Kshatrapa come, therefore it is likely that he put an end to the Kshatraps dynasty, whose beginning his consequently to be placed towards the end of the first century A D This inference, I submit, cannot be legitimately drawn from the data obtainable. If there be the resemblance chaimed, it only moves that the Gupta dynasty came to reign after the Satrana, and that they imitated some of the coins of the latter, just as they did the coins of the Kushanas,I which they resemble still more closely. Secondly, the iron pillar & at Delhi is understood as recording the conquest by Chandragupta II, of the Biblicas near the seven mouths of the Indus and it is also surmised that Chandragupta II conquered Guzarat and Malwa. All this may be quite true; but nowhere is it stated that Chandragupta 11 overthrew this particular Kalintiapa

^{*} His coin and inscription dates range from 60 to 95 of the Gupta et a. (Epoch, 8th March, 319 A. D.) i. c., 388 to 414 A.D. For Gupta or Vallabhi era, see 'Fleet's Gupta Inscriptions, Intro. 124. Indian Ant XX. pp. 370 ff.

[†] Dr. Bhandarkar in Early History of the Deccan, p. 100.

[†] See " Indian Review," November 1909, where I have maintained that Kanishka was the last of the three Kushanas, and that he reigned between 27 to 65 A. D.

[§] Fleet's Gupta Inscriptions, p. 139.

dynasty which, as I have already stated, had already come to an end about 253 A. D. Thirdly, one Chandragunts is mentioned in Harshacharita of the seventh contary A. D., as having killed a Saka. It is supposed but not with sufficient rerson, that the Chandragupta and the Saka mentioned therein refer to Chandragunts II, of the Gupta dynasty and the last king of the Kshatrapa dynasty respectively and that a dynastic revolution is hinted therein. It is submitted that this inference is rather familial, for the text merely says .- " In his enemy's city, the king of the Sakas, while courting another man's wife, was butchered by Chandragupta concealed in his . mistress' dress," On the other hand, it appears to me that the Kahatrapa dynasty was completely overthrown after the lapse of over 310 years, as stready stated, by Iswamdatia in about 253 A.D., and that the epoch of the Samvat era, namely, 57 B.C., marks the consolidation of the tribes of Malwa into one great nation under Chashtana, the founder of this longlived dynasty,

I subjoin hereunder two lists of the Kshatrapa kings with their respective dates, for convenience of reference.

Harshacharita, Trans. by Cowell and Thomas, p. 194.
 † See J. R. A. S. 1899, p. 406-7; Miss Duff's Chronelogy of India, p. 296.

| 190 | THE | CHRONOLOGY | OF | ANCIENT | INDIA. | |
|------|-----|------------|----|---------|--------|---|
| | | | _ | | | - |
| 77.1 | 1 | -4- 1-7C | | 1. 4 | | |

| KB | nanarata kings of | Siaharashtra :- | |
|------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Nos. | Names of Kings | Inscrip. Date. Epoch 78 A.D. | Date A. D. |
| 1 | Bhumaka | | 78 A. D. |

Nahapana, son of 1 40-46 118-121 A.D.

(Dakahmitra, daughter of 2, married Usha-vadata.) 3

Wedderburn's Speeches & Writings.

The Publisher's have made every endeavour for make this collection comprebensive and up to date. This matter was selected by Sir William Wedderburn himself. The first part contains the full text of his two Congress Presidential Addresses, the second all his speeches in the House of Commons the third Miscel laneous Speeches on a variety of topics relating to India the fourth. Contributions to the Press on Indian Questions the fifth, Personalia being his speeches and writings in appreciation of his Indian and European friends and the suit. Repl es for addresses even, ethtick! Appreciation, we have as election of tributed baild to Sir William s, services to India by his numerium English and Inde n freeds and admers?

Part I Congress Speeches Presidential Addresses Bombay Congress 1889 and Allehabad Congress 1910

Fartil Speeches in the House of Commons —Simulianeous Examinations The Condition of the people of Indus Parliamentary Inquiry for Indus, Indus Expenditure The Governments Pol ey in Chirati Speech on the Ind an Budget 1895. The Retention of Chirat The Cotton duties end the Indus noor Indus Troops at Sustria, The Maharayah of Jahulawar Tan Sarotiny Makses I The Froblem of the Indian Raya. The Condition of Iddus The Poverty of India The Calcutta Municipal Bill Parliament and Indian Affalls. The

Famine in India Tho Indian Budget 1900 p

Part IV Contributions to the Press

Part V Personalia

Part VIL Appreciations

Indian Social Reformer - The volume should be in every collection of books bearing on Indian political and administrative reform.

With a portrait and index Cloth bound Price Rs 3 To Subscribers of the 1R ,Rs, 2-8.

Wacha's Speeches and Writings.

The Hon hie Sir D E Wacha's numerous speeches and writings are brought under one cover for the first lime in this volume. This publication contains his Congress Speeches his Speeches unto Hungard Council and addresses to various public hodies as also his select elsays on ecomome commercial and financial subjects. Contains also his evidence before the Welby Commission.

CONTENTS

Congress Presidential Address, Calcutta, 1901 Evolution of Indian Trade; Science of Commerce, Stray thoughts on the Study of Economics, Statistical and Economic Study among Indians, Indian Railway Finance, Indian Mithary Expenditure The Indian Commercial Congress, Agricultural Banks in India, The Currency Question Addresses to the Millowners Association Bumhay Congress Reception Committee's Addresses of Simultaneous Examinations, The Indian Civil Service, Evidence befure the Welly Commission Appendix Indies with a purifical Boundaricology.

The Hindu — Sir Dinshaw a forte is no is well known, finance and it is not surprising that one of 19 selections about 15 directly relate to finance and constitute an exposition of his views on the Indian financial questions

Commonweal —All the subjects have been handled with masterly ability and can be appreciated not only thy specialists but by lay readers as well — A section

Hindusthan—A crying need of the present times in that the Indian students ought to study Indian como mio problems in an independent purely Edstern manner, and they would derive considerable help on these problems from this volume Sir D E. Wacfin is a great authority on these problems and the publishers have done a valuable service by collecting the speeches in a volume like this 2.

Lucknow Advocate - It is a storchouse of valuable

Price Rs 3 To Subscribers of the "LR" Rs, 2-8"

G A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras

SURENDRANATH BANERJEA'S

SPEECHES 'AND WRITINGS.

7 An up-to-date collection of the speeches of the Hon-Me. Surendranth Baneries. It contains his many important Congress Speeches including his two Presidential Addresses, his Speeches in the Vicergal Council, and several important ones delivered both in India and in England during fis visits to that confirty in connection with the Press Conference and Congress Propagands Work.

CONTENTS. ' ..

Introductory—The Study of Indian History. Congress Speeches—Congress Presidential Address, Poona, 1895 - Tôn Congress: Its Mission; The Partition of Bengal; Sdi-Government for India Speeches; in his Ingertial. Council.—University and Secondary Education; The Press 'Act; The Decentralization Commission; The Dreference of India Act; The Calcutta University; Judicial and Executive. Functions. Misscalianous in Indiana Community; Functions Misscalianous in Indiana Community; Government and Municipalities; Ewadeshium; Speeches 'in': Ingland—The Meeting in Finabury; The Debate at the Oxford Union; Reception by Mr. E. C. Schwam, M. P.; India and English, Literature: The Indiana Press; The Indiana Diane; In London; The Stutation in India; Contributions to the Press.—Lord, Mosley's Reform Proposals; What India, Wonter Indiana University. Fersonal.—Marrini: Communication.

Nest India.—Messrs. G. A. Natesan & Co., of Madras bave done well to have brought nut a handy edition of hisspeeches and writings at the present time when public thought requires all the material, it can possibly obtain for its stimulation.

oan possibly obtain for its stimulation.

The Kornataka—Being the utterances of our greatest of his time, they deserve constant study by every public worker and patriot.

Price Rs. 3. To Subscribers of the "LR." Rs. 2-8.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madra

DADABHAI NAOROJI'S

SPECCHES AND WRITINGS.

This is the first attempt to bring under one cover an exhaustive and comprehensive collection of the speeches exhaustive and comprehensive collection of the speeches and includes the sale of the part of the product of the speeches and includes the addresses that he delivered before the Indian National Congress on the three occasions that he presided over that assembly; all the speeches that he delivered from time to time in England and Indian. The second part includes all his statements to the wieldy Commission, as number of papers rabilities to the wieldy Commission, as number of papers rabilities that admission of Indiana to the Services and many appreciate contains, among others, the full test of his evidence before the Welly Commission, his statement to the Indian Currency Commistee of 1893, he replies to the questions put to him by the Tubule Service Commission of Last Indiana Franco. Datablish has been in the active service of the substituted for over sury years and diring this long period he has been steadily and structured by working for the good of the countryment, it is hoped in a bindly volume will be velocomed by thousands of his admiring countryment.

Price Rs 3 To Subscribers of the "I.R ' Rs 2 8

THE INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRÉSS

FULL TEXT OF ALL THE PRESIDENTIAL, ADDRESSES
AND THE BESOLUTIONS PASSED UP TO DATE.

Second Edition: Crown Svn. 1,326 Pages
Bound in Cloth and well Indexed

PRICE RS FOUR. To Subscribers of the "1R," RS. THREE

G A. Natesan & Co Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madra s.

THE GOVERNANCE OF INDIA

AS IT IS AND AS IT MAY BE

A HAND-BOOK OF PROGRESSIVE POLITICS

GOVINDA DAS

Babu Govinda Dax's book on the "flovemance of India" offers no constructive ackness of reforms in the Indian constitution. The book is full of original and fruitful observations, the result of the author's continuous study and reflection on the subject for over two decades. With the help' of apt quotations gathered from rare publications, defects in the system of administration are driven home and ways shown by which the defects could be eliminated and the system improved. "The Governance of India" is a hand-book of living practical politica, a rade mecum for setive politicians which no one, official or non-official—interested in the reform of the Indian administration—can afford to neglect.

Karnataka.—It is an attractive volume of 360 pages, from the pen of one who is a genuine scholar and a sincere lover of the motherland.

The Rangoon Mail.—The interesting feature is the second by the aluthor touching the relationship of the Fendatory India'to the Imperial Government which is rarely considered by Indian politicians and which is the most important consideration in any scheme of reform for India. The hook will be prized both by the student and the politician.

Indian Social Reformer.—Bahu Govinda Das's hook is one of the ablest, the most thoughtful and the hest informed treatises on the subject of governone of India that we have come across. We heartly commend Babu Govinda Das's hook as an exceedingly illuminating addition to our meagre literature on Indian politics.

' Crown 8 vo. Cloth Bound.

.Price. Rs. 3. To Subscribers of "i. R.," Rs. 2-8.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras.

INDIAN NATIONAL EVOLUTION

A BRIEF SURVEY OF THE ORIGIN AND PRO-GRESS OF THE INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS AND THE GROWTH OF INDIAN NATIONALISM.

HON, AMVIKA CHARAN MAZUMDAR.

CONTENTS:—Introductory. The Genesis of Political Movement in India. The Early Friends of India. The Indian Press. The Gathering Clouds. The Clouds Lifted. The Dawning Light. The Insuguration and the Father of the Congress. The First Session of the Congress. The First Session of the General Congress. The State Inthrogic on all the Allahabad Convention. The Work Interest of the Congress of The State Inthrogic on the Allahabad Convention. The Works of the Congress of The State India Proceedings. The Depression. The Education of the Congress. The Reconstruction of the Indian Civil Service. The Indian Representation in British Parliament. India an Porty Politics. The Educational Problem. India and the War. The New spirit and Self-Government for India. Appendices, Index and Hustrations.

New India—The name of Amvika Charan Mazum-dar is known to all Indians who are Congressmen or students of Congress literature and history. And now in his old age he comes out with a book which every young Indian ought to read, mark and inwardly digest. The volume is a brief survey of the origin and progress of our National Congress and ideals for which it stands, A volume of 25 chapters and 460 pages, from cover to cover it is useful, suggestive, breathing inspiration and hope. The well-informed author hegins at, the beginning in ot only at the laying of the foundationstone of the Congress but prior to that period even. A New and Un-to-date edition.

Price Rs. Three. To Subscribers of the " I.R., Rs.2-8.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras

Gandhi's Speeches and Writings.

AUTHORISED, UP-TO-DATE, COMPREHENSIVE

A Word of Tribute,-By Mr. G. A. Natesan.

. Ihe South African Indian Question.—The Bliginning of the Struggle; The Transvaal Indians' Deputation; British Indians in the Transvaal; The Issue at State The Immigrants' Restriction Bill, Indians and their Employers; Farewell to South Africa; Receptlog at Madras; The Indian South African League.

Indians and the Colonies.—Reciprocity between India and the Dominions; Indian and European Emigrants;

and the Dominions; Indian and European Emigra: Indentured Lahour: Indian Colonial Emigration.

anostures anout - indian would be distincted. The Origin of the Movement in South Afrons, Statement before the Magnatrate; A Confession of Faith; A Lesson to India; Passive Resisters in the Tolstoy Farm; The Genesis of Passive Resistance; The Rationale of Suffering; Soul Force vs. Physical Force; A Message to the Congress; Conquer Hatred by Love; The Gains of the Fassive Resistance Struggle; The Chaory and Tractice of Fassive Gandhi's Jail Experiences - First Jail Experiences

Gandhi's Jall Experiences —First Jall Experiences; Second Jail Experiences; Third Jall Experiences.

Second Juli Experiences; I and Juli Experiences; Indian Problems.—The Duttes of British Ottienship; Civio Freedom; A Plea for the Soul; Hiddus and Moslems; On Anarchical Offines; Loyalty to tho British Empire; Advice to Students; Folities and the People: The Gurnkui; Swadeshi, Ahimsa; Economic Progress to Moral Progress; The Moral Basis of ways; Vermaculars as Median Indian Indian Edited Content of the Revent of Public Life; Unveiling Mr. Gokhales Portrait: Hand University Speech; The Satyagrabashrama; Guzarat Political Conference; Social Service: The Benarat Indiant.

Miscellaneous Appreciations, Portraits, Illustrations, Cartoons
Crown 8vo. 304 pages.

Price Re. 1-8. To Subscribers of "Indian Review," Re. 1-4.

G.A. Natesan & Co , Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras

SAROJINI NAIDU'S

SPEECHES AND WRITINGS.

This exhaustive and comprehensive collection of the speeches and writings of Mrs. Sarojini Naidu includes, hor speeches delivered from time to time at the various essions of the Courses, the Indian Social and Theistic Conferences. It includes also her notable utterances on Self-Government for India, the Education of Indian Women, the Elevation of Indian Woman-hood, Hindu-Muslim Units, the Privileges of the Younger Generation, the Arms Act, Indians and Military Service, Indentured Labour and several select addresses to students.

Commonweat.—The book under notice contains Mrs. Naidvis speeches on a variety of subjects. Religion, Politics, Social Reform, Education—all have been dealt with in a style which one always associates with every literary production of Sarojini. The "Reminiscences of Mr. Gobhale" which is an appreciation of her great leader published soon after his death is fittingly included in this volume.

Hindu.—Mrs. Sarojini Naide's inspiring speeches and writings on a variety of subjects. It is printed on good paper in bold type.

Darjeeling Advertiser.—Her addresses and essays deal with a variety of subjects and the inclusion of her recent speeches at Madras makes the volume quite up-to-date. The book is printedon featherweight paper.

Rangoon Mail.—The publication of Mrs. Sarojini Naidu's speeches and writings has come most opportunely. "Her speeches are equally melodious and inspiring. We leave our readers to read and judge for themselves this admirable collection of writings and speeches of one whose name has found a tender corner in every true Indian heart.

WITH A PORTRAIT AND APPRECIATIONS.

Crown 810 printed on Featherweight paper.

Re. One. To Subscribers of "Indian Review," As Twelve.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras

INDIAN ARTS, INDUSTRIES & AGRICULTURE

Indian Industrial and Economic Problems. By Prof. V. G. Kale, Fergusson College, Poons, Second Edition. Price Re 1-8. To Subscribers of the "Indian Review." Re. 1-4.

. The Swadeshi Movement .- A Symposium by Represent.

ative Indians and Anglo-Indians, Second Edition, Re. 1.4. To Subscribers of the "Indian Review," Re. 1. Agricultural Industries in India, By Seedick R. Sayani.

With an introduction by Sir Vitaldas Damodar Thackersey, Second edition, Revised and enlarged. Re. 1. To Subscribers of the "Indian Review." As. 12. Essays on Indian Art. Industries and Education.

E. B. Havell, Re. 1-4. To Subscribers of the "I.R." Re. 1. Essays on Indian Economics, (Third Edition.) By Mahadev Govind Rapade, Price Rs. 2 To Subscribers of the " I.R." Re. 1-8.

industrial india. By Glyn Barlow, M.A. Second Edition. Re. 1. To Subscribers of the "LR." As. 12.

Lift-Irrigation. By A. Chatterton. Second Edition. Revised and enlarged. Price Rs. 2, To Subscribers of the "Indian Review," Re. 1-8.

The improvement of Indian Agriculture .- Some Lessons from America. By Cathelyne Singh. Price Re. 1. To Subscribers of the "Indian Review." As. 12.

THE SWADESHI MOVEMENT

Views of representative ladies; and Angio-Indians,

Contains among others, the yiews of Dadabhai Naoroji, H. H. the Gaekwar of Baroda, H. H. the Maharaja of Dharbunga, G. K. Gokhafe, Dr. Sir Rash Behari Ghose, Hon. Sir Fazulbhoy Currimbhoy Ebrahim, Mr. M. K. Gandhi, Sir R. N. Mookerjea, Sir D. E. Wacha, Hon. Rao Bahadur R. N. Mudbolkar, Hon. Pandit Madan Moban Malaviya, Mrs. Besent, Mr. Tilak, Mr. Surendranath Banerice, and also of Lord Minto, Lord Carmichael, Lord Ampthill etc.

Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged.

Price Re. 1-4. To Subscribers of "LR." Re. 1.

G.A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras-

HINDU RELIGION AND PHILOSOPHY.

Srl Sankaracharya.—I.—His Life and Times. By C.N. Krishnaswamy Aiyar, M.A., L.T. II.—His Philosophy. By Pandit Sitanath Tattvabhushan. Both in one volume. As. 12. To Subscribers of "I.R." As. 2.

Sri Madhwa and Madhwalsm.—A short Historic Sketch. By C. N. Krishnaswamy Aiyar, M.A. As. 12. To Subscribers of the "Indian Review," As. 8.

Sil Ramanujacharya.—His Life and Times. By S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar, M.A. His Philosophy. By T. Rajagopalachariar, M.A., B.L. As. 12. To Substitlers of the "Indian Review," As. 8.

The life and Teachings of Buddha. By Dharmapala. Price As. 12. To Subscribers, "I. R.," As. 8. Sansardharya's Select Works, "The Text in Sansari Devanagiri type and an English Translatio. By S. Venkataramanan B.A. Friec Re. 1-8. To Subscribers.

bers of the "Indian Review," Re. 1.

The Valshnavaite Reformers of India.—Critical Sketches

The Valshnavatte Retormers of India.—Critical Skewbuss of their Lives and Writings. 'By T. Rajagopalachariar, M.A. B.L. Price Re. L. To Subscribers of the "LR." As. 12.

Swami Vivekananda—An exhaustive and comprehensive collection of his speeches and writings. With four portraits. Fourth Edition. Prica Rs. 2. To Subscribers of the "Indian Review," Rs. 1-8.

Subscribers of the "Indian Review," Ra. 1-8.

Aspects of the Vedanta. "By various writers. Second Edition. As. 12. To Subscribers of the "I.R.," As. 8.

Ten Tamil Saints. By Mr.-M. 8, Purnalingam Pillai,

Ten lamil Saints. By Mr. M. S. Purnalingam Final, B.A., L.T. Price As. 12, To Subscribers, "I.R." As. S. India's Untouchable Saints. By K. V. Ramaswami, B.A. B.L. Price As. 6 To Subscribers, "I.R." As. 4.

B.A., B.L. Price As 6. To Subscribers "I.R.," As. 4.
Essentials of Hindulsm. As. 8. To Subscribers of "I.R.,"
As. 6.
Hindu Psalms and Hymns. By Mr. K. V. Ramaswami,

B.A., B.L. Price As. 4.
Maltreyl: A Vedic Story. By Pandit Sitanath Sattva-

bhushan. Price As. 4.

The Bhagavad-Cita or the Lord's Song. With the text in Devanagiri and an English Translation. By Mrs Annie Besant. Third Edition. As. 2.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street, Madras.

THE LIFE & TEACHINGS OF BUDDHA

BY .

THE ANAGARIKA DHARMAPALA

Price As. 12. To Subscribers of the "Review," As. 8.

SRI ŞANKARACHARYA

' HIS LIFE AND TIMES.

BY C. N. KRISHNASWAMY ATVAR, M.A., L.T. HIS PHILOSOPHY.

BY PANDIT SITANATH TATTVABRUSHAN.

Prico As. 12. To Subscribers of the "Review," As. 8.

Sri Madhwa and Madhwaism

A HISTORICAL AND CRITICAL SKETCH, BY C. N. KRIBHNARWAMY AIVAR, M.A., L.T. Price As. 12. To Subscribers of the "Review." As. 8.

SRI RAMANUJACHARYA

HIS LIFE AND TIMES. *
BY S. KRISHNASWAMI AIYANGAR. W.A.

HIS PHILOSOPHY.

BY T. RAJAGGPALACHARIAR, M.A., B.L.

Price As. 12. To Subscribers of the "Review," As. 8.

Q. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Cheffy Street, Madras.

SHAKESPEARE'S CHART OF LIFE

BEING STUDIES OF

HAMLET, KING LEAR, OTHELLO & MACBETH BY THE REV. DR. WILLIAM MILLER, CLE.

Price Rs. 4. To Subscribers of the "I.R." Rs. 3.

Available Separately, Re. One each:

KING GEORGE'S SPEECHES IN INDIA.

- A COMPLETE COLLECTION OF ALL THE SPEECHES 1
 DELIVEDED IN INDIA DURIS HIS TOUR
- AS PRINCE OF WALES AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE RECENT CORONATION DURBAR

This is a complete and sp-to-date collection of all the spoostes detreed by His Majoviy the King-Emperor during his first tour in Ludia as Pruce of Wales and his second tour in touncation with the Coronation Durbar. No speech of any importance relating to India has been omitted; and to make this handy collection of His Majoviya Indian absoches doubly valuable, a metal April Majoviya Indian absoches doubly valuable, a metal April Majoviya Indian absoches doubly valuable, a metal April Majoviya Indian procedes doubly valuable, a metal April Majoviya Indian Proclamation of H. M. King George, Queen. Victoria and King Edward the Seventh on their accession to the throne sout the messages of Queen Victoria and King Edward the Eventhus of 1923. The book contains a fine portrait of Their Majoviya contains a fine portrait of Their Majoviya the millions of His-Majoviya, tabjets not gonly to India hat tail over the Empire.

With eight Illustrations,

Re. One. To Subscribers of the " Indian Review,"
As. 12.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Suckurama Chetty Street, Madras

BIOGRAPHIES OF EMINENT INDIANS.

A Series of Uniform Booklets each with a Portrait giving a succinct biographical sketch and containing copious extracts from the speeches and writings of the personages described. Toru Dutt Mrs Sarojini Naidu Rabindranath Tarors Michael Madhusudan Dutt Dadabhai Vacrost Sir P M Mehta Digehaw Eduly Wacha Mahadey Govind Rapade O K Gothale Dr Hash Beharl Chose Lala Lappat Ral .; Rayl Varma KT Telang Surendranath Baneries.

Romesh Chunder Dott . Ahanda Mohan Bose

Ham Ram Mohan Boy V P Madbava Rao

W C Bonnerjee IAI Mohun Ghose

Bud-uddin Trabil Sir Syed Abmed Sir Syed Amir Ali Nawab Mohsin-ul-Mulk H li The Agha Khan Sir Salar Jung Sir 8 Subramania Iyer Balgangadhar Tilak M & Gandbi Maden Mohan Malariya Babu Kristo Das Pal R N Madholkar V Kelahmaswami Alvar Devan C xangaeharlu Babimenlla Mohamed Sayani Iswara Chandra Vidyasagar Bebramji M Malabari Sir O Benkaran Nair H H The Gackwar of Peroda

R Ragunatha Mau. C 8 1 .

Foolsosp Svo. Price, 3s, Four each

Saints of India Series

This is a new series of short sketches dealing with the lives of the most eminent saints that have risen in India. These lives are all based on the original account and biographies to be found in the several Indian languages. Each book also contains a special account of the peculiar religious doctrines which each saint taught. A unique feature of these sketches consists in the numerous and choice quotations from the poems and utterances of these saints. Each volume has a fine frontispiece.

SEI RAMAKRISHNA DYANAND SARASWATI KABIR SWAMI VIVEKANANDA SAINTONYANESHWAR RAMDAS SWAMI RAM TIRATH TUKARAM NANAK NAMORY CHAITANYA BENATH

Price. Four Annas each.

G. A. Natesan & Co., Sunkurama Chetty Street: Madras.

ALL ABOUT THE WAR



The Indian Review War-Book

COMPREHENSIVE AND AUTHENTIC ACCOUNT OF S WAR WITH NUMBERGUS ILLUSTRATIONS PORTRAITS CARTOONS MAPS AND DIAGRAMS

CONTRIBUTED BY

Officers of Indian Civil; Military and Medical Servid Ministers of Native States, Engineers, Educational Journalists, Lawyers, Publicists and other Specialist EDITED BY MR G, A. NATESAN

INTRODUCTION BY H E LORD PENTLAN

H E. THE VICEROY'S OPINION .

EMA well planned solume which should be of vel
great use to the public for whom it is intended

The Medica Meni — The book contains a vest muo. of information, historical, political, military, naval his cographical. Various writers, many of them special states of the war, the forces at it despited discuss the causes of the war, the forces at it despited the beliggerent his wespons employed, the architecture and talents of the leaders, and the effect the war Numerous illustrations accompany the article war Numerous illustrations accompany the article war Numerous illustrations accompany the article.

604 Pages, 240 Portraits, 107 ILLUSTRATIONS, 37 CARTOONS, 16 Mars and Diagrams Price Rs Four To Subscribers of The IR, Rs 3

G.A. Natesan & Co , Publishers, George Town, Madra